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#### Abstract

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.)is one of the most important field crops in Palestine. The area Planted with barley exceeds 9270 ha. The average productivity in Palestine is about 1.7 ton/ha, which is less than $56 \%$ of average world productivity. This shortage is due to the effect of unfavourable local environmental conditions for used cultivars. Introducing high yielding and well-adapted cultivars could be one of the best solutions to overcome the low productivity of barley in palestine. Eighty-four accessions of landraces and cultivated varieties of barley were collected from different countries, mainly from the Fertile Crescent. A field experiment was conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture - An-Najah National University during two growing seasons 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 to evaluate several agronomical traits and to test the agronomic performance of the barley varieties under rain-fed conditions. The traits that were analysed are days to stem elongation, days to heading, days to maturity, tiller number, spike number, plant height, total grain yield, thousand kernel weight and vegetative biomass.


The results obtained from this study led to a clear morphological identification of studied varieties. Also the results indicated high genetic diversity among barley varieties, which make them potential sources for selection and hybridization programmes. The results also show that there are clear differences in most of the varieties between season 2015-2016 and season 2016-2017. These differences are due to the different climatic conditions between the two seasons in addition to the biotic and abiotic factors. The results also indicated that many of these genotypes are promising for grain yield as MK_RB_269 (409 g/m²) variety, and others for vegetative biomass yield as MK_RB_113 (2062g/m²) variety. Further studies are needed to compare the productivity of these genotypes with international varieties and identify QTL controlling the productivity of these genotypes and to study the variation between and within these genotypes at the molecular level.

## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

## 1. Introduction

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is one of the most important cereals currently cultivated in the world. Barley considered as one of the main important source of protein and calories in human diet (Marian 2015). The chemical composition of barley seeds as the following: 78\% carbohydrates, $1 \%$ fat, $10 \%$ protein and $2-3 \%$ minerals ( phosphorus, calcium , magnesium and potassium). It also a good source of vitamins (vitamin B6 and niacin) (FAO, 2011). In 2016 barley was considered the fourth cereal crop production in the world with 141 million tons and 47 million ha of cultivated area (FAO, 2016). Canada was the main barley producer with 13.1 million ton (Zhang et al., 2012). Whereas total cultivated area of barley in Arab world was 6.76 million ha, with 7 million mt of grains annually produced (ICARDA, 2016).

### 1.1 History of Barley

Historically barley is one of the oldest domesticated grains in the world. Its cultivation started between 9500 and 8400 years ago and it played a vital role in the revolution of civilizations by providing food to humans and animals (Azhaguvel and Komatsuda, 2007). The Oxford English dictionary indicates that the word barley is derived from the old English beerlic (barley) (Zhan et al., 2012). Barley, wheat and several pulses (grain
legumes) was originated in the 'Fertile Crescent', specifically Palestine Jordan area. This area is the region in which barley was brought into culture and then spread through Syria and Lebanon to northern Iraq and Iran (Badr et al., 2000; Preece et al., 2016). Many studies had found that barley varieties were domesticated from their wild relative, Hordeum spontaneum which is still existed and well known in the Near East. Many of the traditional varieties are still sown and conserved in-situ by local farmers (Harlan and Zohary, 1966; Badr et al., 2000).

### 1.2 Ecology of the Crop

Barley has a wider ecological range than any other field crops. Due to its ability to ripen at rather high temperatures. Barley is not particularly winter-hardy. So it is grown as a spring crop. In areas with comparative mild winters as the Mediterranean, it is grown as a winter crop where winter is preferably sunny and moderately rainy (Bukantis and Goodman,1980).

The optimum temperature for barley growth varies according to the growth stage. It can grow at relatively low temperatures until $4 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ and higher temperatures more than wheat until $30 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ especially during spike formation, but the optimum temperature during growing period is $20 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$. It can adapt to any ecological situation, but most species do not thrive in the humid tropics (James and Duke, 1983).

Barley consumes less water per unit weight of dry matter produced than other cereals, and is able to resist dry conditions well. Barley is not actually
more drought-resistant as such, but rather avoids problems due to its precocity (Harlan and Martini, 1936).

Droughts can cause large yield losses in dry areas as well as very rainy areas if droughts of various weeks occur. These may cause spike sterility, death of the stems, early maturation, etc. When a drought is combined with a heat wave during heading, a sterility of 20-90 \% of the spikelet's may occur (James and Duke, 1983). When this climatic condition occurs during the filling of the grain, it causes haying off. Sensitivity to this climatic mishap varies greatly with variety (Bukantis and Goodman, 1980). Loam soil is the most suitable soil for growing barley with pH of $7-8$. Moreover, barley can grow in light and weak soil which is unsuitable for wheat cultivation. In general, barley is more tolerant to salt and basic soil than wheat but more sensitive to acidic soil (James and Duke, 1983).

### 1.3 Barley Cultivation in Palestine

The agricultural sector is a key integral component of the cultural, social and economic fabric of Palestine. Since ancient times, agriculture in Palestine has played an important role in preserving the resilience of Palestinians during the challenging and trying times they have faced as they struggled against colonialism and occupation. Nowadays, field crops sector specially barley, wheat and legumes is one of the main agricultural sectors. It plays a central role through its contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), employment, and foreign trade. Furthermore, agriculture is
a crucial driving force for other economic sectors, and foundational pillar for preserving the environment (MoA, 2014-2016).

Barley is the second major cultivated field crops in Palestine after wheat with 10843 ha of cultivation area with 18433 ton of production. Its cultivated area is about $22 \%$ of the total area cultivated with field crops. Ninety-seven percent ( $97 \%$ ) of cultivated barley is under rain fed system. At the same time the production of barley in west bank is concentrated in Jenin, Hebron, Tubas, Dora, Yatta and Ramallah (Table 1-3) (PCBs, 2017; FAO, 2017; MOA, 2018).

Table 1-1Statistics of barley production in six governorates in the West Bank during 2017-2018 growing season

| NO | Name of <br> Governorate | Cultivated <br> Area Per (ha) | Total Production <br> of Grain / Ton | Total Production <br> of Straw / Ton |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yatta | 5750 | 6037 | 12650 |
| 2 | Dora | 1475 | 1504 | 2994 |
| 3 | Ramallah | 900 | 810 | 1350 |
| 4 | Hebron | 755 | 1887 | 3020 |
| 5 | Jenin | 600 | 1980 | 1800 |
| 6 | Tubas | 350 | 300 | 400 |

Most of the farmers are still planting old traditional varieties (landraces) which shows high level of resistance to biotic and abiotic stress factors. Landraces are common to farmers and they are adapted to local environment conditions. Their general performance is good under these conditions compared with foreign varieties which are introduced newly to Palestine. It was noticed that the average world productivity for barley exceeded 3 ton/ha and it is very high compared with average productivity of barley in Palestine (1.7ton/ha) (PCBs, 2010; FAO,2017; ICARDA,
2016). This big difference in average productivity of barley is due to many reasons, such as biotic and abiotic factors. For that, the responsible bodies like the Ministry of Agriculture and the research centres for many universities should find a way to improve the barley performance. For example, to establish national breeding program or to introduce new varieties to increase the production per unite area.

Barley represents an excellent crop model for many studies such as the effects of the clock on performance and stress adaptation. The reason is that barley has extensive genetic variation for resistance to many stresses. Moreover, it is an excellent system for genome mapping and map based analysis (Rollins et al. 2013). At the same time, barley is considered to have a short life cycle and it is used for plant breeding methodology, genetics, cytogenetics, pathology, virology and biotechnology studies (Hockett and Nilan, 1985). In addition, Campoli et al. (2012) have shown that many circadian clock genes are structurally conserved between barley and arabidopsis, and their circadian expression patterns suggested conserved functions.

### 1.4 Objective

The objective of this research is:

1. To study the morphological characterisation and the agronomic traits of 84 worldwide barley varieties.
2. To test the agronomic performance of selected wild and landrace barley lines under rain-fed conditions.

## CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Taxonomy and Classification of Barley

Barley is a self-pollinating plant. It is an annual cereal and it is a member of the grass family that belong to the order Poales, genus Hordeum which contains many species that could be classified according to the chromosomes number and morphological characteristics (Zohary et al., 2000) .

A-Classification according to chromosomes number (Chapman and Carter,1976):

1- Dipoide Group $(2 \mathrm{n}=14)$ this group includes Hordeum disticum, Hordeum vulgare, Hordeum irregular and Hordeum agriocrithum.

2- Tetraploid Group ( $2 \mathrm{n}=28$ ) this group includes the following wild type Hordeum bulbosum, Hordeum murium and Hordeum jubatum.

3- Hexaploid Group $(2 \mathrm{n}=42)$ this group contain Hordeum nodosum.
B- Classification according to morphological characteristics (Kumar et al., 2012):

1- Two-rowed barley with shattering spikes (wild barley) is classified as Hordeum spontaneum .

2- Two-rowed barley with nonshattering spikes is classified as Hordeum distichum L.

3- Six-row with shattering spikes as Hordeum agriocrithon .
4- Six-row barley with nonshattering spikes as Hordeum vulgare $L$. (or Hordeum hexastichum L.) .

### 2.2 Use and Economic Importance

Barley ranks fourth in world cereal crop production and it is among the top ten crop plants in the world. The majority of barley yield is used as animal feed, while $30 \%$ of the world barley production is used for malting purpose. Moreover, many countries like Tunisia, Iran, Chile and China are still using barley as traditional food (OECD, 2004). Many countries consider barley grain as good commodity for trade and income. For example, European countries (1998-2000) exported 12.3 million tons with 1.5 billion $\$$ at the same interval world barley grain trade was totally 20 million tons with 25 billion $\$$ (FAO, 2004). Moreover, the total annual consumption in all Arab countries was 18.75 million mt with a deficiency of 13.33 million mt. So the self-sufficient ration is nearly $28.9 \%$ (ICARDA, 2016).

