## THE SUSCEPTTBLITY OF TWELVE GRAPE CULTIVARS TO MELOIDOGYNE

# INCOGNITA ACRITA , ROTYLENCHULUS RENIFORMIS AND TYLENCHULUS SEMIPENETRANS

S,A. Sultan , Assistant Professor . Biology Department An–Najah National University , Nablus

### اللخيص

أجريت الدراسة على خمسة أصناف أمريكية ( ديلايت ، فييستا ، فريدم ، هارموني ، روبي ) وأربعة أصناف فلسطينية ( زيني ، زيني اسود ، بيتوني ، شامي ) وثلاثة أصناف مصرية ( بلدي ، فيومي ، بز العنزة ﴾ . اخذت البيانات بعد ٩٠ يوما من اضافة العدوى . اشتملت البيانات المأخوذة على عدد الاناث البالغة وغير البالغة ومتوسط عدد البراعم الخضرية .

دلت نتائج الدراسة أن الصنف الامريكي هارموني مقاوم للاصابة بالأنواع الثلاث من النيهاتودا ، كما ان صنف فريدم منيع للاصابة بنيهاتودا تعقد الجذور ، وشديد المقاومة للاصابة بنيهاتودا الموالح والنيهاتودا الكلوية ، وأن صنف فييستا مقاوم للاصابة بنيهاتردا تعقد الجذور فقط وقابل للاصابة بالانواع الأخرى .

وتشير نتائج الدراسة ان زراعة أد ناف مقاومة للآفات النيهاتوديه المذكوره وكذلك استمرارية تربية أصناف مقاومة اخرى جديدة بحيث تتناسب وظروف الانتاج المحلية لآمر جدير بالاهتهام .

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this study the susceptibility of 5 American cultivars (Delight, Fiesta, Freedom, Harmony and Ruby), 3 Egyptian cultivars (Baladi, Bez-Alanza, and Fayumi) and 4 palestinian cultivars (Al-Zeiny, Black-Zeiny, Beitony and Chamey) were tested for the three nematode species.

The results indicated that , the twelve grape cultivars were different in their susceptibility to each nematode species . Black–Zeiny and Ruby sustained the highest population densities of all these nematode species , whereas freedom and Harmony cultivars supported the lowest number of all nematode species . Chamey and Zeiny were good hosts of M. incognita; Delight and Fiesta were good for both R. reniformis and T. semipentrans . Beitony was a good host for both M. incognita and T. semipenetrans but a poor host for R. reniformis . Baladi , Bez–Alanza and Fayumi were moderate hosts for all the three nematode species .

<sup>49</sup> 

<sup>\*</sup> Part of this work was carried out at Ain Shams University - Cairo

The results also indicated that some of these cultivars could be considered resistant to all three nematode species (c.v Harmony), or immune to M. incognita but resistant to others (c.v. Freedom) or resistant to M. incognita only c.v. Fiesta).

#### INTRODUCTION

Previous studies on grapes associated nematodes indicated that all grape cultivars (Vitis vinifera . L) and most of the common grape rootstocks are susceptible to several species of plant parasitic nematodes (6,8,10). It was recently found that the citrus nematode T. Semipenetrans cobb , the reniform nematode R. reniformis Linford et Oliveira and the root–knot nematode M. incognita Kofoid & White , were the most important species causing vineyard decline (1,2,4,9,15,16) . As most nematocides are costly and usually involve special cultural operations (5,14), the use of resistant varieties is more practical and would offer an effective means of resistance (2,3,4,11). This work was carried out in order to investigate the resistance of twelve cultivars of grapes to the above nematode species .

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Hard wood cuttings of twelve grape cultivars, 5 American (Delight, Fiesta, Freedom and Ruby); 3 Egyptian (Baladi, Bez-Alanza and Fayumi); and 4 Palestenian (AL-Zeiny, Black-Zeiny, Beitony and Chamy), were planted (February 1981 at Ain Shams University Faculty of Agriculture) in clay pots each 15 cm in diameter at the top containing sterilized sandy loam soil (83.3% sand, 6.2% silt and 7.3% clay) and kept in a green house.

