An-Najah National University Faculty of Graduate Studies

Assessment of Knowledge and Practice for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs among Healthcare Providers in Oncology Departments in Palestinian Hospitals

By

Raya Musa Muhammad Said

Supervisor

Prof. Waleed M. Sweileh

This Thesis is Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Graduate Studies, An-Najah National University, Nablus -Palestine

2021

Assessment of Knowledge and Practice for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs among Healthcare Providers in Oncology Departments in Palestinian Hospitals

By

Raya Musa Muhammad Said

This thesis was defended successfully on 06.02.2021 and approved by:

Defense Committee Members

- 1. Prof. Waleed Sweileh / Supervisor
- 2. Dr. Hussein Hallak / External Examiner
- 3. Dr. Adham Abu-Taha / Internal Examiner

Signature

Haller

R. Adhaso. Ab Tel

Dedication

I want to dedicate this work to my parents for their unconditional love, and motivation

To my husband for his unwavering support, and patience

To my little princess Eilaz for filling my life with light moments

last but not least, to my best friend Nada Rajabi for her support and

encouragement

Raya M.Said

Acknowledgment

First, and for most, I am always indebted to God, The most gracious, the most merciful.

Many thanks to my supervisor **Prof. Waleed Sweileh**, Professor of Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacy, Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of medicine - An-Najah National University, for his continuous support, meticulous supervision, valuable remarks, and assistance until this work was fulfilled.

Last but not least, to all participants by whom this study was done. Without them, this work would not have been possible.

Raya M.Said

الاقرار

أنا الموقعة أدناه مقدمة الرسالة التي تحمل العنوان:

Assessment of Knowledge and Practice for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs among Healthcare Providers in Oncology Departments in Palestinian Hospitals

تقييم معلومات وجودة أداء مقدمي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الآمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام في أقسام الأورام في المستشفيات الفلسطينية

أقر بأن ما اشتملت عليه الرسالة هو من نتاج جهدي الخاص، باستثناء ما تمت الإشارة إليه حيثما ورد، وأن هذه الرسالة ككل أو أي جزء منها لم يقدم من قبل لنيل أي درجة أو لقب علمي أو بحثي لدى أي مؤسسة تعليمية أو بحثية أخرى.

Declaration

The work provides in the thesis, unless otherwise referenced, is the own researcher's own work and has not been submitted elsewhere for any other degree or qualification.

Student's name: Raya M. Said	إسم الطالب:	
Signature: Raya M. Sole	التوقيع:	
Date: 06.02.2021	التاريخ:	

List of Contents

No	Content	Pages
	Dedication	Iii
	Acknowledgment	Iv
	Declaration	V
	List of Tables	Ix
	List of Figures	Х
	List of Appendices	Xi
	Synonyms and Abbreviations	Xii
	Abstract	Xiii
	Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Hazardous Effects of Cytotoxic Drugs on Healthcare	2
	Workers	
1.3	Occupational Exposure to Antineoplastic Drugs	3
1.4	History of Safe Handling Guidelines	4
1.5	Recommendations for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic	5
	Drugs	
1.5.1	General Precautions	6
1.5.2	Receiving and Storage of Antineoplastic Drugs	6
1.5.3	Antineoplastic Drugs Preparation	7
1.5.4	Antineoplastic Drugs Administration	8
1.5.5	Antineoplastic Drugs Cleaning/ Spill management	8
1.6	Problem Statement	9
1.7	Rationale/Justification	9
1.8	Study Objective	10
1.8.1	General Objectiv	10
1.8.2	Specific objectives	10
1.9	Research Questions	10
	Chapter Two: Literature Review	12
2.1	Knowledge and Practice of Handling Antineoplastic	12
	Drugs	
2.1.1	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	12
2.1.2	Israel	12
2.1.3	Turkey	13
2.1.4	Cyprus	13
2.1.5	Jordan	14
2.1.6	Iraq	14
2.1.7	Iran	14
2.1.8	Egypt	14