### 2.3 Morphological Characteristics of Plant

The morphological method is a classical method for characterizing and variety identification.

### 2.3.1 Stem Elongation (SE)

Stem elongation (SE) depends on environment, sowing date as well as plant genotype. SE synchronizes with leaf emergence, tillering and spike formation and usually start when the plant reach 5 cm and the developing
spike is carried upwards during this phase (Kirby et al., 1994; Briggs and Morrall, 1978). Kernich et al. (1995) found that stem elongation plays a vital role for grain production. At the same time long duration of this phase will increase fertile florets at anthesis and accumulation dry matter in spike, which is very important for breeding to increase yield potential. The duration of stem elongation in spring barley is varied according to variety in general between 3 and 4 weeks after plant emergence at which the internodes elongate (Anderson et al., 2013).

### 2.3.2 Days to Heading (DtH)

Heading date (spike emergence) is the number of days from planting the seeds until the appearance of the spike out of the flag leaf sheath which occur after germination and tillering formation (Zadoks et al., 1974). Heading date is a quantitative trait controlled by genetically endogenous and environmental factors (Alqudah and Schnurbusch, 2017). Moreover, the understanding of heading date is very important for barley breeding because this leads to understanding other developmental traits like tillering, plant height and grain number ( Pasam et al., 2012; Alqudah et al., 2016). Shtaya et al., (2010) estimated the days to heading for barley landraces and founded that HV-11 and HV-15 accessions showed lowest number of days to heading ( 88 and 89 days, respectively) but the HV-5 needs 112 days to heading which is considered as late producing accession .

### 2.3.3 Days to Maturity (DtM)

Total time to maturity depends on date of seeding, geographical location and variety. Moreover, most of barley varieties need in general between 105-157 day and around 30-40\% moisture to reach to maturity (Thomas and Fukai, 1995). However, Ellis and Filho, (1992) found that the physiological maturity occurred between 30 and 40 days after $50 \%$ anthesis and granule moisture 40-49\%.

It is Common to farmers that days to maturity is a number of days from sowing to harvest but plant breeders focus on physiological maturity when plant reached to the dough stages and maximum grain fill. At this stage transportation of water and nutrients to the spike has been cut off. Moreover, seed stop increasing in volume and stop accumulate more dry matter. In this stage the final yield has been established and green colour will be lost from the peduncle and glumes at the same time the kernel is losing water rapidly. Its consistency becomes more solid, termed "hard dough" but it become ready to threshing when the kernel moisture is around 13\% (Copeland and Crookston 1985; Anderson et. al., 2013; Marian 2015).

### 2.3.4 Tiller Number (TN)

Barley plant consist of many branch stems called tillers, which start to develop at three leaves stage. The duration and tiller number vary according to environmental conditions and variety (Briggs and Morrall, 1978).

Barley is more competitive with weed than other cereal crops because of its greater tiller ability and below ground root competition. Moreover, Kirby and Faris (1972) reported a reduction in tilleres number in barley when it grown in field with high plant population or deep tillage land.

Anderson et al. (2013) found that some old varieties produce large number of tillers with few spikes but most modern varieties produce many tillers with fertile spikes. Tillers number is highly affected by nitrogen concentration in the soil and low temperatures at early season (Cannell, 1969, Alzueta et. al., 2012).

### 2.3.5 Spike length (SL)

The length of the spike is one of the main morpho-productive traits that holds great value for yield improvement in barley. Spike length varies from one cultivar to another with a general range from $7-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. Moreover, Sixrow barley gives spikes shorter than two-row barley. The spike length is influenced by sowing density. For example, increasing plant density causes the spike to be smaller as compared to low density population (Marian, 2015).

Paunovic et al. (2008) reported that spike length was increased from 7.19 cm under 30 kg of nitrogen / ha to 7.54 cm under $60 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. Knezevic et al. (2012) reported that any increase in seed size will lead to increase in spike dimensions and density and vice versa. For spike length, quantitative trait loci was located on chromosome 3 H and one in chromosome 4 H (Xue et al., 2010). Ciulca et al. (2012) also reported that the presence of the
phenomena of dominance and non-allelic interactions in the genetic determinism of this trait.

### 2.3.6 Spike Number (SN)

High fertile tillers is one of the most important characters related to high yield. Number of spikes per plant, apart from genetically effect, is strongly affected by sowing density (Barnabás et al, 2008). So sowing rates should be confirmed in the specific area of production and for the specific genotype (Marian 2015).

### 2.3.7 Plant Height (PH)

Plant height found to play an important role in increasing cereal yields. The height of barley range from 70 to 90 cm (Marian 2015). Whereas Shtaya et al., (2010) reported barley genotypes with a height of up to 109.80 cm . Plant height is very important character to survive under harsh environmental conditions, but increased plant height will lead to increased lodging risk and yield loss. On the other hand low plant height make a serious problem for harvesting and reduces the ability to be competitive against other field population. Moreover, plant height is extremely influenced by drought stress (Baum et al., 2003). Plant height is a complex trait. It is controlled by one to four genes. Moreover, it can be considered as a qualitative or quantitative trait (Xue et al., 2010). Firouzian et al. (2003) and Shtaya et al. (2010) reported that the potential genetic advantage of
plant height is low ( $8.8 \%$ ) which indicates low probability of improvement of this trait in the following generations.

The stem elongation phase in wheat and barley is important for yield determination as it is the phase when stems and spikes grow actively.

### 2.3.8 Total Grain Yield (TGY)

Grain Yield is a quantitative trait. Around $70 \%$ of the yield depends on the cultivar and $30 \%$ on other factors such as environmental conditions, sowing density, fertilization, soil and diseases (Barnabás et al., 2008). This is due to the fact that it requires a longer period for the accumulation and filling process of grains and can have a negative impact on production. (Slafer et al., 2002).

Increasing yield can be achieved by increasing spike number per plant but selection towards higher spike number is inhibited by its low heritability (Marian 2015).

### 2.3.9 Thousand Kernels Weight (TKW)

The thousand kernels weight (TKW) is an indication for seed size. It is the weight in grams of 1000 seeds. The thousand kernels weight can vary from one variety to another and even from one year to another or from field to field of the same variety (Alberta, 2018). Moreover, it ranges from 24 to 58 g. Ullrich, (2010) reported that it ranges from 43-50 g for two row barley while it was between $36-42 \mathrm{~g}$ for six row barley.

The TKW is used mainly for calculating seeding rates and harvest losses. A producer can account for seed size variations when calculating seeding rates, calibrating seed drills and Shattering and combine losses (Alberta, 2018).

## CHAPTER THREE

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## 3. Material and Methods

### 3.1 Plant Material

A collection of 84 accessions of landraces and cultivated varieties of barley from different countries, mainly from the Fertile Crescent, kindly provided by Dr. Maria von Korff, Max-Planck Institute for Plant Breeding, Germany, were used in the experiment (Table 3-1).

Table 3-1: Barley accessions used in the study.

| NO | Code/name | NO | Code/name | NO | Code/name | NO | Code/name |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | MK_RB_18 | 20 | MK_RB_183 | 39 | MK_RB_246 | 58 | LR871 |
| 2 | MK_RB_21 | 21 | MK_RB_184 | 40 | MK_RB_268 | 59 | LR1897 |
| 3 | MK_RB_86 | 22 | MK_RB_186 | 41 | MK_RB_269 | 60 | Barke |
| 4 | MK_RB_87 | 23 | MK_RB_187 | 42 | MK_RB_270 | 61 | Lr761 |
| 5 | MK_RB_94 | 24 | MK_RB_188 | 43 | MK_RB_271 | 62 | Optic |
| 6 | MK_RB_107 | 25 | MK_RB_189 | 44 | MK_RB_278 | 63 | HID44 |
| 7 | MK_RB_113 | 26 | MK_RB_190 | 45 | MK_RB_279 | 64 | HID52 |
| 8 | MK_RB_114 | 27 | MK_RB_192 | 46 | MK_RB_281 | 65 | HID301 |
| 9 | MK_RB_118 | 28 | MK_RB_223 | 47 | MK_RB_282 | 66 | LR1043 |
| 10 | MK_RB_147 | 29 | MK_RB_224 | 48 | MK_RB_284 | 67 | Marthe |
| 11 | MK_RB_150 | 30 | MK_RB_225 | 49 | MK_RB_286 | 68 | Bowman |
| 12 | MK_RB_152 | 31 | MK_RB_227 | 50 | Mutha | 69 | BW281 |
| 13 | MK_RB_154 | 32 | MK_RB_228 | 51 | Rum | 70 | BW284 |
| 14 | MK_RB_155 | 33 | MK_RB_229 | 52 | Aksad | 71 | BW285 |
| 15 | MK_RB_156 | 34 | MK_RB_230 | 53 | Arta | 72 | BW287 |
| 16 | MK_RB_157 | 35 | MK_RB_232 | 54 | Keel | 73 | BW289 |
| 17 | MK_RB_163 | 36 | MK_RB_233 | 55 | Flagship | 74 | BW290 |
| 18 | MK_RB_167 | 37 | MK_RB_240 | 56 | Morex | 75 | G400 |
| 19 | MK_RB_181 | 38 | MK_RB_241 | 57 | Auriga |  |  |

### 3.2 Field Experiment

Field experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, An-Najah National University, Tulkarm (Khadouri), Palestine ( $32.31519^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $35.02033^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and altitude of 75 m , average mean yearly rainfall 600 mm ), during two growing seasons 2015-2016 and 2016-2017, Fig (1).