Tap water was used for watering the pots when ever necessary . When the succlent growth ( shoots ) emerged from the vegetation buds, the nematode inoculum of each nematode species was added separately to pots at the rate of 2000 larvae of M. incognita acrita and 4000 larvae for each of R. reniformis and T. semipenetrans. Each treatment was replicated three times and maintained 90 days following the addition of nematodes . The nematode inculum was taken from identified cultures grown on Black–Zeiny grape roots . The number of immature and mature females and the number of vegetation buds were recorded after ninety days following the addition of nematodes . The data were analyzed by using analysis of variance and least significant differences.

#### **RESULTS**

Based on the number of nematode stages within the roots , the results (Table 1 ) indicated that all the tested grape cultivars were infected with all the three nematode species , except <code>Freedom which</code> was exclusively free from <code>M. incognita acrita</code> stages . On the other hand , it was infected with a negligible number of immature stages of both <code>R. reniformis</code> and <code>T. semipeneterans</code> , where , the number of immature stages of <code>R. reniformis</code> and <code>T. semipenetrans</code> were 90 and 128 for both nematodes respectively . Although , immature and mature stages of the nematodes were detected in less number in the roots of Harmony Cultivar .

It was also found (Table 2) that the number of vegetation buds of all the tested grape cultivars decreased significantly as compared with those in the healthy plants , except Freedom , Harmony and Baladi cultivars , where as , the number of their vegetation buds did not differ significantly than those in healthy plants . Generally , the results indicated that the tested grape cultivars were statistically different in their susceptibility for each of the three nematode species . These

cultivars are arranged statistically in a decreasing susceptibility order ( Table 3 ). Freedom and Harmony cultivars were considered unsusceptible hosts for the three nematode species. Otherwise, Fiesta cultivar was considered an unsusceptible host for  $\underline{M}$ . incognita acrita but more susceptible host for both  $\underline{R}$ . reniformis and  $\underline{T}$ . semipenetrans whereas , the number of nematode stages found within the roots of fiesta cultivar were 147,3441, and 3625 for each of the three nematode species respectively .

The results also indicated that , chamey cultivar was the most susceptible host for M. incognita acrita , i.e., it s roots infected with the significantly highest nematode population as compared with other cultivars , but less susceptible host for the other two nematodes . In contrast Black–Zeiny cultivar was the most susceptible host for both R. reniformis and T. semipenetrans, but less susceptible host for M. incognita acrita than chamey . Ruby cultivar had the same susceptibility as Black–Zeiny for T semipenetrans but more susceptible host for M. incognita acrita and less susceptible host for R. reniformis than Black–Zeiny. Delight cultivar was more susceptible host for both R. reniformis and T. semipenetrans than for M. incognita acrita. The other cultivars . AL–Zeiny , Baladi, Bez–Alanza, Beitony and Fayumi occupied intermediate status of susceptibility to all the three nematode species infections.

| ABLE |

cognita acrita, Rotylenchulus reniformis and T. semipenetrans in roots of twelve grape cultivars after 90 days from inoculation. Average number of immature(Imm)and mature (Mat) females of Meloidogene in-