	vii	
2.2	Challenges Associated with the Handling of	16
	Antineoplastic Drugs	17
0.1	Chapter Three: Methodology	17
3.1	Study Design	17
3.2	Period and Location of the Study	17
3.3	Study Population	18
3.4	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	18
3.5	Data Collection Procedure	18
3.6	Data Collection Form	19
3.7	Variables of the Study	19
3.8	Data Management and Analysis	20
3.9	Operational Definition	20
3.9.1	Knowledge	20
3.9.2	Practice	21
3.10	Ethical Considerations	21
3.10.1	Ethical approval	21
3.10.2	Informed Consent	21
3.10.3	Confidentiality	22
	Chapter Four: Results	23
4.1	Sociodemographic Profile of Study Participants	23
4.2	Training among Study Participants	23
4.3	Assessment of Knowledge	24
4.3.1	Level of Knowledge on the Safe Handling of	24
	Antineoplastic Drugs	
4.3.2	Association between Demographic Characteristics and Knowledge	24
4.4	Assessment of Practice	25
4.4.1	Practice in the Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs	25
4.4.2	Safety Measures during Several Practices	25
4.4.3	Practice Score	27
4.4.4	Association between Demographic Characteristics and	27
	Practice Score	
4.4.5	Association between Practice Score and Knowledge	27
	Status	
4.5	Barriers to use Personal Protective Equipment	28
4.5.1	Barriers	28
4.5.2	Association between Barriers and Practice	28
4.6	Open-ended Questions Survey	29
	Chapter Five: Discussion	39
5.1	Discussion	39
5.1.1	Training	39

5.1.2	Knowledge	40
5.1.3	Practice	41
5.1.4	Barriers	44
5.2	Study Weakness and Limitations	44
5.3	Conclusion	44
5.4	Main Outcomes and Recommendations	45
	References	47
	الملخص	ب

List of Tables

No	Tittel	Pages
Table 4.1	Sociodemographic and occupational characteristics of	30
	study participants in the Palestinian hospitals	
	Items related to knowledge towards the safe handling	31
Table 4.2	of antineoplastic drugs among health care providers at	
	Palestinian hospitals, stratified by profession	
	Association between demographic characteristics and	32
Table 13	knowledge towards the safe handling of antineoplastic	
1 abic 4.5	drugs among health care providers at Palestinian	
	hospitals	
Table 1 1	Safety measures reported by study participants in each	33
1 auto 4.4	practice on antineoplastic drugs handling	
	Personnel involved in the preparation of antineoplastic	34
Table 4.5	drugs among Palestinian hospitals stratified by	
	profession	
Table 4.6	Use of personal protective equipment by health care	34
	providers in each handling practice of antineoplastic	
	drugs	
Table 4.7	Association between demographic characteristics and	35
	practice on the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs	
	among health care providers in Palestine	
Table 4.8	Barriers to safe handling of antineoplastic drugs	35
	among health care providers in Palestine	
Table 4.9	Association between practice and barrier to using PPE	36

List of Figures

No	Tittel	Pages
Figure 4.1	Source of formal training for study participants	36
Figure 4.2	Knowledge score among study participants	36
Figure 4.3	Practice score among study participants	37
	Study participants' answers to question one: In your	37
Figure 4.4	opinion, what changes are needed to improve the	
	safe handling practice in your workplace?	
	Study participants' answers to question two: What	38
Figure 4.5	would be the top two benefits of putting a	
	chemotherapy safety protocol in your workplace?	

List of Appendices

No	Tittel	Pages
Appendix A	Guidelines Summaries	60
Appendix B	Data Collection Form	63
Appendix C	Institutional Review Board Approval Letter	71
Appendix D	Ministry of Health Approval Letter I	72
	Ministry of Health Approval Letter II	
Appendix E	An-Najah National University Hospital Approval	74
	Letter	
Appendix F	Consent Form	75

Synonyms and Abbreviations

	anticancer agents/drugs, antineoplastic drugs, antineoplastic,
¹ Synonyms	cytostatic agents/ drugs, cytostatics, cytotoxic agents/drugs.
	In this thesis, the synonyms are used interchangeably
χ^2	Chi-square test
ANPD	Antineoplastic Drug
ASHP	American Society of Hospital Pharmacists
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AVH	Augusta Victoria Hospital
BSC	Biological Safety Cabinet
CSTD	Closed-System Transfer Device
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
НСР	Healthcare Provider
HCW	Healthcare Worker
HD	Hazardous Drug
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
ISOPP	International Society of Oncology Pharmacy Practitioners
IV	Intravenous
LAFW	Laminar Airflow Workbench
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NNUH	An-Najah National University Hospital
ONS	Oncology Nursing Society
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
SD	Standard Deviation
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Science
USP	United States Pharmacopeia

Assessment of Knowledge and Practice for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs among Healthcare Providers in Oncology Departments in Palestinian Hospitals

By Raya Musa Said Supervisor Prof. Waleed M. Sweileh Abstract

Background: Due to increasing cancer incidence and introducing more complex treatment regimens, the demand for using antineoplastic drugs (ANPDs) is expected to increase; this will lead to more occupational exposure to these drugs among healthcare providers (HCPs). Due to their toxicity, these drugs require extreme precautions when being handled and used.