Fig 1 Field preparation and planting

### 3.3 Cultural Practices

The experimental open field was ploughed two times before planting. All accessions were sown in a clay loamy soil. Accessions were sown manually in November 2015 and November 2016 in three replicates. Each accession was represented by 15 seeds in a single row, 1 m long per replicates, with 40 cm spacing between rows to evaluate agronomic performance.

Protection against aphids was made by spraying "Berimor, a.i. " at a rate of 40 g per 20 L . Plants were protected against foliar fungal diseases. Hand weeding between and within rows were done and continued throughout the
growing season. Plants were fertilized according to the recommendations of the MoA cultivation programme. No supplementary irrigation was used during the growing season.

### 3.4 Data collection

### 3.4.1 Pre - Harvesting Parameters.

### 3.4.1.1 Days to Germination:

The number of days from sowing to germination were recorded.

### 3.4.1.2 Growth Vigour:

The Growth Vigour for each cultivars during December of 2015 and the December of 2016 from 1-7, which 1 is the weakest and 7 is the strongest growth as in Fig (2) .


Fig 2 Growth Scale of Cereals (Zadoks et al . , 1974)

### 3.4.1.3 Growth Habit:

Growth habit was assessed visually from the beginning of tiller and leaves at tillering stages. The angle formed by the outer leaves and the tillers with an imaginary middle axis was observed and the average scored were registed as erect and prostrate.

### 3.4.1.4 Days to Stem Elongation:

The number of days from seedling emergence to the start of erect growth as we see in (Fig3).


Fig3 Stem Elongation

### 3.4.1.5 Days to Heading

Number of days from sowing until $50 \%$ of the plants per accession were flowering.

### 3.4.1.6 Days to maturity

Number of days from sowing until maturity. Two parameters are normally used to indicate reaching barley to physiological maturity. The first one is estimated manually in the field, when the plant reached to the dough stages and maximum grain fill. The second parameter is estimated visually when
the plants loss the green color from the uppermost internode or peduncle. The uppermost portion of the peduncle, just below the spike, will have turned very light green or yellow.

### 3.4.2 Post - Harvesting Parameters.

### 3.4.2.1 Plant Height

The height was taken from the soil surface to the upper side of the main spike. The height of 3 plants in each row was measured and then the average was used for statistical analysis.

### 3.4.2.2 Tiller Number

The total number of fertile and unfertile tillers was counted for three plants per row and the average number was used for statistical analysis.

### 3.4.2.3 Spike Number

Number of spikes for three plant per accession was accounted and the average was recorded.

### 3.4.2.4 Spike Length

Spike length was measured for ten randomly selected spikes per row and the average was used for statistical analysis.

### 3.4.2.5 Vegetative Biomass

All plants in each row were harvested manually and weighted at a two digit electrical balance.

### 3.4.2 6 Total Grain Yield

Spikes were threshed using a threshing machine and then all the seeds for each accession was weighted.

### 3.4.2.7 Thousand kernel weight (TKW)

Thousand kernel weight (TKW) was measured for randomly selected 1000 seeds from the bulk for each row using a two digit electronic balance.

# CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Pre - Harvesting Parameters

### 4.1.1 Days to Stem Elongation

Days to stem elongation ranged from 40 days to 90 days in 2015-2016 growing season and from 50 days to 81 days in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 4). The average days to stem elongation in season 2015-2016 was higher than that of season 2016-2017. This difference might be due to environmental factors such as the rainfall. The total rain accumulation in November and December of the 2015-2016 raining season was 194.8 mm while it was 150 mm in 2016-2017 raining season. Also, the distribution of rainy days during stem elongation phase in season 2015-2016 was 12 days in November and 5 days in December, While in season 2016-2017 it was 9 days in November and 7 days in December.

The results also showed that the varieties with the highest day to stemelongation in season 2015-2016 was MK_RB_155 and MK_RB_157 and in season 2016-2017 was MK_RB_157, On the other hand the varieties with the lowest day to stem elongation in season 2015-2016 was BW284, BW290 and BW289 and in season 2016-2017 was BW284 and BW290.


Fig 4 Days to stem elongation of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

### 4.1.2 Days to Heading

Days to heading ranged from 45 days to 101 days in 2015-2016 growing season and from 65 days to 130 days in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 5). The mean day to heading in season 2016-2017 was higher than that of season 2015-2016. Environmental condition specially temperature have significant effect on the number of day to heading. The mean temperature in February and March 2016 were 17 and 19 respectively, while in February and March 2017 they were 14 and 18. Higher temperature accelerates the flowering which reduces the days to heading. This was reflected in the results shown in Figure 5, where days to heading were higher in 2016-2017 season because it was colder in March and February in this season.

The results also show that the varieties with the highest day to heading in season 2015-2016 was MK_RB_184, MK_RB_157 and MK_RB_156 but in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_157, MK_RB_154 and LR1043 On the other hand the variety with the lowest day to heading in season 2015-2016 and in 2016-2017 season was BW290 and BW289.


Fig 5 Days to heading of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 20162017 growing seasons

### 4.1.3 Days to maturity

Days to maturity ranged from 80 days to 121 days in 2015-2016 growing season and from 100 days to 150 days in 2016-2017 growing season ( Fig 6). This difference may be attributed to environmental factors, as in hot and dry condition, plants grow and mature faster than it cold and moisture condition (Inamullah and Khalil, 2011). The mean temperature in April 2016 was 21, while in April 2017 was 20. Even slightly higher temperature
accelerates the maturity which reduces the days to maturity. This was reflected in the results shown in Figure 6, where days to maturity were higher in 2016-2017 season because it was colder in April in this season. The results also show that the variety with the highest day to maturity in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_157, MK_RB_246 and MK_RB_156 but in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_157, MK_RB_150 and LR1043 On the other hand the variety with the lowest day to maturity in 2015-2016 season and in 2016-2017 season was BW290 and BW289.


Fig 6 Days to maturity of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 20162017 growing seasons

### 4.2 Post - Harvesting Parameters.

### 4.2.1 Tiller Number

Number of tillers ranged from 10 tillers to 31 tillers in 2015-2016 growing season and from 20 tillers to 35 tillers in 2016-2017 growing season ( Fig 7). In season 2016-2017 all genotypes showed higher values for the number of tiller compared with season 2015-2016. It is very obvious that agronomic factors such as sowing density, nitrogen fertilizer and drought stress affect the tiller number (Marian,2015). In 2015-2016 season the weed density was higher than that in 2016-2017 season, which means more competition for light and nutrition. This resulted in less tiller number in this season as shown in Fig 7. The variation in genetic factor could be attributed to the presence of tillering inhibitor gene (tin) in the genotype (Ribot et al.,2017).

A favorable response for tillers capacity in uncompetitive system can noted the MK_RB_241 variety that in season 2015-2016 had average number of tiller of 27 but in the season 2016-2017 was 37 . The results also show that the variety with the highest tiller number in 2015-2016 season was BW284 and flagship and in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_241 and LR1897 on the other hand The variety with the lowest tiller number in 2015-2016 season was Morex and Lr761 but in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_281 and Morex.


Fig 7 Tiller number of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

### 4.2.2 Spike Number

Number of spikes ranged from 5 spikes to 26 spikes per plant in 2015-2016 growing season and from 15 spikes to 30 spikes in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 8). The average spike number in 2016-2017 season was higher than that of 2015-2016 season. This difference due to environmental stress conditions (high temperatures, drought) during or/after floral initiation, and this factors some time affected on the genotype capacity of flowering (Barnabás et al, 2008). The temperature in 2015-2016 season was higher than that in 2016-2017 season, which explains the lower spike number in that season.

The results also show that the variety with the highest spike number in 2015-2016 season was flagship, Arta and BW284(27spike) but in 2016-2017 season was LR1897 and MK_RB_241(32spike) On the other
hand the variety with the lowest spike number in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_114 (8spike) and in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_227 (11spike).


Fig 8 Spike number of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

### 4.2.3 Plant Height

Plant height ranged from 40 cm to 101 cm in 2015-2016 growing season and from 60 cm to 110 cm in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 9). Plant height in 2016-2017 season was higher than 2015-2016 season. This difference might be due to drought stress and many studies indicated the relation between plant height and rainfall while others related it with soil fertility (Marian 2015). The rainfall in 2016-2017 season was higher than that in 2015-2016 season, which explains the higher plant height in that season. Plant height plays vital role in reducing production losses that are recorded after falling plant and harvest index rise. Main limitation was due to the influence of lodging cultivars in the later growth stages, producing irregular
plant height (Vaezi et al., 2010). The results also show that the variety with the highest plant height in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_94 and Morex and in 2016-2017 season was Morex and MK_RB_107 on the other hand, the variety with the plant height in 2015-6 season was MK_RB_86 and in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_223.


Fig 9 The plant height of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

### 4.2.4 Total Grain Yield

Total grain yield ranged from 50 g to 251 g per accession in 2015-2016 growing season and from 100 g to 350 g in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig10). The mean total grain yield in 2016-2017 season was higher than 2015-2016 season. Grain yield is a quantitative trait that is strongly influenced by biotic and abiotic conditions because it requires a longer period for formation, which obviously can coincide with less favourable
environmental conditions (drought, foliar diseases), for the accumulation and filling process of grains and can experience a negative impact on production and consequently low yield (Marian, 2015). Moreover, environmental condition have a major influence on the genotype potential for grain yield production considering drought and high temperature as key stress factor with negative impact on crop yield (Barnabás et al., 2008). In 2015-2016 season there was a late start of the rainfall season and many foliar diseases and insects were noticed. Also, the harvest in that season was delayed due to late rainfall, which allowed loss of grains by birds and winds. These factors resulted in less grain yield in that season.

The results also show that the variety with the highest total grain yield in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_113 (262g) and MK_RB_152 (277g) and in 2016-2017 season was Aksad (336g) and Keel (315g). The varieties with the lowest total grain yield in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_183 (56g) and in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_94 (53g).