Fayumi	Bez-Alanza	Baladi	Chamey	Beitony	Black-Zeiny	Al-Zeiny	Ruby	Harmony	Freedom	Fiesta	Delight	Cultivers	Grape
646	1081	452	1127	951	1378	1225	1351	22	0	68	361	lmm	M. incog
1082	1134	840	1740	1081	1384	1489	1512	41	0	79	338	Mat	M. incognita acrita
1728 <sup>e</sup>	2215°	1292 <sup>f</sup>	2867 <sup>d</sup>	2032 <sup>d</sup>	2762 <sup>b</sup>	2714 <sup>b</sup>	2863 <sup>a</sup>	63 <sup>h</sup>	O <sub>h</sub>	147 <sup>h</sup>	699 <sup>9</sup>	Total	•
1283	1569	363	723	768	1436	1414	2048	333	94	1675	1078	lmm	
893	862	809	1121	859	2276	1351	1257	305	0	1766	1743	Mat	. R. reniformis
2176 <sup>f</sup>	2431 <sup>e</sup>	1172 <sup>i</sup>	1844 <sup>9</sup>	1627 <sup>h</sup>	3712 <sup>a</sup>	2765 <sup>d</sup>	3305 <sup>b</sup>	638	94 <sup>k</sup>	3441 <sup>b</sup>	2821 <sup>e</sup>	Total	
857	1630	772	1071	1446	2190	1228	2093	104	127	2234	1041	lmm	. T. sem
821	873	851	676	1483	2238	1366	2323	96	0	1391	2756	Mat	mipenetrans
1678 <sup>f</sup>	2503 <sup>e</sup>	1623 <sup>9</sup>	1747 <sup>f</sup>	2929 <sup>d</sup>	4428 <sup>a</sup>	2594 <sup>e</sup>	4416 <sup>a</sup>	200 <sup>h</sup>	127 <sup>h</sup>	3625°	3797 <sup>b</sup>	Total	ns

Values in vertical columns not followed by the same letter differ significantly from one another (P = 0.05).

TABLE 2

Number of Vegetation buds of grape of cultivars after 90 days from inoculation with M.incognita acrita (Mi),R. reniformis (Rr) and  $\underline{\mathsf{T}}$ . semipenetrans (Ts)

Grape	1	Number of veget	ation buds / Plan	<u>t</u>
Cultivars	control	Mi	Rr	T <sub>s'</sub>
Delight	30 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>a</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	17 <sup>c</sup>
Fiesta	29 <sup>a</sup>	27 <sup>a</sup>	18 <sup>b</sup>	18 <sup>b</sup>
Freedom	27 <sup>a</sup>	28 <sup>a</sup>	27 <sup>a</sup>	28ª
Harmony	28 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>a</sup>	26 <sup>a</sup>	27 <sup>a</sup>
Raby	30 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>	19 <sup>b</sup>	16 <sup>cb</sup>
Al-Zeiny	32 <sup>a</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	20 <sup>b</sup>
Black-Zeiny	34 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>b</sup>	18 <sup>b</sup>	16 <sup>cb</sup>
Beitony	31 <sup>a</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	25 <sup>b</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>
Chamey	32ª	25 <sup>b</sup>	27 <sup>b</sup>	25 <sup>b</sup>
Baladi	30ª	27 <sup>a</sup> ·	26 <sup>a</sup>	26ª
Bez-Alanza	31ª	23 <sup>b</sup>	22 <sup>b</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>
Fayumi	31 <sup>a</sup>	25 <sup>b</sup>	23 <sup>b</sup>	24 <sup>b</sup>

Values in horizontal columns not followed by the same letter differ significantly from one another ( p=0.05 ).

TABLE 3

Twelve grape cultivars recorded in order of a decreasing susceptibility to three nematode species infection .

Grape cultivars

M. incognita ac	rita	R. reniformis		T. semipenetrans		
Chamey	(a)	Black-Zeiny		Black-Zeiny	(a)	
Ruby	(a)	Fiesta	(b)	Ruby	(a)	
Black-Zeiny	(b)	Ruby	(b)	Delight	(b)	
Zeiny	(b)	Delight	(c)	Fiesta	(c)	
Bez-Alanza	(c)	Al-Zeiny	(d)	Beitony	(d)	
Beitony	(d)	Bez-Alanza	(e)	Bez-Alanza	(e)	
Fayumi	(e)	Fayumi	(f)	Al-Zeiny	(e)	
Baladi	(f)	Chamey	(g)	Fayumi	(f)	
Delight	(g)	Beitony	(h)	Chamey	(f)	
Fiesta	(h)	Baladi	(i)	Baladi	(g)	
Harmony	(h)	Harmony	(j)	Harmony	(h)	
Freedom	(h)	Freedom	(k)	Freedom	(h)	

In each vertical column , cultivars not followed by the same letter , in parentheses , differ significantly from one another ( P=0.05 ) .