Objectives: The current study aimed to assess the knowledge and practice among nurses, pharmacists, pharmacist assistants toward the safe handling of ANPDs, also to identify the association of this knowledge on practice, and determine the barriers that hinder HCPs from using safety measures; in the quantitative study. Then, to explore the perceptions and beliefs of HCPs regarding their workplaces' needs to handle ANPDs safely; in the qualitative study.

Methods: An explanatory sequential mixed-methods study was conducted from August to November 2018 in six Palestinian hospitals, using an anonymous self-administered data collection form. The data were collected on staffs' knowledge, practice, and barriers, and the analysis was done using SPSS version 25. A *p-value* <0.05 with a 95% confidence interval was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 96 HCPs participated in this study. Their mean \pm SD age was 31.9 \pm 8.6 years, ranging from 22-55 years. Most of them were nurses (n= 60,62.5%), with a female predominance (n= 66,68.8%). About two-thirds of the participants were not trained on the safe handling of ANPDs. Their knowledge and practice scores toward safe handling of ANPDs were relatively good, with the mean \pm SD scores for knowledge and practice were 84.6 \pm 13.8, 74.33 \pm 25.8, respectively. Participants' education level and those that received training were significantly associated with knowledge grade (*P*=0.015), (*P*=0.04), respectively. High workload, lack of policy, and unavailability of personal protective equipment were the main barriers reported.

Conclusions: While the HCPs' knowledge of safe handling of ANPDs is acceptable, training is not appropriate. Periodic and consistent training and update of HCPs' knowledge supported by policies to follow guidelines implantation is recommended.

Keywords: Antineoplastic Drugs, Safe handling, Knowledge, Occupational exposure, Palestine.

Chapter one Introduction

1.1 Background

"To avoid the worst ... Put the safety first."

Cancer is not just a single disease; it is a group of numerous diseases, all are sharing in common biological properties of the cells that compose the tumors, including unregulated cell growth, impaired cellular differentiation, invasiveness, and metastatic potential [1].

Worldwide, more than 18 million new cases of cancer were diagnosed in 2018 [2]. Furthermore, that number is expected to rise to 27.5 million new cancer cases by 2040 [3]. In Palestine, about three thousand cancer cases were diagnosed in 2018, with a 5.8% increase than the cases diagnosed in 2017 [4].

Antineoplastic Drugs¹ (ANPDs) are medicines designed to stop or slow cancerous cells' growth. Including alkylating agents, anti-metabolites, antibiotics, mitotic inhibitors, and hormones. Currently, ANPDs are prepared and administered to treat cancer and other rheumatologic and immunologic diseases [5, 6].

Each of these drugs had a distinctive pharmacological property that interferes with cell division. Unfortunately, due to their nonselective mode of action, they exhibit their effects on cancerous and healthy cells, which

1

leads to adverse health effects for patients and healthcare providers (HCPs) who handle them during their daily duties [7].

This increased cancer incidence, combined with the use of high-dose chemotherapy and a combination of more complex treatment regimens, and the use of ANPDs for diseases other than cancer, would increase the HCPs' risk of exposure to these drugs.

1.2 Hazardous Effects of Antineoplastic Drugs on Healthcare Workers

The term "*hazardous drug*" (HD) was first introduced by the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) in 1990 [8]. In 2014, it was revised by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) [9]. (Appendix A, Table 1.1comprises the two definitions). Currently, HD is defined as a drug with one of the following characteristics: carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, teratogenicity, or a drug with a composition or toxicity profile close to HD [9]. Indeed, slightly more than half of the hazardous drugs are classified as antineoplastic agents, while the remainder includes some hormonal agents, immune-suppressants, antiviral medications, and others [10].