Fig 10 Total grain yield of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 20162017 growing seasons

### 4.2.5 Thousand Kernel Weight

Thousand kernel weight ranged from 25 g to 51 g in 2015-2016 growing season and from 30 g to 55 g in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 11). There was a slight difference in the mean of thousand kernel weight between the two seasons. Thousand kernel weight are characteristics that depend not only on the cultivar but are influenced as well by conditions such as environment specially water stress, plant cultivation and in-ear position of grains. In addition, these characteristics are also very much influenced by sowing density (Tashiro and Wardlaw, 1990; Vaezi et al., 2010). The variety with the highest thousand kernel weight in 2015-2016 season was LR871 and LR1897 (52 g) but in 2016-2017 season was LR871 and LR1897 (54 g). On the other hand The variety with the lowest thousand kernel weight in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_229 (27 g) and BW287(29 g) but in 2016-2017 season was BW287(29 g).


Fig 11 Thousand kernel weight of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

### 4.2.6 Vegetative Biomass

Vegetative biomass ranged from 100 g to 501 g per accession in 2015-2016 growing season and from 500 g to 2500 g in 2016-2017 growing season (Fig 12). The mean vegetative biomass in 2016-2017 season was higher than in 2015-2016 season. Vegetative biomass is strongly influenced by biotic and abiotic conditions because it requires a longer period for formation, depend not only on the cultivar - they are influenced as well by sowing density and growth conditions such as rainfall and temperature (Barnabás et al., 2008).

The mean vegetative biomass in season 2016-2017 was higher than season 2015-2016. This is due to late harvest in 2015-2016 season because of late rainfall (in May) which resulted in many foliar diseases such as powdery mildew. This resulted in lower vegetative biomass in that season. On the other hand, in season 2016-1017 there was no late rainfall. The results also show that the variety with the highest vegetative biomass in 2015-2016 season was MK_RB_113 (565 g) and in 2016-2017 season was MK_RB_113 (2062 g). The variety with the lowest vegetative biomass in 2015-2016 season was HID44 (124 g) and in 2016-2017 season wasMK_RB_229 (239 g).


Fig 12 Vegetative biomass of studied barley accessions during 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 growing seasons

## CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

## 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

From the results of the present study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1- Clear phonotypic variation was observed between genotypes and it was enough to distinguish between them.

2- Many of these genotypes are promising for grain yield and others for vegetative yield as straw.

3- These genotypes can be considered of breeding operations as well as for further study for developing superior barley genotypes. These barley genotypes need to be crossed and selected to develop high yielding pure line variety.

4- Improve the barley performance in Palestine by establish national breeding program or to introduce new varieties to increase the production per unite area.

5- Further studies are needed to investigate the variation between and within these genotypes at molecular level.
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## Appendix 1: Environmental Data

The weather data for the experiment site was taken for the years 2014,2015 and 2016 we attached the following table for more details.

## Table 1. weather data during 2014.

| Element Month | JAN | FEB | MAR | MAY | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Monthly max temp (c) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.6 |
| Mean Monthly Minimum Temperature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |
| Monthly Average Temperature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 |
| Absolute Monthly Maximum Temperature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.2 |
| Absolute Monthly Minimum Temperature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 |
| Monthly Total Rainfall | 12.5 | 5.0 | 92.5 | 33.0 | 0.5 | 27.5 | 161.5 | 33.3 |
| Maximum Monthly rainfall | 12.5 | 5.0 | 92.5 | 33.0 | 0.5 | 27.5 | 161.5 | 33.3 |
| Mean Monthly Relative Humidity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.7 |
| Mean Monthly Pressure At Station Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1013.9 |
| Mean Monthly Wind Speed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.3 |
| Total Number Of Rainy Days per month | 3.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 |
| Mean Monthly Solar Radiation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |

Table 2. weather data during 2015.

| Month | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Monthly max temp (c) |  | 17.8 | 21.2 | 22.7 | 27.4 | 27.5 | 30.6 | 32.9 | 32.0 | 28.2 | 24.2 | 18.7 |
| Mean Monthly Minimum Temperature |  | 11.3 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 19.6 | 21.4 | 23.5 | 26.0 | 25.3 | 21.5 | 16.9 | 12.6 |
| Monthly Average Temperature |  | 14.3 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 23.4 | 24.4 | 26.8 | 29.1 | 28.2 | 24.6 | 20.5 | 15.3 |
| Absolute Monthly Maximum Temperature |  | 27.5 | 30.1 | 36.5 | 40.7 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 37.7 | 36.1 | 35.7 | 28.9 | 21.2 |
| Absolute Monthly Minimum Temperature |  | 3.8 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 15.5 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 22.5 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Monthly Total Rainfall | 117.5 | 107.1 | 55.4 | 47.5 | 33.0 |  |  |  | 0.5 | 46.0 | 109.7 | 40.3 |
| Maximum Monthly rainfall | 222.5 | 209.2 | 92.5 | 47.5 | 33.0 |  |  |  | 0.5 | 64.5 | 161.5 | 47.3 |
| Mean Monthly Relative Humidity |  | 60.5 | 59.1 | 58.7 | 55.8 | 62.8 | 65.6 | 63.1 | 61.8 | 65.1 | 54.6 | 61.3 |
| Mean Monthly Pressure At Station Level |  | 1007.5 | 1009.6 | 1008.5 | 1005.3 | 1004.3 | 1001.8 | 1002.6 | 1004.7 | 1006.9 | 1009.4 | 1014.4 |
| Mean Monthly Wind Speed |  | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Total Number Of Rainy Days per month | 4.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 6.5 |
| Mean Monthly Solar Radiation |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Table 3. weather data during 2016.

| Month | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Monthly max temp (c) | 16.1 | 20.6 | 22.1 | 27.1 | 27.3 | 31.6 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 30.1 | 29.4 | 25.0 | 17.8 |
| Mean Monthly Minimum Temperature | 10.1 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 21.3 |  |  |
| Monthly Average Temperature | 12.8 | 16.6 | 18.3 | 22.1 | 23.1 | 26.9 | 27.8 | 28.0 | 26.5 | 24.7 | 20.3 | 13.6 |
| Absolute Monthly Maximum Temperature | 23.6 | 29.1 | 31.0 | 38.1 | 39.2 | 38.5 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 32.2 | 36.6 | 30.4 | 22.5 |
| Absolute Monthly Minimum Temperature | 4.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 23.4 | 24.3 | 20.1 | 19.1 |  |  |
| Monthly Total Rainfall | 128.6 | 87.3 | 30.1 | 11.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 289.7 |
| Maximum Monthly rainfall | 128.6 | 87.3 | 30.1 | 11.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 289.7 |
| Mean Monthly Relative Humidity | 65.2 | 60.8 | 55.3 | 49.9 | 54.6 | 55.1 | 65.0 | 65.6 | 60.6 | 56.8 | 41.9 | 66.1 |
| Mean Monthly Pressure At Station Level | 1013.0 | 1012.4 | 1007.9 | 1005.7 | 1006.2 | 1003.0 | 1000.6 | 1002.4 | 1005.4 | 1007.3 | 1010.9 | 1013.0 |
| Mean Monthly Wind Speed | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Total Number Of Rainy Days per month | 10.0 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 12.0 |
| Mean Monthly Solar Radiation | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Appendix 2: Data Analyses

## Field Data 2015-2016

| Class <br> Line | Levels | Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75 | Aksad Ar | ta Auriga | BW281 BW2 |
|  |  | G400 HID301 HID44 HID52 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB113 MKRB114 MKRB118 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB156 MKRB157 MKRB163 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB186 MKRB187 MKRB188 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB224 MKRB225 MKRB227 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB240 MKRB241 MKRB246 |  |  |
|  |  | MKRB279 MKRB281 MKRB282 MKRB |  |  |
|  |  | Morex Mutha Optic Rum flagsh |  |  |
| Rep | 3 | 123 |  |  |
| Number | of observations | 225 |  |  |


| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 20664.88000 | 271.90632 | 16.78 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 2397.76000 | 16.20108 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 23062.64000 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | DaystoStemElon | ion Mean |  |
| 0.896033 | 6.326053 | 4.025057 |  | 63.62667 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 20616.64000 | 278.60324 | 17.20 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 48.24000 | 24.12000 | 1.49 | 0.2290 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 20616.64000 | 278.60324 | 17.20 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 48.24000 | 24.12000 | 1.49 | 0.2290 |

## Dependent Variable: Days to Heading

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 31913.51111 | 419.91462 | 58.80 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 1057.00444 | 7.14192 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 32970.51556 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | DaystoHeading Mean |  |  |
| 0.967941 | 3.032572 | 2.672437 | 88.12444 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 31861.18222 | 430.55652 | 60.29 | <.0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 52.32889 | 26.16444 | 3.66 | 0.0280 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 31861.18222 | 430.55652 | 60.29 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 52.32889 | 26.16444 | 3.66 | 0.0280 |

## Dependent Variable: Days to maturity

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 22616.41778 | 297.58444 | 21.35 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 2062.76444 | 13.93760 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 24679.18222 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | Daystomaturity Mean |  |  |
| 0.916417 | 3.431631 | 3.733309 | 108.7911 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 22576.51556 | 305.08805 | 21.89 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 39.90222 | 19.95111 | 1.43 | 0.2422 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 22576.51556 | 305.08805 | 21.89 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 39.90222 | 19.95111 | 1.43 | 0.2422 |

## Dependent Variable: Tiller number

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 7480.231111 | 98.424094 | 25.60 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 568.951111 | 3.844264 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 8049.182222 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | Tillernumber Mean |  |  |
| 0.929316 | 10.43408 | 1.960680 | 18.79111 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 7477.182222 | 101.043003 | 26.28 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 3.048889 | 1.524444 | 0.40 | 0.6733 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 7477.182222 | 101.043003 | 26.28 | $<.0001$ |
| Rep | 2 | 3.048889 | 1.524444 | 0.40 | 0.6733 |

