

Dosimetric Evaluation for Eye Lens and Thyroid Gland from Brain Computed Tomography Scan

Prepeard By: Haya Jamal Alruzieh

Supervisor: Dr.Ali Abu Arra

A Thesis Submitted in Partial of Requirements for the Bachelor's Degree of Medical Imaging.

An-Najah National University

January 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

May Allah, the Founder of the world, have mercy on us all. Special thanks are given to Dr. Ali Abu Arra for his sponsorship of my studies, for his endurance and inspiration. Also, I would like to send special thanks to my dear teachers, Prof. Abed Al-Nasser Assi and Dr. Jihad Ahmad Alshanti who dedicated themselves to teaching and sharing all their knowledge.

Endless sincere gratitude to my family, to my mother who was always by my side and was my biggest supporter, for my father's soul who struggled to provide the best education and life for me -may Allah rest his soul in peace-, and my brothers and sisters who believed in my dream and gave me strength every day.

I would like to thank my dear friends who have been with me all the time, especially Roaa Barabrah for her assistance. I am very much thankful to my lovely childhood friends in Saudi Arabia who have always been there for me and supported me. Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to Mr.Samir Awrtani for his assistance during my educational career.

Haya Alruzieh

Table of Content

| | Page |
|------------------------------------|------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | II |
| Table of Content | Ш |
| List of Figures | VIII |
| List of Tables | IX |
| List of Equations | X |
| List of Abbreviations | XI |
| الملخص | XIII |
| ABSTRACT (English) | XIV |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 4 |
| 1.3 Research Objective | 5 |
| CHAPTER TWO: BACKGROUND AND THEORY | 6 |
| 2.1 Background and Theory | 6 |
| 2.1.1 CT Component | 6 |

| 2.1.2 CT Physics | 8 |
|---|----|
| 2.1.3 CT Scan Modes | 11 |
| 2.1.3.a Step and Shoot Scanning | 11 |
| 2.1.3.b Helical (Spiral) Scanning | 11 |
| 2.1.4 Fundamentals of Radiation Dosimetry | 12 |
| 2.1.4.a Exposure | 12 |
| 2.1.4.b Absorbed Dose | 13 |
| 2.1.4.c Equivalent Dose | 13 |
| 2.1.4.d Effective Dose | 14 |
| 2.1.5 CT Dosimetry | 15 |
| 2.1.5.a Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDI) | 16 |
| 2.1.5.b Dose Length Product (DLP) | 16 |
| 2.1.6 Factors Affecting Dose in CT Scan | 18 |
| 2.1.6.a Tube Current | 18 |
| 2.1.6.b Kilovoltage Peak | 19 |
| 2.1.6.c Collimation | 19 |
| 2.1.6.d Filtration | 19 |
| 2.1.6.e Pitch | 20 |

| 2.1.7 Radiation Biological Effects | 21 |
|--|----|
| 2.1.7.a Stochastic Effects | 21 |
| 2.1.7.b Deterministic Effects | 21 |
| 2.1.8 Anatomy | 22 |
| 2.1.8.a Head Anatomy | 22 |
| 2.1.8.b Neck Anatomy | 23 |
| 2.2 Previous study | 25 |
| CHAPTER THREE: METHOD AND MATERIALS | 27 |
| 3.1 Study Design | 27 |
| 3.2 Study Population | 27 |
| 3.3 Image Acquisition and Reconstruction | 28 |
| 3.4 Data Collection | 29 |
| 3.5 Radiation Dose Calculation | 29 |
| 3.5.1 Acquisition Length | 29 |
| 3.5.2 Effective Dose Calculation | 29 |
| 3.5.2.a Method 1: Using DLP and k Coefficients from the ICRP 103 | 29 |
| 3.5.2.b Method 2: ED and Organ Dose Estimation Using VirtualDose TM CT Software | 30 |

| 3.5.2.c Organ Dose | 31 |
|--|----|
| 3.5.2.d Cancer Risk Assessment | 31 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 32 |
| 4.1 Study Population | 32 |
| 4.2 Effective Dose | 33 |
| 4.2.1 Method One: ED _{DLP} | 33 |
| 4.2.2 Method Two: ED VirtualDose™ CT Software | 34 |
| 4.2.3 Comparison of Effective Dose Calculation Methods | 34 |
| 4.3 Organ Equivalent Doses | 36 |
| 4.4 Cancer Probability | 37 |
| 4.5 Discssion | 39 |
| 4.5.1 Comparison With Other Study | 43 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION | 45 |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 45 |
| 5.2 Limitation | 46 |
| 5.3 Recomindation | 46 |
| 5.4 Future Studies | 47 |