The toxic effects of ANPDs have been well known since their release in the 1940s [11]. Nevertheless, it took about four decades before the first study reported elevated indicators of mutagenic substances in urine samples from oncology nurses working with these drugs [12]. This finding was supported by various researches examining urine mutagenicity, gene aberrations, sister chromatid exchange of HCPs who handle these drugs [13-17].

Over time, the literature associated exposure to ANPDs in the workplace with acute or chronic health effects to HCPs. Acute effects include irritation to the skin and eyes, vomiting, light headache, dizziness, hair loss, sore throat, cough, and allergic reactions [18-21]. Chronic effects include adverse reproductive outcomes (such as infertility, spontaneous abortions, congenital disabilities, and congenital malformations) [22-25], leukemia, and other cancers [26].

Considering the nature of ANPDs and the ability of many of them to bind to DNA, RNA, and proteins, it would be expected that many of them are both mutagenic and carcinogenic. (Appendix A, Table 1.2) lists the known human carcinogens among ANPDs in clinical use by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), which is based on "the strength of the evidence of carcinogenicity arising from human and experimental animal data." [27].

1.3 Occupational Exposure to Antineoplastic Drugs

In the United States, more than 8 million healthcare workers are potentially exposed to ANPDs in their workplaces [28]. Occupational exposure to ANPDs could begin upon receipt of the product from the manufacture. It has been reported that the surface of the drug vials and cartons may be contaminated with ANPDs as supplied by manufacturers [29-32]. Contaminated drug packaging and vials represent a risk of dermal exposure to cytotoxic drugs for employees even before preparing ANPDs for patient treatment.

Exposure to ANPDs may occur through dermal and mucosal absorption, inhalation of contaminated aerosol and particulates, unintentional needlestick injection, or accidental ingestion from hand-to-mouth contact [33]. Examples of potential opportunities for exposure to ANPDs based on practice shows in (Appendix A, Table 1.3).

The risk of occupational exposure can be dramatically minimized by; application of engineering controls such as Biological Safety Cabinet (BSC) and Closed-System Transfer Devices (CSTDs), and using proper procedures and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) during handling ANPDs [9].

Engineering controls provide the highest level of protection against ANPDs exposure. A CSTDs is the only engineering control available for drug administration. Although these devices are available since 1990, many organizations have not considered them to handle ANPDs [34].

1.4 History of Safe Handling Guidelines

In the early 1980s, after recognizing severe health risks to the exposed health care workers, many health professional organizations in the United States and other countries have published guidelines for the safe handling of hazardous ANPDs [35]. In 1981, the Society of Hospital Pharmacists of Australia released the first safe handling guidelines [36]. Followed by different guidelines from several organizations including the Oncology Nursing Society (ONS) in 1982 [37], the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in 1983 [38], the ASHP in 1985 [39], the American Medical Association's Council on Scientific Affairs in 1985 [40]⁷ and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in 1986 [41].

Despite the existence of all these guidelines at that time, many reports indicated continuing healthcare workers' exposure to antineoplastic drugs in workplace settings [42, 43]. Thus, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) published a NIOSH Alert in 2004 [9]. Then followed by, the International Society of Oncology Pharmacy Practitioners (ISOPP) standards on the safe handling of cytotoxic drugs in 2007 [44]. In 2016, the United States Pharmacopeia (USP) convention released a new general chapter, Chapter <800>: Hazardous Drugs_Handling in Healthcare Settings–[45]. Even with these guidelines, studies continue to document ongoing exposure [46-52]. In 2018, many professional organizations updated their guidelines, including the ASHP [53] and the ONS [54].

1.5 Recommendations for Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs

The following recommendation is a summary of the highlights of safe handling guidelines, which have been described in more detail in (NIOSH Alert [9], USP Chapter <800> [45], and ASHP Guidelines [53]). They include engineering controls, administrative controls, work practice controls, and PPE.

5

1.5.1 General Precautions

The critical component of USP <800> is the identification of hazardous ANPDs in the workplace. Each facility must create and maintain its own list of HDs and review the list annually [45]. Also, all personnel involved in ANPDs handling should possess a recognized qualification or have certified training relevant to their position. Retraining and competency testing should be done yearly. This training should consist of the following elements; the potential risk of exposure, utilization of BSC, how to use PPE, spill kit, and how to deal with transport and cleaning procedure. (Appendix A, Table 1.4) [55] summarizes the PPE needed for possible practices in the health care setting. In contrast, (Appendix A, Table 1.5) [54] shows the standards for appropriate PPE.