## Dependent Variable: Spike number



## Dependent Variable: Spike length



## Dependent Variable: Plan height

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 41351.83111 | 544.10304 | 48.12 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 1673.29778 | 11.30607 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 4 | 43025.12889 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | r Root MSE | Planheight Mean |  |  |
| 0.961109 | 4.972730 | 30.362449 | 67.61778 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | $74 \quad 4$ | 41314.46222 | 558.30354 | 49.38 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 37.36889 | 18.68444 | 1.65 | 0.1951 |
| Source | DF T | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | $74 \quad 4$ | 41314.46222 | 558.30354 | 49.38 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 37.36889 | 18.68444 | 1.65 | 0.1951 |

## Dependent Variable: Total Grain yield

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 649008.3200 | 8539.5832 | 39.09 | <.0001 |
| Error | 148 | 32329.4400 | 218.4422 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 681337.7600 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | TotalGrainy | ld Mean |  |
| 0.952550 | 11.53590 | 14.77979 |  | 28.1200 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 648419.0933 | 8762.4202 | 40.11 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 589.2267 | 294.6133 | 1.35 | 0.2627 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 648419.0933 | 8762.4202 | 40.11 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 589.2267 | 294.6133 | 1.35 | 0.2627 |

## Dependent Variable: TKW

|  | Sum of |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr >F |
| Model | 76 | 7349.382578 | 96.702402 | 8.68 | $<.0001$ |
| Error | 148 | 1649.759644 | 11.147025 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 8999.142222 |  |  |  |


| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | TKW Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.816676 | 8.246006 | 3.338716 | 40.48889 |


| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>$ F |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | 74 | 7229.975556 | 97.702372 | 8.76 | $<.0001$ |  |
| Rep | 2 | 119.407022 | 59.703511 | 5.36 | 0.0057 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>F$ |  |
| Line | 74 | 7229.975556 | 97.702372 | 8.76 | $<.0001$ |  |
| Rep | 2 | 119.407022 | 59.703511 | 5.36 | 0.0057 |  |

## Dependent Variable: Vegetative biomass

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 3058817.191 | 40247.595 | 49.33 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 148 | 120759.858 | 815.945 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 224 | 3179577.049 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | Vegetativebi | s Mean |  |
| 0.962020 | 10.30111 | 28.56475 |  | 277.2978 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 3057487.049 | 41317.393 | 50.64 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 1330.142 | 665.071 | 0.82 | 0.4446 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 3057487.049 | 41317.393 | 50.64 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 1330.142 | 665.071 | 0.82 | 0.4446 |

## Days to Stem Elongation

| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | N |


|  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0 | Q | L | P | N |  | M | 56.333 | 3 | MKRB181 |
| R | 0 | Q | L | P | N |  | M | 56.000 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| R | 0 | Q | L | P | N |  | M | 56.000 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| R | 0 | Q | L | P | N |  | M | 56.000 | 3 | MKRB225 |
| R | 0 | Q | L | P | N |  | M | 56.000 | 3 | Bowman |
| R | 0 | Q |  | P | N |  | M | 55.667 | 3 | MKRB279 |
| R | 0 | Q | S | P | N |  |  | 55.000 | 3 | MKRB269 |
| R | 0 | Q | S | P | N |  |  | 55.000 | 3 | MKRB241 |
| R | 0 | Q | S | P | N |  |  | 54.667 | 3 | MKRB227 |
| R | 0 | Q | S | P |  | T |  | 51.333 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| R |  | Q | S | P |  | T |  | 49.333 | 3 | BW284 |
| R |  | Q | S |  |  | T |  | 46.000 | 3 | BW285 |
| R |  |  | S |  |  | T |  | 43.333 | 3 | G400 |
|  |  |  | S |  |  | T |  | 42.667 | 3 | BW289 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  | 41.667 | 3 | BW290 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line


| S W |  | U | V |  | T | 82.333 | 3 | Bowman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | X | U | V |  | T | 82.000 | 3 | BW281 |
| W | X | U | V | Y |  | 81.333 | 3 | Mutha |
| W | X |  | V | Y |  | 81.000 | 3 | Keel |
| W | X |  | V | Y |  | 81.000 | 3 | MKRB241 |
| W | X |  |  | Y |  | 76.667 | 3 | MKRB282 |
| Z | X |  |  | Y |  | 74.000 | 3 | MKRB279 |
| Z |  |  |  | Y |  | 73.333 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| Z | A |  |  |  |  | 67.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| B | A |  |  |  |  | 60.667 | 3 | BW284 |
| B |  |  |  |  |  | 59.000 | 3 | BW285 |
| B | C |  |  |  |  | 57.000 | 3 | G400 |
| D | C |  |  |  |  | 51.000 | 3 | BW290 |
| D |  |  |  |  |  | 47.667 | 3 | BW289 |

Days to maturity

|  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | 125.667 | 3 | MKRB156


| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | J | L | I | N | K | M | P | 102.333 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| 0 | J | L |  | N | K | M | P | 101.667 | 3 | Bowman |
| 0 |  | L |  | N | K | M | P | 99.667 | 3 | MKRB241 |
| 0 |  | L |  | N |  | M | P | 99.000 | 3 | MKRB282 |
| 0 |  |  |  | N | Q | M | P | 97.000 | 3 | Morex |
| 0 |  | R |  | N | Q |  | P | 95.667 | 3 | MKRB269 |
| 0 |  | R |  |  | Q | S | P | 92.333 | 3 | MKRB279 |
|  |  | R |  |  | Q | S | P | 91.333 | 3 | MKRB286 |
|  |  | R |  |  | Q | S | P | 91.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
|  |  | R |  |  | Q | S |  | 87.000 | 3 | G400 |
|  |  | R |  |  |  | S |  | 84.667 | 3 | BW284 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S |  | 84.000 | 3 | BW285 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S |  | 83.000 | 3 | BW289 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S |  | 82.333 | 3 | BW290 |

Tiller number

|  |  |  |  | REGWQ Grouping |  |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | 35.667 | 3 | MKRB223



| Q | N | P | X | Y | M | S | T | R | W | L | V | 0 | U | 13.667 | 3 | Bowman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q | N | P | X | Y | M | S | T | R | W | Z | V | 0 | U | 13.333 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| Q | N | P | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V | 0 | U | 13.000 | 3 | MKRB186 |
| Q | N | P | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V | 0 | U | 13.000 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| Q |  | P | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V | 0 | U | 12.667 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| Q |  | P | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 12.333 | 3 | MKRB188 |
| Q |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 12.000 | 3 | Auriga |
| Q |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 12.000 | 3 | Marthe |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.667 | 3 | MKRB94 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.667 | 3 | G400 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.667 | 3 | MKRB163 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.667 | 3 | MKRB271 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T | R | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.667 | 3 | Rum |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.333 | 3 | MKRB152 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 11.333 | 3 | MKRB227 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A | S | T |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 10.667 | 3 | MKRB18 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  | T |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 10.333 | 3 | MKRB184 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  | T |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 10.000 | 3 | MKRB284 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  | W | Z | V |  | U | 9.667 | 3 | LR1043 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  | W | Z | V |  |  | 9.333 | 3 | Aksad |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  | W | Z | V |  |  | 9.333 | 3 | MKRB107 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  | W | Z | V |  |  | 9.333 | 3 | MKRB269 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  | W | Z |  |  |  | 9.000 | 3 | MKRB167 |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  |  | Z |  |  |  | 8.667 | 3 | Morex |
|  |  |  | X | Y | A |  |  |  |  | Z |  |  |  | 8.667 | 3 | MKRB118 |
|  |  |  |  | Y | A |  |  |  |  | Z |  |  |  | 8.333 | 3 | MKRB114 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | Z |  |  |  | 8.000 | 3 | Lr761 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.667 | 3 | MKRB86 |


|  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | 108.333 | 3 | Morex


| Z |  | T | A | X | Y | S | V | W | U | 54.333 | 3 | MKRB189 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Z |  | T | A | X | Y | S | V | W | U | 54.333 | 3 | MKRB192 |
| Z | B | T | A | X | Y | S | V | W | U | 53.667 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| Z | B | T | A | X | Y |  | V | W | U | 53.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  | V | W | U | 52.333 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  | V | W |  | 51.000 | 3 | MKRB224 |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  |  | W |  | 50.333 | 3 | MKRB188 |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  |  | W |  | 50.333 | 3 | Auriga |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  |  |  |  | 48.667 | 3 | Arta |
| Z | B |  | A | X | Y |  |  |  |  | 48.667 | 3 | MKRB86 |
| Z | B |  | A |  | Y |  |  |  |  | 48.333 | 3 | BW285 |
| Z | B |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47.000 | 3 | MKRB18 |
|  | B |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.000 | 3 | BW290 |
|  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.000 | 3 | BW289 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | N |


| C | B | A | Y | Z | X | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | B | A | Y | Z |  | D |
| C | B | A | Y | Z |  | D |
| C | B | A | Y | Z | D |  |
| C | B | A | Y | Z | D |  |
| C | B | A |  | Z | D |  |
| C | B | A |  | Z | D |  |
| C | B | A |  |  | D |  |
| C | B |  |  |  | D |  |
| C | B |  |  |  | D |  |
| C | B |  |  |  | D |  |
| C |  |  |  |  | D |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  |


| 73.33 | 3 | LR1043 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 72.67 | 3 | MKRB157 |
| 71.33 | 3 | HID52 |
| 71.00 | 3 | MKRB284 |
| 70.33 | 3 | BW290 |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| 61.33 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| 58.67 | 3 | MKRB189 |
| 57.33 | 3 | HID44 |
| 56.67 | 3 | MKRB281 |
| 56.33 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| 51.33 | 3 | G400 |
| 48.33 | 3 | MKRB87 |
| 45.33 | 3 | MKRB232 |