#### DISCUSSION

In the resistant rootstock a few number of nematodes enter their root system (2,3,4). On the other hand, Geible (3) stated, in general, a plant resistant to nematode resists attack or exhibits little damage and reduce nematode populations. However, the results of this study concure with those finiding by (2,3,4) and indicates that some grape cultivars could be considered resistant to the three nematode species (cv. Harmony and Freedom) or one nematode species (cv. Fiesta) since the roots of these cultivars supported a few number of nematode stages (2,4). On the other hand, the absence of M. incognita acrita stages in the roots of cv. Freedom may account for its immunity to this nematode (11), while it is considered resistant to R. reniformis and T. semipenetrans, since it's roots infected with a few number of immature stages. Although, these immature stages failed to reach maturity during ninety days following the addition of nematode inoculum.

Finally, it is noteworthy to mention that introduction of the more susceptible cultivars may be disappointing, furtunately, the use of resistant rootstocks is aviable alternative and should be considered in soils where nematodes may be a constraint to production of certain cultivars (2,4), therefore, planting grape cultivars resistant to these nematode species, e.g., Freedom and Harmony (Table 3) is necessary for establishing new vineyards.

#### REFRENCES

- Ferris, H. and Aunt. W. (1979). Quantitative aspects of the development of <u>Meloidogyne arenaria</u> larvae in grapevine varieties and rootstocks. Journal of Nematology 11: 168 – 175
- Ferris, H., Schneider S.M and stuth M.C(1981) Probability of penetration and infection by root-knot nematode Meloidogyne arenaria in grape cultivars, American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 33, No. 1,p. 31 – 35.
- 3. Giebel, J. (1974) Biochemical mechanical mechanisms of plant resistance to nematodes. Journal of Nematology, 6: 175 184.
- 4 . Harris, A.R. (1983) . Resistance of some vitis rootstocks to Xiphinema index. Journal of Nematology, . 15, No . 3, P 405–409.
- 5. Mckenry, M.V and Ferris H (1978). Sudies on the use of DBCP in vineyards.

  American Journal of Enology and viticulture, 30 No. 1, P. 38–44.

- Oteifa, B.A. and Tarjan A.C (1965). Potentially important plant parasi tic nematodes present in established orchards of newly reclaimed sandy areas of the united Arab Republic. <u>Plant Disease Reporter</u>, 49:596-597.
- 7. Raski, D.J., Sher S.A and Jensen F.N (1956). New host records of the citrus nematode in california. Plant Disease Reporter, 40: 1047 1048.
- 8. Riad, F.W. (1974). Studies on plant parasitic nematodes associated with grapes <u>Vitis vinifera</u>, in Egypt, <u>Msc. Thesis</u>, <u>Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University 50 PP</u>.
- Riad , F.W. (1980) . Further ecological and chemical control studies on major pathogenic nematodes associated with grape decline . Ph.D. Thesis Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University 124 PP .
- 10 . Rohde , R.A. (1960). Mechanisms of resistance to plant–parasitic nematodes, P 447 453. In: Nematology, fundamentals and recent advances with emphasis on plant parasitic and soil forms. University of North carolina Press. Chopel Hill, ed. Sasser J.N and Jenhins W.R. 480 PP.
- 11. Taha, A.H.Y and Sultan S.A. (1977). Populations of <u>Rotylenchulus</u>. <u>reniformis</u> and <u>Tylenchulus</u> semipenetrans on grape seedlings as influenced by .coincident infestation. <u>Nematole Medit 5: 153 257</u>.
- 12 . Taha , A.H.Y and Sultan S.A.J ( 1979 ) . Cellular response of grape seedling to Rotylenchulus reniformis and Tylenchulus semipenetrans infectins Nematole . Medit 7 : 45-50 .
- 13. Winkler, A.J, Cook J.A, Kliewer W.M and Lider L.A. (1974) General viticulture. University of california Press, Berkeley, 710 PP.