1.5.2 Receiving and Storage of Antineoplastic Drugs

Drug packages, bins, shelves, and storage areas for ANPDs must mark with distinctive labels identifying special handling precautions. Antineoplastic drug stock should be stored separately from other medications. The area of storage should have sufficient exhaust ventilation to dilute and remove any airborne contaminants.

Any person involved in receiving or unpacking antineoplastic drugs must follow strict procedures when handling them. Two pairs of chemotherapy gloves, respiratory protection, and eye protection should be worn to protect from dermal and inhalation exposures [9, 44, 53].

1.5.3 Antineoplastic Drugs Preparation

The compounding of antineoplastic drugs should occur in a controlled area where access is limited to authorized personnel trained in handling requirements. For preparing antineoplastic drugs, a designed room supplied with a BSC or an isolator is required. BSCs and isolators should be appropriately cleaned and maintained to ensure maximum efficiency and reduce personnel exposure [45, 53, 54]. A horizontal Laminar Airflow workbench (LAFW) should not be used for antineoplastic drug preparation, as this design results in significant exposure of the worker and work area [15].

Supplemental engineering controls such as CSTD components reduce leakage during the transfer of HDs from vials to syringes or IV bags during preparation and they adjust controls to offer an additional level of protection [56].

Only individuals trained in this type of practice should do so. While reconstituting and admixing antineoplastic drugs, PPE must be worn, including (double chemotherapy gloves, a disposable chemotherapy gown, facial protection, inhalation protection, head cover, and overshoes) [9, 44, 45, 53].

Chemotherapy gloves must meet the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard, and they should be changed every 30 minutes. If torn, puncture, or contaminate, they must be changed immediately. Also, hands must be washed with soap and water after removing gloves [45].

1.5.4 Antineoplastic Drugs Administration

Access to the administration area should be restricted to patients receiving therapy and essential staff. When the ANPDs are administered eating, drinking, and applying makeup should be avoided. For inpatient therapy, hanging or removing ANPDs should be scheduled to reduce family members and staff's exposure. For the administration of ANPDs in outpatient or clinic settings with patients and their family members near the compounding area, care must be taken to minimize environmental contamination and to maximize the effectiveness of decontamination activities [47, 57-61].

Appropriate PPE must be worn when administering ANPDs [55]. Two pairs of tested chemotherapy gloves, protective chemotherapy gown, eye/face protection, and respiratory protection are required for administering ANPDs. Use Luer-Lock fittings for all needleless systems, syringes, needles, ancillary devices, infusion tubing, and pumps. If a CSTD cannot be used, position gauze pads to catch leaks from needleless and other devices that may leak at connection points [54].

1.5.5 Antineoplastic Drugs Cleaning/ Spill Management

Written procedures must be developed to prevent spills and govern the cleaning of HD spills. These procedures must specify personnel responsible

for spill management, dependent on the spill's size and scope. Spills must be contained and cleaned up immediately [62].

Spill kits containing all of the materials needed to clean up spills of HDs should be readily available in all areas where HDs are stored, administered, or prepared. Signs must be available to warn of restricted access to the spill area [56].

1.6 Problem Statement

Evidence from many studies has shown that HCP are exposed to cytotoxic hazards through the preparation and administration of ANPDs due to unsafe handling practices [63]. Studies involving the biological monitoring of staff and their working environment have demonstrated adverse health effects [64].

Therefore, the knowledge and safe practice in handling ANPDs are of paramount importance to help prevent occupational dangers to the HCPs, patients, and people who visit the hospital's oncology departments.

1.7 Rationale/Justification

Studies to assess the health care provider's knowledge and practice on the safe handling of ANPDs have not been done in Palestine. This study seeks to find the level of knowledge and practice of HCP at Oncology Palestinian hospitals in the handling of ANPDs. This study's findings will promote safe ANPDs handling practice, which will enable HCP to reduce the level of hazardous exposure to themselves, their patients, and the public. It will also strengthen the implementation of institutional guidelines by training health care workers on correct ANPDs handling procedures and practices.

1.8 Study Objective

1.8.1 General Objective

To assess the knowledge and practice on the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs among HCPs at Palestinian oncology hospitals.