## 61

| K | J | T | I | R | Q | H | P | S | 0 | N | M | L | 34.367 | 3 | Lr761 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | J | T | I | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N | M | L | 34.067 | 3 | MKRB114 |
| K | J | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N | M | L | 33.833 | 3 | MKRB181 |
| K |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N | M | L | 33.300 | 3 | BW289 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N | M | L | 32.833 | 3 | HID301 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N | M |  | 32.500 | 3 | MKRB167 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 | N |  |  | 32.100 | 3 | MKRB271 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S | 0 |  |  |  | 31.467 | 3 | MKRB87 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  | P | S |  |  |  |  | 31.200 | 3 | BW290 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  |  | S |  |  |  |  | 30.967 | 3 | MKRB150 |
|  |  | T |  | R | Q |  |  | S |  |  |  |  | 30.933 | 3 | HID44 |
|  |  | T |  | R |  |  |  | S |  |  |  |  | 30.200 | 3 | MKRB284 |
|  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  | S |  |  |  |  | 28.567 | 3 | BW287 |
|  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.967 | 3 | MKRB229 |

## Vegetative biomass



| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | X | Y | Z | B | 157.67 | 3 | LR1043 |
| A | X | Y | Z | B | 157.00 | 3 | MKRB157 |
| A | X | Y | Z | B | 153.67 | 3 | HID52 |
| A | X | Y | Z | B | 153.33 | 3 | BW290 |
| A |  | Y | Z | B | 153.00 | 3 | MKRB284 |
| A |  |  | Z | B | 132.67 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| A |  |  | Z | B | 131.67 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| A |  |  | Z | B | 127.67 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| A |  |  |  | B | 123.67 | 3 | HID44 |
| A |  |  |  | B | 122.00 | 3 | MKRB281 |
| A |  |  |  | B | 120.67 | 3 | G400 |
|  |  |  |  | B | 106.00 | 3 | MKRB189 |
|  |  |  |  | B | 104.67 | 3 | MKRB87 |
|  |  |  |  | B | 97.33 | 3 | MKRB232 |

## Field data 2016-2017

The GLM Procedure
Class Level Information

| Class <br> Line | Levels 75 | Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aksad Arta Auriga BW281 BW284 BW285 BW287 BW289 BW290 Barke Bowman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | G400 HID301 HID44 HID52 <br> MKRB113 MKRB114 MKRB118 |  |  | Keel LR1043 LR |  | 897 LR871 Lr761 MKRB107 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MKRB147 | MKRB150 | MKRB152 | MKRB154 | MKRB155 |
|  |  | MKRB156 | MKRB157 | MKRB163 | MKRB167 | MKRB18 | MKRB181 | MKRB183 | MKRB184 |
|  |  | MKRB186 | MKRB187 | MKRB188 | MKRB189 | MKRB190 | MKRB192 | MKRB21 | MKRB223 |
|  |  | MKRB224 | MKRB225 | MKRB227 | MKRB228 | MKRB229 | MKRB230 | MKRB232 | MKRB233 |
|  |  | MKRB240 | MKRB241 | MKRB246 | MKRB268 | MKRB269 | MKRB270 | MKRB271 | MKRB278 |
|  |  | MKRB279 | MKRB281 | MKRB282 M | KRB284 MK | RB286 MK | RB86 MKRB | 87 MKRB9 | Marthe |
|  |  | Morex Mu | tha Opti | Rum flag | ship |  |  |  |  |
| Rep | 3 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbe | of observations | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dependent Variable: Days to stem elongation

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 81973.7935 | 1078.6025 | 6.46 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 147 | 24543.5636 | 166.9630 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 106517.3571 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | Daystostemelong | ion Mean |  |
| 0.769582 | 22.49299 | 12.92142 |  | 57.44643 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 81570.69048 | 1102.30663 | 6.60 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 403.10306 | 201.55153 | 1.21 | 0.3020 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 81547.78775 | 1101.99713 | 6.60 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 403.10306 | 201.55153 | 1.21 | 0.3020 |

## Dependent Variable: Days to heading

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 70828.59147 | 931.95515 | 171.19 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 147 | 800.26568 | 5.44398 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 71628.85714 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coeff Var } \\ 2.289088 \end{array}$ | Root MSE | Daystoheading Mean |  |  |
| 0.988828 |  | 2.333235 | 101.9286 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 70806.19048 | 956.84041 | 175.76 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 22.40099 | 11.20050 | 2.06 | 0.1314 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 70827.89414 | 957.13370 | 175.81 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 22.40099 | 11.20050 | 2.06 | 0.1314 |

## Dependent Variable: Days to maturity

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 29115.96173 | 383.10476 | 24.99 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 147 | 2253.42667 | 15.32943 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 31369.38839 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | Daystomaturity Mean |  |  |
| 0.928165 | 3.112992 | 3.915282 | 125.7723 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 29103.38839 | 393.28903 | 25.66 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 12.57333 | 6.28667 | 0.41 | 0.6643 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 29114.95802 | 393.44538 | 25.67 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 12.57333 | 6.28667 | 0.41 | 0.6643 |

## Dependent Variable: Tiller Number

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 4003.616836 | 52.679169 | 1.87 | 0.0006 |
| Error | 147 | 4133.164414 | 28.116765 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 8136.781250 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | TillerNumber Mean |  |  |
| 0.492039 | 20.41887 | 5.302524 | 25.96875 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 3905.447917 | 52.776323 | 1.88 | 0.0006 |
| Rep | 2 | 98.168919 | 49.084459 | 1.75 | 0.1781 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 3913.327117 | 52.882799 | 1.88 | 0.0006 |
| Rep | 2 | 98.168919 | 49.084459 | 1.75 | 0.1781 |

## Dependent Variable: Spike Number



## Dependent Variable: Spike Length

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 762 | 213.9102016 | 2.8146079 | 5.57 | <.0001 |
| Error | 147 | 74.2784480 | 0.5052956 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 2 | 288.1886496 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var Root MSE |  | SpikeLength Mean |  |  |
| 0.742258 | 8.630036 | 60.710841 | 8.236830 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | $74 \quad 2$ | 208.6036496 | 2.8189682 | 5.58 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 5.3065520 | 2.6532760 | 5.25 | 0.0063 |
| Source | DF T | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 742 | 208.6846782 | 2.8200632 | 5.58 | <.0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 5.3065520 | 2.6532760 | 5.25 | 0.0063 |

## Dependent Variable: Plant Height



## Dependent Variable: Total Grain Yield

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 1712836.918 | 22537.328 | 14.62 | <. 0001 |
| Error | 147 | 226649.881 | 1541.836 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 1939486.799 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | TotalGrainY | ld Mean |  |
| 0.883139 | 22.05945 | 39.26622 |  | 78.0018 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 1699321.993 | 22963.811 | 14.89 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 13514.925 | 6757.463 | 4.38 | 0.0142 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 1700082.026 | 22974.081 | 14.90 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 13514.925 | 6757.463 | 4.38 | 0.0142 |

## Dependent Variable: TKW

|  | Sum of |  |  |  |  | Mean Square |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Me | Pr $>$ F |  |  |
| Model | 76 | 7887.81805 | 103.78708 | 5.68 | $<.0001$ |  |
| Error | 147 | 2687.69909 | 18.28367 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 10575.51714 |  |  |  |  |


| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | TKW Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.745856 | 10.08561 | 4.275941 | 42.39643 |


| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | 74 | 7737.812143 | 104.565029 | 5.72 | $<.0001$ |
| Rep | 2 | 150.005911 | 75.002955 | 4.10 | 0.0185 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>$ F |
| Line | 74 | 7726.772082 | 104.415839 | 5.71 | $<.0001$ |
| Rep | 2 | 150.005911 | 75.002955 | 4.10 | 0.0185 |

## Dependent Variable: Vegetative Biomass

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Model | 76 | 30241501.37 | 397914.49 | 43.54 | <.0001 |
| Error | 147 | 1343300.06 | 9138.10 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 223 | 31584801.43 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | VegetativeBi | ss Mean |  |
| 0.957470 | 11.07549 | 95.59339 |  | 863.1071 |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 30238382.76 | 408626.79 | 44.72 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 3118.61 | 1559.30 | 0.17 | 0.8433 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Line | 74 | 30241292.94 | 408666.12 | 44.72 | <. 0001 |
| Rep | 2 | 3118.61 | 1559.30 | 0.17 | 0.8433 |

Days to stem elongation
REGWQ Grouping

| Mean | N | Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 194.67 | 3 | MKRB268 |
| 81.33 | 3 | MKRB157 |
| 77.00 | 3 | MKRB150 |
| 71.33 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| 68.67 | 3 | MKRB189 |
| 65.00 | 3 | MKRB87 |
| 65.00 | 3 | MKRB188 |
| 64.67 | 3 | MKRB156 |
| 64.67 | 3 | MKRB230 |
| 64.67 | 3 | MKRB167 |
| 64.67 | 3 | MKRB232 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB192 |
| 64.00 | 3 | HID44 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB114 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB163 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB94 |
| 64.00 | 3 | Arta |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB86 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB21 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB233 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB18 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB281 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB246 |
| 64.00 | 3 | Optic |
| 64.00 | 3 | Lr761 |
| 64.00 | 3 | MKRB184 |
| 61.67 | 3 | LR1897 |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB187 |
| 61.67 | 3 | Barke |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB154 |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB229 |
| 61.67 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| 59.33 | 3 | MKRB270 |
| 59.33 | 3 | Auriga |
| 59.33 | 3 | HID301 |
| 59.33 | 3 | Marthe |
| 59.33 | 3 | MKRB224 |
| 57.67 | 3 | MKRB147 |
| 57.00 | 3 | flagship |
| 57.00 | 3 | MKRB282 |
| 57.00 | 3 | Mutha |
| 57.00 | 3 | MKRB271 |
| 56.00 | 3 | HID52 |
| 56.00 | 3 | MKRB181 |
| 53.67 | 3 | MKRB228 |
| 53.67 | 3 | Keel |
| 51.33 | 3 | MKRB113 |
| 51.33 | 3 | MKRB227 |
| 48.00 | 3 | Morex |
| 48.00 | 3 | MKRB186 |
| 48.00 | 3 | Rum |
| 48.00 | 3 | BW281 |
| 45.67 | 3 | MKRB284 |
| 45.67 | 3 | LR1043 |
| 45.67 | 3 | MKRB225 |
| 45.67 | 3 | Bowman |
| 45.67 | 3 | LR871 |
| 40.00 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| 40.00 | 3 | MKRB107 |
| 40.00 | 3 | MKRB279 |


| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB118 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | Aksad |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB241 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | G400 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | BW284 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | BW285 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | BW287 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | BW289 |
| B | 40.00 | 2 | BW290 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB269 |
| B | 40.00 | 3 | MKRB152 |