| REFERENCES | 48 |
|------------|----|
| APPENDICES | 51 |
| APPENDIX A | 51 |
| APPENDIX B | 52 |
| APPENDIX C | 53 |

List of Figures

| | | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| Fig. 2.1 | The external and internal appearance of a CT scanner, showing the gantry houses imaging components, the gantry aperture, and the patient table. | 7 |
| Fig.2.2 | A CT scanner shows the main components and the basic principle of the way a CT scanner works. | 9 |
| Fig. 2.3 | The relationship between CT number and brightness level. | 10 |
| Fig 2.4 | Comparison of conventional/axial (A), and spiral/helical (B) CT scanning sequences. | 12 |
| Fig 2.5 | Relationship among tube voltage, tube current-time product, CT dose index-volume weighted, scan length, dose length product (DLP), and effective dose. | 17 |
| Fig 2.6 | The gantry moves along the z-axis plane (arrow) if the Pitch >1that will create gaps in the resulting image slices from each gantry rotation. A pitch of 1 creates no gaps and no overlap and a pitch of <1 creates slice data overlap. | 20 |
| Fig 2.7 | The biconvex shape of the lens is seen, and the cellular structure of the lens. | 23 |
| Fig 2.8 | Anterior and posterior view for thyroid anatomy. | 24 |
| Fig 3.1 | Philips Brilliance CT 64 slice | 28 |
| Fig 4.1 | The average value of ED_{DLP} compared to ED_{Virtual} in both helical and axial scanning | 34 |
| Fig 4.2 | The average value of ED_{DLP} compared to $ED_{Virtual}$ in helical scan mode | 35 |
| Fig 4.3 | The average value of ED_{DLP} compared to $ED_{Virtual}$ axial scan mode. | 35 |
| Fig. 4.4 | The average value of organ equivalent dose for helical scan by gender. | 36 |
| Fig. 4.5 | The average value of Organ Equivalent Dose For Axial Scan by gender. | 37 |
| Fig 4.6 | The average value of cancer risk assessment of thyroid by age group, gender for the helical scan. | 38 |
| Fig 4.7 | The average value of cancer risk assessment of thyroid by age group, gender for the axial scan. | 38 |

List of Tables

| | | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| Table 2.1 | ICRP recommended Radiation Weighting Factors W_R , for different radiation types. | 14 |
| Table 2.2 | The tissue weighting factors according to the actual determination of the ICRP the risk factors. | 15 |
| Table 3.1 | Exposure-related parameters for axial and helical scanning. | 28 |
| Table 4.1 | Demographic data for the entire population and patient age-related sub-cohort for helical and axial scan modes. | 32 |
| Table 4.2 | The mean ED_{DLP} based on gender for helical scan | 33 |
| Table 4.3 | The mean ED_{DLP} based on gender for axial scan | 33 |
| Table 4.4 | Comparesim between the mean thyroid and lens equivalent dose received in this research according to VirtualDose TM software and other studies | |

List of Equations

| | Page |
|------------|------|
| Equation 1 | 13 |
| Equation 2 | 14 |
| Equation 3 | 16 |
| Equation 4 | 16 |
| Equation 5 | 16 |
| Equation 6 | 29 |
| Equation 7 | 30 |
| Equation 8 | 30 |
| Equation 9 | 31 |

List of Abbreviations

3D Three Dimensions

ADC Analog to Digital Convetor

AEC Automated Exposure Control

ALARA As Low As Reasonably Achievable

ATCM Automatic Tube Current Modulation

C/kg Coulomb per kilogram

C1-C7 First to Seven Cervical Vertebrae

C5-T1 Fifth cervical vertebra to first thoracic vertebra

CAT Computed Axial Tomography

CT Computed Tomography

CTDI_{VOL} CT Dose Index- volume

CTDI_W CT Dose Index- weighted

D Absorbed dose

DLP Dose Length Product

ED Effective dose

Gy Gray

H Equivalent Dose

HU Hounsfield Unit

ICRP International Commission on Radiological

Protection

J/kg Joule per Kilogram

K Conversion Coefficient

kVp Peak Voltage

mA Milliampere

mAs milliampere-second

MDCT Multi-Detector Computed Tomography

PACS Picture Archiving And Communication System

R Roentgen

rad Radiation Absorbed Dose

RDSR Radiation Dose Structured Report

rem Radiation Equivalent Man

Saas Software as a Service

SI International System of Units

Sv Sievert

T3-T4 Third to Fourth Thorasic Vertebra

W_R Radiation Weighting Factor

W_T Tissue Weighting Factor

μ linear Attenuation Coefficients

تقييم الجرعات الإشعاعية لعدسة العين والغدة الدرقية من فحص التصوير المقطعي المحوسب للدماغ

الملخص

يعد التصوير المقطعي للدماغ من أحد أكثر الفحوصات شيوعًا في السنوات الأخيرة. تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقدير الجرعة الفعالة (ED) وجرعة الأعضاء من التصوير المقطعي للدماغ ، واحتمالية إصابة الغدة الدرقية بالسرطان نتيجة التعرض للإشعاع، لتحقيق هدف هذه الدراسة، تم تقدير الجرعة باستخدام الحساب اليدوي وبرنامج VirtualDoseTM القائم على مونت كارلو والمتوفر تجاريًا.