1.8.2 Specific Objectives

- 1. To determine the level of knowledge on the safe handling of antineoplastic medications by HCP at Palestinian hospitals.
- To assess the practice of handling antineoplastic drugs among HCPs in our hospitals.
- 3. To find out the challenges and barriers faced by HCP when handling these drugs in Palestinian hospitals.

1.9 Research Questions

1. What is the level of HCPs' knowledge in handling antineoplastic drugs in Palestine?

- 2. What is the practice of handling antineoplastic drugs by HCP in Palestine?
- 3. Are there any challenges faced by health care workers when handling antineoplastic drugs in Palestine?

Chapter Two Literature Review

2.1 Knowledge and Practice of Handling Antineoplastic Drugs

Lack of knowledge, economic, and sociocultural factors significantly influences the malicious behavior related to the handling of ANPDs by HCWs [65, 66]. The primary source of information for the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs is in-service training [67]. Many studies were done to assess the knowledge and practice of the safe handling of ANPDs among HCWs and they indicated inadequate knowledge or practice regarding the safe handling of ANPDs.

Several similar studies to our study were done in the Middle East and Gulf countries, including:

2.1.1 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

In 1997, a study was conducted by Al-Ghamdi et al. to investigate the conditions and proficiency of handling cytotoxic drugs, showed serious inadequacies in equipment and expertise in handling cytotoxic agents in their hospitals[68].

2.1.2 Israel

In 2001, a study was done by Ben-Ami et al. on 31 hospital-based nurses daily exposed to cytotoxic drugs, showed a gap between the nurses' knowledge and their actual behavior and compliance with safety procedures. This study also claimed that hospitals' inspective and supportive systems increase nurses' willingness to protect themselves [69].

2.1.3 Turkey

In 2004, a cross-sectional study carried out by Turk et al. found that the level of knowledge of the nurses concerning antineoplastics was not satisfactory. Furthermore, the nurses did not comply with the recommended health safety measures. This study also revealed that in-service training is a handy tool to increase knowledge level [70].

In 2006, another descriptive study was conducted by Kosgeroglu et al. in west Turkey. Nurses showed that their actual administration method was insufficient according to their level of information. The ratio for nurses' usage of the BSC during the preparation of chemotherapeutic drugs was meager. Furthermore, only 7.4% of nurses had received in-service education about chemotherapeutics [71].

2.1.4 Cyprus

In 2010, a cross-sectional study was performed by Kyprianou et al. found that most nurses were aware of the potential hazards associated with the handling of ANPDs. And, they had a high level of compliance with PPE and BSC during reconstitution and preparation of ANPDs. However, only 33% reported having received specialized training for safe handling of ANPDs [72].

2.1.5 Jordan

In 2015, a study was done by Al-Azzam et al. on pharmacists and nurses to evaluate their compliance with standard safety guidelines during the preparation and administrations of antineoplastic medications in Jordanian hospitals. This study showed a satisfactory knowledge level of exposure risks for these drugs. However, the safety measures used in their hospitals were not in compliance with the guidelines and required further improvement [73].

2.1.6 Iraq

In 2016, a cross-sectional study design was undertaken on oncology nurses (n= 27) by Esmail et al. showed that most of the nurses had fair knowledge and practices of safe handling chemotherapy [74].

2.1.7 Iran

In 2018, a cross-sectional study was performed on oncology nurses (n=80) by Alehashem and Baniasadi, which reported adequate knowledge, attitude, and practice score. However, the training and information sources were not appropriate [66].

2.1.8 Egypt

In 2019, a similar study was done by Zayed et al. among the oncology nurses (n=55) at the oncology department in Tanta University Hospital demonstrated an inadequate practice of safe handling of ANPDs

and poor implementation of guidelines among the oncology nurses. This necessitating more frequent in-service training and an audit system to monitor and evaluate performance after training [75].

A lot of additional studies in this field had done in other countries, such as;

In 2014, NIOSH conducted one of the most extensive web surveys of healthcare workers in the United States. In this survey, Boiano et al. found that the nurses did not always follow safe handling practices despite guidelines at that time [76].

In 2015, a similar study took place in Pakistan. Nurses were found to be deficient in knowledge of handling ANPDs. About 20% of them were not trained in handling cytotoxic drugs, and 43.3% believed that PPE was unnecessary during work [77].