Days to heading

|  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  | Mean | N | Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | 130.000 | 3 | LR1043 |
|  |  |  | A |  | 129.000 | 3 | MKRB156 |
|  | B |  | A |  | 126.667 | 3 | MKRB154 |
|  | B |  | A | C | 125.000 | 3 | MKRB86 |
|  | B |  | A | C | 125.000 | 3 | MKRB157 |
|  | B | D | A | C | 123.333 | 3 | MKRB150 |
|  | B | D | E | C | 121.333 | 3 | MKRB268 |
|  | B | D | E | C | 119.667 | 3 | MKRB94 |
|  | B | D | E | C | 119.667 | 3 | MKRB167 |
|  |  | D | E | C | 119.000 | 3 | MKRB246 |
|  |  | D | E | C | 119.000 | 3 | MKRB87 |
|  |  | D | E | C | 118.667 | 3 | Morex |
|  | F | D | E | C | 118.333 | 3 | MKRB21 |
| G | F | D | E | C | 118.000 | 3 | Optic |
| G | F | D | E | H | 117.333 | 3 | MKRB114 |
| G | F | D | E | H | 117.000 | 3 | MKRB184 |
| G | F | I | E | H | 114.333 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| G | F | I | J | H | 111.333 | 3 | MKRB18 |
| G |  | I | J | H | 111.000 | 3 | MKRB189 |
|  |  | I | J | H | 110.667 | 3 | MKRB229 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.667 | 3 | MKRB281 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.667 | 3 | MKRB187 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.333 | 3 | MKRB224 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.000 | 3 | Barke |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.000 | 3 | MKRB270 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 109.000 | 3 | MKRB223 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 108.000 | 3 | MKRB163 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 108.000 | 3 | MKRB271 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 108.000 | 3 | MKRB230 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 108.000 | 3 | MKRB284 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.667 | 3 | Arta |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.667 | 3 | Lr761 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.667 | 3 | MKRB233 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.333 | 3 | flagship |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.000 | 3 | MKRB113 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.000 | 3 | MKRB227 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.000 | 3 | MKRB192 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 107.000 | 3 | MKRB147 |
|  |  | I | J |  | 106.667 | 3 | Marthe |
|  | K |  | J |  | 105.667 | 3 | HID44 |
|  | K |  | J |  | 105.333 | 3 | MKRB188 |
|  | K |  | J |  | 105.333 | 3 | MKRB232 |
|  | K |  | J |  | 105.333 | 3 | Auriga |
|  | K |  | J |  | 105.000 | 3 | MKRB190 |
|  | K |  | J | L | 103.667 | 3 | MKRB107 |
|  | K |  | M | L | 99.333 | 3 | MKRB181 |
|  | K |  | M | L | 99.000 | 3 | MKRB118 |
|  | N |  | M | L | 97.667 | 3 | LR1897 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.333 | 3 | BW281 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.333 | 3 | MKRB228 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.000 | 3 | Keel |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.000 | 3 | MKRB183 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.000 | 3 | MKRB225 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.000 | 3 | LR871 |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 96.000 | 3 | Aksad |
|  | N |  | M | 0 | 94.667 | 3 | MKRB269 |
|  | N | P | M | 0 | 93.667 | 3 | MKRB186 |
| Q | N | P | M | 0 | 93.333 | 3 | HID52 |
| Q | N | P | M | 0 | 93.000 | 3 | Rum |
| Q | N | P | M | 0 | 92.333 | 3 | Mutha |
| Q | N | P | M | 0 | 92.333 | 3 | HID301 |
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| Q | N | P | M | O | 92.333 | 3 | BW287 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q | N | P |  | 0 | 91.333 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| Q | N | P |  | 0 | 91.000 | 3 | MKRB152 |
| Q |  | P |  | 0 | 90.000 | 3 | Bowman |
| Q |  | P |  |  | 86.667 | 3 | MKRB282 |
| Q |  | P |  |  | 86.333 | 3 | MKRB241 |
| Q |  |  |  |  | 86.000 | 3 | MKRB279 |
|  |  |  | R |  | 74.000 | 3 | MKRB286 |
|  |  |  | S |  | 66.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
|  |  | T |  | 59.333 | 3 | BW285 |  |
|  |  | T |  | 58.000 | 3 | BW284 |  |
|  |  | U |  | 47.333 | 3 | G400 |  |
|  |  | U |  | 46.333 | 3 | BW289 |  |
|  |  | U |  | 46.000 | 2 | BW290 |  |

Days to maturity

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line


|  | R | S | P | Q | 0 | $N$ | 119.333 | 3 | Aksad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | S | P | Q | 0 | $N$ | 119.000 | 3 | Rum |
|  | R | S | P | Q | 0 |  | 118.000 | 3 | Bowman |
|  | R | S | P | Q |  |  | 117.667 | 3 | MKRB279 |
|  | R | S |  | Q |  |  | 117.000 | 3 | MKRB282 |
|  | R | S |  | Q |  |  | 116.667 | 3 | MKRB241 |
|  | R | S |  |  |  |  | 114.333 | 3 | HID52 |
| T |  | S |  |  |  |  | 107.333 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 3 | G400 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 3 | BW289 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 3 | BW284 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 3 | BW285 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.000 | 2 | BW290 |

Tiller Number

| REGWQ Grouping |  | Mean | N | Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 36.667 | 3 | MKRB241 |
|  | A | 36.667 | 3 | LR1897 |
| B | A | 34.000 | 3 | MKRB118 |
| B | A | 33.333 | 3 | flagship |
| B | A | 32.333 | 3 | Auriga |
| B | A | 31.667 | 3 | Keel |
| B | A | 31.333 | 3 | MKRB232 |
| B | A | 31.000 | 3 | Mutha |
| B | A | 30.333 | 3 | BW284 |
| B | A | 30.333 | 3 | HID44 |
| B | A | 30.000 | 3 | MKRB225 |
| B | A | 30.000 | 3 | Marthe |
| B | A | 29.667 | 3 | Bowman |
| B | A | 29.667 | 3 | MKRB87 |
| B | A | 29.333 | 3 | BW285 |
| B | A | 29.000 | 3 | MKRB268 |
| B | A | 29.000 | 3 | MKRB157 |
| B | A | 29.000 | 3 | MKRB230 |
| B | A | 29.000 | 3 | MKRB113 |
| B | A | 29.000 | 3 | MKRB240 |
| B | A | 28.667 | 3 | MKRB18 |
| B | A | 28.667 | 3 | MKRB279 |
| B | A | 28.000 | 3 | Optic |
| B | A | 28.000 | 3 | MKRB189 |
| B | A | 28.000 | 3 | MKRB192 |
| B | A | 27.333 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| B | A | 27.333 | 3 | Aksad |
| B | A | 27.000 | 3 | MKRB21 |
| B | A | 27.000 | 3 | MKRB233 |
| B | A | 27.000 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| B | A | 27.000 | 3 | LR871 |
| B | A | 26.667 | 3 | MKRB163 |
| B | A | 26.667 | 3 | MKRB188 |
| B | A | 26.333 | 3 | MKRB150 |
| B | A | 26.333 | 3 | HID301 |
| B | A | 26.333 | 3 | BW289 |
| B | A | 26.333 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| B | A | 26.333 | 3 | MKRB269 |
| B | A | 26.000 | 3 | BW287 |
| B | A | 26.000 | 3 | Arta |
| B | A | 26.000 | 3 | MKRB147 |
| B | A | 25.667 | 3 | MKRB224 |
| B | A | 25.333 | 3 | BW281 |
| B | A | 25.333 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| B | A | 25.000 | 3 | MKRB270 |
| B | A | 25.000 | 3 | MKRB228 |
| B | A | 24.667 | 3 | MKRB282 |
| B | A | 24.333 | 3 | MKRB152 |
| B | A | 24.333 | 3 | MKRB229 |
| B | A | 24.000 | 3 | MKRB107 |
| B | A | 24.000 | 3 | MKRB181 |
| B | A | 24.000 | 3 | G400 |
| B | A | 24.000 | 3 | Barke |
| B | A | 24.000 | 3 | MKRB186 |
| B | A | 23.333 | 3 | Rum |
| B | A | 23.000 | 3 | MKRB86 |
| B | A | 23.000 | 3 | MKRB156 |
| B | A | 22.667 | 3 | MKRB114 |
| B | A | 22.667 | 3 | HID52 |
| B | A | 22.667 | 3 | MKRB190 |
| B | A | 22.333 | 3 | MKRB167 |
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| B | A | 21.667 | 3 | MKRB284 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | A | 21.667 | 3 | MKRB187 |
| B | A | 21.333 | 3 | MKRB246 |
| B | A | 21.000 | 3 | MKRB184 |
| B | A | 21.000 | 3 | Lr761 |
| B | A | 20.333 | 3 | MKRB271 |
| B | A | 20.000 | 2 | BW290 |
| B | A | 19.667 | 3 | MKRB154 |
| B | A | 19.333 | 3 | LR1043 |
| B | A | 19.333 | 3 | MKRB227 |
| B | A | 18.667 | 3 | Morex |
| B | A | 18.667 | 3 | MKRB94 |
| B |  | 17.667 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| B |  | 17.667 | 3 | MKRB281 |