تم اختيار 80 مريضاً بالغاً عشوائياً من كلا الجنسين من مستشفى الشهيد ثابت ثابت.حيث تم تطبيق أوضاع المسح الحلزونية والمحورية للدماغ. تم تسجيل البيانات الديموغرافية للمرضى ، ومعلّمات المسح ، ومؤشرات الجرعة التي تتضمن كيلوفولتاج أنبوب الأشعة السينية (kVp) ، ملي أمبير في الثانية (ملي أمبير) ، منتج طول الجرعة (DLP) ، حجم مؤشر جرعة التصوير المقطعي المحوسب(CTDIvol) .

أظهرت الدراسة أن متوسط قيمة الحساب اليدوي هو 1.8 ملي سيفرت مقارنة بمتوسط قيمة الجرعة الفعالة باستخدام VirtualDoseTM 5.0 ملي سيفرت ، يبلغ متوسط قيمة الحساب اليدوي VirtualDoseTM 4.1 ملي سيفرت مقارنة بمتوسط قيمة 4.1 4.1 VirtualDoseTM ملي سيفرت.

بالنسبة للمسح الحلزوني ، كانت أعلى قيمة محسوبة 2.1 ملي سيفرت ، تنتمي إلى إنثى تبلغ من العمر 82 عامًا. أما المسح المحوري ، كانت أعلى قيمة للجرعة الفعالة محسوبة 2.9 ملي سيفرت ، لأنثى في سن 55 عامًا. استنادًا إلى برامج VirtualDoseTM ، في المسح الحلزوني ، أعلى قيمة هي 6.5 ملي سيفرت ، لأنثى تبلغ من العمر 81 عامًا. من ناحية أخرى ، في المسح المحوري ، كانت أعلى قيمة 8.3 ملي سيفرت تخص أنثى تبلغ من العمر 55 عامًا.

كان متوسط قيم جرعات الأعضاء في جميع المرضى 43.1 و 19.7 ملي جراي للغدة الدرقية وعدسة العين ، على التوالي في المسح الحلزوني. بينما كان المسح المحوري 9.1 ملي جراي للغدة الدرقية ، و 32.1 ملي جراي لعدسة العين. أعلى قيمة في تقدير احتمالية الإصابة بسرطان الغده الدرقية للمسح الحلزوني هي 106×2.3 عند الإناث البالغات من العمر 20 هامًا. أيضاً ، أعلى قيمة للمسح المحوري 200×2.7 لأنثى تبلغ من العمر 25 سنة.

بشكل عام، جرعات الإشعاع المحسوبة من فحوصات التصوير المقطعي المحوسب للدماغ في هذه الدراسة ضئيلة ومماثلة لتلك الجرعات الموصوفة في الأعمال الأخرى، ومع ذلك يجب على فني الأشعة اختيار وتعديل البروتوكولات لتجنب الإشعاع غير الضروري للمرضى وتلبية مبدأ أدنى مستوى يمكن تحقيقه بشكل معقول (ALARA).

Dosimetric Evaluation for Eye Lens and Thyroid Gland from Brain Computed Tomography Scan

ABSTRACT

Brain Computed Tomography scan is one of the most frequent examinations in the last years. This study was aim to estimate the effective dose (ED) and the organ doses from brain computed tomography (CT) scan, and cancer probability was for the thyroid gland.

The manual calculation and commercially available Monte Carlo-based software VirtualDoseTM CT were used to achieve the objective of this study. A total of 80 adult patients from both genders were selected randomly from the Thabet-Thabet hospital. Helical and axial scan modes were applied. Demographic data for patients, scanning parameters, and dose indicators that involve the x-ray tube kilovoltage (kVp), milliamperesecond (mAs), dose length product (DLP), computed tomography dose index volume (CTDI_{vol}) were recorded.

The average value of ED_{DLP} is 1.8 mSv compared to $ED_{Virtual}$ with an average value of 5.0 mSv for the helical scan. In an axial scan, the average value of ED_{DLP} is 2.4 mSv compared to $ED_{Virtual}$ with an average value of 4.1 mSv. For Helical Scan, the highest calculated ED value was 2.1 mSv, belonging to females aged 82 years. For Axial Scan, the highest calculated ED value was 2.9 mSv, belonging to females aged 55 years old.

Based on virtual software, in the helical scan mode, the highest value is 6.5 mSv, belonging to a female who is 81 years old. On the other hand, in the axial scan, the highest value was 8.3 mSv belonging to a female who is 55 years old.

The average values of organ doses in the whole population were 43.1 and 19.7 mGy

for the thyroid gland and eye lens, respectively in helical Scan. While axial scan was 9.1

mGy for thyroid, and 32.1mGy for eye lens. The highest value in thyroid cancer risk

assessment is 2.3×10⁶ found in 2 females who are 20, 68 years old in the helical scan. Also,

for the axial scan, the highest value is 2.7×10^6 belonging to a female who is 55 years old.

Generally, the calculated radiation doses from the brain CT exams in this study are minimal

and similar to those described in other works of literature, however, the technologist should

choose and adjust protocols to avoid unnecessary radiation to patients and satisfy the as low

as reasonably achievable (ALARA) principle.

Keywords: CT, Organ dose, Effective dose, Radiation cancer risk.

XV