In 2015, a cross-sectional study aimed to assess the knowledge, perception, and behavior of all HCWs, not only nurses, regarding the safe handling of ANPDs was conducted in Canada. This study suggested that knowledge associated with the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs can be improved, especially among job categories not tasked with drug preparation or drug administration. Moreover, it also showed a gap between knowledge and compliance with glove usage and hand hygiene. Training is also recommended to improve healthcare workers' perceptions of the risks associated with antineoplastic drugs [7].

In 2016, a cross-sectional study was conducted in Kenya among healthcare workers to assess the knowledge, practice, and challenges on the safe handling of cytotoxic drugs by Sheikh. This study showed a considerable gap between their workers' knowledge and practice [78].

In 2017, a cross-sectional descriptive study among oncology nurses (n= 100) was conducted in Nigeria by Nawagbo et al. this study showed a good knowledge of chemotherapy occupational protective measures and guidelines among the nurses translated to good practice [79].

2.2 Challenges Associated with the Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs

Despite that HCPs are knowledgeable about ANPDs exposure, their use of safety measures during the handling of these drugs is low [80]. The challenges that workers most reported include high workload, limited time, unavailability of PPE, and PPE comfort [11, 17, 81].

Chapter Three Methodology

3.1 Study Design

An explanatory sequential mixed-methods study was achieved using a semi-structural self-administered data collection form to assess the knowledge and practice among nurses, pharmacists, and pharmacist assistants toward the safe handling of ANPDs in Palestinian hospitals, also to identify the association of this knowledge on their practice, and determine the barriers that hinder them from using safety measures; in the quantitative study. Then, to explore the perceptions and beliefs of HCPs regarding their workplaces' needs to handle antineoplastic drugs safely; in the qualitative study.

3.2 Period and Location of the Study

This study was conducted from August to November 2018 at the six oncology hospitals in Palestine (governmental and private centers) were selected. Two of them in the south; Augusta Victoria Hospital (AVH) in Jerusalem, and Beit Jala Governmental Hospital in Bethlehem – Adult Department and Huda Al-Masri Pediatric Department –, And four in the north; An-Najah National University Hospital (NNUH) in Nablus, Al Watani Hospital in Nablus, Martyr Dr. Thabet Thabet Governmental Hospital in Tulkarm, and finally Jenin Governmental Hospital in Jenin.

3.3 Study Population

All nurses, pharmacists, and pharmacist assistants who were handling antineoplastic drugs in the drug stores, hospitals' pharmacies, daycare units, and inpatients' oncology wards in mentioned hospitals at the time of data collection. Each center was visited multiple times to involve the largest possible sample size. Due to the scarcity of physicians who directly handle ANPDs drugs in our hospitals, they were not included in this study.

3.4 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All nurses, pharmacists, and pharmacist assistants who handle antineoplastic drugs in mentioned hospitals during the study period were included. HCPs who skipped the practice section were excluded only from the analysis of this section.

3.5 Data Collection Procedure

A semi-structured, self-administered data collection form was developed from multiple sources to meet the study objectives. It consisted of sociodemographic characteristics, knowledge, and practice on antineoplastic drugs' safe handling, barriers to use safety measures, and three open-ended questions. Many questions were adapted from a similar study done in Kenya [78]. This data collection form was validated; the validation process was simply by various studies, cross-referencing to clarify the participant's understandings, and finally analyzing the findings and comparison against the guideline's recommendations. All collected data were used only for the current study.

3.6 Data Collection Form

The finalized data collection form (Appendix B) was divided into two main parts; part one contains forced-choice questions, which consists of four sections:

- 1. The first section was covered the sociodemographic data, including; age, gender, marital status, level of education, occupation, years of experience in the profession, and training.
- 2. The second section was aimed to evaluate the level of knowledge regarding the safe handling of ANPDs; using PPE, hand washing, cleaning, disposal, and effects of exposure to cytotoxic drugs.
- 3. The third section was made to determine the current practice towards the standard procedure of ANPDs handling.
- 4. The Last section valuated barriers that faced HCPs to use PPE.

Part two contained three open-ended questions regarding policies and safe handling protocols of antineoplastic drugs.

3.7 Variables of the Study

The dependent variables of the study were the knowledge and the practice of HCPs toward the safe handling of ANPDs. While the

independent variables were: clinical setting, age in years, gender, profession, educational level, experience on the safe handling of ANPDs in years, and ever receiving training related to safe handling practices. When the association between knowledge and practice was studied, knowledge was the dependent variable. Then the practice was the dependent variable when the association between practice and barriers was checked.