## Spike Number

| REGWQ | Grouping | Mean | N | Line |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | A |  | 31.900 | 3 |
| B | A |  | 30.567 | 3 | LRRB241


|  |  |  | 77 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | A | C | 17.000 | 3 | G400 |
| B | A | C | 16.800 | 3 | HID301 |
| B | A | C | 16.200 | 3 | MKRB271 |
| B | A | C | 15.800 | 3 | MKRB94 |
| B | A | C | 15.567 | 3 | MKRB184 |
| B | A | C | 15.200 | 2 | BW290 |
| B | A | C | 15.000 | 3 | MKRB278 |
| B | A | C | 14.533 | 3 | Morex |
| B | A | C | 13.767 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| B | A | C | 13.500 | 3 | MKRB281 |
| B | A | C | 13.433 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| B |  | C | 11.667 | 3 | LR1043 |
| B |  | C | 11.300 | 3 | MKRB154 |
|  |  | C | 10.533 | 3 | MKRB227 |

## Spike Length



| K | J | I | H | G | M | L | 7.5000 | 3 | MKRB229 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | J | I | H | G | M | L | 7.5000 | 3 | Arta |
| K | J | I | H | G | M | L | 7.5000 | 3 | flagship |
| K | J | I | H | G | M | L | 7.4000 | 3 | BW284 |
| K | J | I | H |  | M | L | 7.2000 | 2 | BW290 |
| K | J | I | H |  | M | L | 7.1667 | 3 | MKRB227 |
| K | J | I | H |  | M | L | 7.1333 | 3 | BW285 |
| K | J | I |  |  | M | L | 7.0333 | 3 | BW289 |
| K | J | I |  |  | M | L | 7.0000 | 3 | MKRB152 |
| K | J |  |  |  | M | L | 6.7667 | 3 | Rum |
| K | J |  |  |  | M | L | 6.7000 | 3 | G400 |
| K |  |  |  |  | M | L | 6.6333 | 3 | MKRB270 |
|  |  |  |  |  | M | L | 6.5667 | 3 | Aksad |
|  |  |  |  |  | M |  | 6.0333 | 3 | MKRB113 |

## Plant Height

| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  | Mean | N | Lin |  |
|  |  | B |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | 108.110 | 3 | MKRB107


|  |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E | J | I | D | H | G | C | F | 66.220 | 3 | LR1043 |
| E | J | I | D | H | G | C | F | 65.000 | 3 | MKRB187 |
| E | J | I | D | H | G | C | F | 64.443 | 3 | MKRB190 |
| E | J | I | D | H | G |  | F | 63.333 | 3 | MKRB224 |
| E | J | I | D | H | G |  | F | 63.333 | 3 | MKRB268 |
| E | J | I | D | H | G |  | F | 62.890 | 3 | BW289 |
| E | J | I |  | H | G | F | 61.333 | 3 | MKRB281 |  |
| E | J | I |  | H | G | F | 61.003 | 3 | Arta |  |
|  | J | I | H | G |  | F | 59.997 | 3 | MKRB232 |  |
|  | J | I | H | G |  |  | 58.670 | 2 | BW290 |  |
|  | J | I | H |  |  |  | 57.443 | 3 | MKRB229 |  |
|  | J | I | H |  |  |  | 56.943 | 3 | MKRB154 |  |
|  | J | I |  |  |  |  |  | 55.887 | 3 | MKRB87 |




| 92.47 | 3 | G400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 89.30 | 3 | MKRB268 |
| 87.50 | 3 | HID301 |
| 87.17 | 3 | MKRB163 |
| 83.27 | 3 | MKRB183 |
| 74.30 | 3 | LR1043 |
| 72.10 | 3 | MKRB223 |
| 69.20 | 3 | MKRB286 |
| 65.27 | 3 | MKRB229 |
| 64.57 | 3 | MKRB21 |
| 54.97 | 3 | MKRB154 |
| 53.27 | 3 | MKRB94 |
| 44.83 | 3 | BW289 |
| 16.10 | 2 | BW290 |



| K | E | J | I | H | P | 0 | G | N | M | F | L | 35.267 | 3 | Lr761 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K |  | J | I | H | P | 0 | G | $N$ | M | F | L | 35.167 | 3 | Morex |
| K |  | J | I | H | P | 0 | G | $N$ | M |  | L | 34.200 | 3 | HID301 |
| K |  | J | I | H | P | 0 | G | N | M |  | L | 34.167 | 3 | MKRB114 |
| K |  | J | I | H | P | 0 | G | N | M |  | L | 34.000 | 3 | BW289 |
| K |  | J | I | H | P | 0 |  | N | M |  | L | 33.733 | 3 | MKRB87 |
| K |  | J | I |  | P | 0 |  | N | M |  | L | 33.667 | 3 | MKRB271 |
| K |  | J |  |  | P | 0 |  | N | M |  | L | 33.167 | 3 | MKRB167 |
| K |  |  |  |  | P | 0 |  | N | M |  | L | 32.733 | 3 | HID44 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P | 0 |  | N | M |  | L | 32.450 | 2 | BW290 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P | 0 |  | N | M |  |  | 32.000 | 3 | MKRB150 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P | 0 |  | $N$ |  |  |  | 31.633 | 3 | MKRB284 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 31.033 | 3 | MKRB229 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.000 | 3 | BW287 |

## Vegetative Biomass

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | REGWQ | Grouping |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | N | Line


| B | W | D | A | Z | E | Y | x | C | 517.67 | 3 | MKRB155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B |  | D | A | Z | E | Y | X | C | 495.33 | 3 | HID301 |
| B |  | D | A | Z | E | Y | X | C | 484.33 | 3 | MKRB184 |
| B |  | D | A | Z | E | Y |  | C | 436.33 | 3 | MKRB232 |
| B |  | D | A | Z | E |  |  | C | 433.00 | 3 | MKRB87 |
| B |  | D | A |  | E |  |  | C | 424.67 | 3 | MKRB190 |
| B |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C | 378.33 | 3 | G400 |
|  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C | 357.33 | 3 | MKRB187 |
|  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C | 355.33 | 3 | LR1043 |
|  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  |  | 347.00 | 3 | MKRB154 |
|  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  |  | 340.00 | 3 | MKRB94 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  | 267.00 | 2 | BW290 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  | 264.67 | 3 | MKRB183 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  | 239.33 | 3 | MKRB229 |
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## الملخص

يعتبر الثعير من أحد أهم المحاصيل الحقلية المزرو عة في فلسطين بمساحة تتجاوز 92700 دونم ومعدل إنتاجية 170 كغم/ دونم والتي تمثل اقل من 56 بالمائة من معدل إنتاجية الثعير العالمية. و يعزى هذا الانخفاض في الإنتاجية إلى الظروف البيئية الغير ملائمة للأصناف المزروعة. إن إدخال أصناف عالية الإنتاج وذات تأقلم جيد يمكن أن يكون من الحلول الفضلى. للراسة هذه الحلول، فقد أجريت تجربة في كلية الزر اعة التابعة لجامعة النجاح الوطنية في محافظة طولكرم على العديد من الأصناف وذلك خلال موسمين زراعيين (2016-2015 و 2017-2016)، حيث زر عت الأصناف في ثلاث مكررات. تم تجميع أربعة وثمانون صنف من الشعير لتتفيذ هذه التجربة ضمت أصناف محلية وأصناف أخرى من دول مختلفة. أجريت هذه التجربة من اجل دراسة الاختلافات الشكلية الخارجية والإنتاجية ومن أجل تقييم الأداء الزر اعي لأصناف مختلفة من الشعير تحت الظروف البعلية. حيث تم دراسة الصفات التالية: (عدد الأيام من الزر اعة حتى استطالة الساق الرئيسي ،عدد الأيام من الزراعة حتى الإزهار ،عدد الأيام من الزر اعة حتى النضج ،عدد الأشطاء، عدد السنابل، ارتفاع النبات، مجموع إنتاج الحبوب، وزن الألف بذرة ووزن الكتلة الحيوية الخضرية). قادت نتائج هذه الدر اسة إلى تحديد شكلي واضح للأصناف المدروسة ،كما أشتارت النتائج إلى انه يوجد تنوع جيني عالي بين أصناف الشعير ،مما يجعلها مصـادر جيده لبرامج الاختيار والتهجين. يوجد اختلاف واضح في النتائج بين الموسمين الزراعيين (2016-2015 و 2017-2016) ويرجع هذا الاختلاف إلى اختلاف الظروف المناخية بين الموسمين بالإضافة إلى الظروف الحيوية

واللاحيوية. العديد من هذه الأصناف واعدة لمحصول الثعير من اجل إنتاج البذار والبعض الآخر للقن وبالتالي يمكن اعتبار ها نقطة بداية لتطوير أصناف تجارية جديدة من الثعير تمتاز بإنتاجية ونأقلم عاليين. بالإضافة لذلك هناك حاجة لعمل در اسات مسنققليه حول مقارنة الإنتاجية والصفات الزراعية الثكلية لهذه الأصناف مع الأصناف العالمية وكذلك هناك حاجة للاراسة النتوع والاختلافات بين الأصناف على مستوى الور اثة الجزئية.