3.8 Data Management and Analysis

Data from data collection forms were statistically described in terms of mean \pm standard deviation (\pm SD), and range, or frequencies (number of cases), and percentages when appropriate. A comparison between the study groups was made using Chi-square (χ^2) test. Fisher's exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is less than five. Two-sided *p* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were done using the computer program IBM ® SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) release 25 for Microsoft Windows. All the answers from the open-ended question were entered into Microsoft Excel[®] 2016 and then carefully being coded and analyzed.

3.9 Operational Definition

3.9.1 Knowledge

Respondents were asked ten questions about the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs. Respondents were awarded one point for each right answer and zero for the wrong answer. The sum was categorized into three categories; good, fair, poor knowledge. The participants who scored 7.5 and above were considered to have good knowledge; those who scored 5 to 7.5 were considered fair. Those who scored below five were considered to have poor knowledge.

3.9.2 Practice

Each participant responded to a set of questions applicable to their scope of practice of handling ANPDs. The practice was assessed by three categories; good, fair, and poor. If a study participant responded correctly to a question, was given a score of one point. An overall score was determined for each study participant by adding up the scores across the practice questions applied to study participants. A score of 75% and above was considered good, 50% to 75% fair, and below 50% poor.

3.10 Ethical Considerations

3.10.1 Ethical Approval

Permissions to carry out this study were confirmed by the Institutional Review Board (IRB), the Palestinian Ministry of Health, and the An-NNUH (Appendix C, D, E).

3.10.2 Informed Consent

Informed consent from study participants was sought. Each participant was requested to sign a consent form before inclusion in the study (Appendix F). This was done only after the risks, benefits, and ethical considerations are fully explained and understood by the participants.

3.10.3 Confidentiality

The information regarding the study participants' identity was kept confidential. Identification information such as participant's names was not included in the data collection forms. A serial number was assigned to each participant.
Chapter Four Results

4.1 Sociodemographic Profile of Study Participants

A total of 96 healthcare providers were invited to participate in the study; all of them responded. Four of them were excluded from the practice section; because they left it blank. Fifty-six participants answered the open-ended questions.

The mean \pm SD age was 31.9 \pm 8.6 years, with a median of 28 and a range from 22 to 55 years old. More than two-thirds of the study participants were female (n= 66, 69%). About half of them were married (n= 56, 58%). Most of the HCPs were nurses (n= 60, 63%) while 28 (29%) were pharmacists. More than half were Bachelor's degree holders (n= 65, 68%). About half of the participants (n= 54, 56%) make 50 or less preparation or administration in a typical week. The sociodemographic characteristic of the study participants is presented in (Table 4.1).

4.2 Training Among Study Participants

Only thirty-four (35%) of study participants reported having been trained in the handling of antineoplastic drugs; they include 29 nurses (85%) and five pharmacists (14.7%). None of the pharmacist assistants in this study ever received any training related to safe drug handling of ANPDs. Twenty-two (64.7%) had received their training for more than one year before the time of the study, and 11 (35.3%) less than 12 months.

Thirty (88%) obtained their training from workshops. (Figure 4.1) shows the source of formal training that study participants received.

4.3 Assessment of Knowledge

4.3.1 Level of Knowledge on the Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs

The mean \pm SD score of knowledge was 8.46 \pm 1.4 out of 10, with a median of 9.0 and a range from 5 to 10 points. Generally, the level of knowledge was high, with 76 HCPs (79.2%) had a good knowledge scoring – 7.5 or above score–, and with no poor knowledge –score less than 5– (Figure 4.2). A summary of HCPs' level of knowledge is described in (Table 4.2) which shows that 100% of HCPs' understood the need to wear gloves when handling antineoplastic drugs and that safe handling protects them from HD exposure. However, only 36 (37.5%) knew that the surgical mask could not protect from cytotoxic aerosols.

4.3.2 Association between Demographic Characteristics and Knowledge Status

Healthcare workers who are masters' degree holders (9.21 ± 0.58) had a higher level of knowledge compared to Bachelor's degree holders (8.42 ± 1.36) , and to Diploma degree holders (8.00 ± 1.73) , they significantly differed p = 0.044. Furthermore, workers who had received formal training had a higher level of knowledge than those who never received any training on the safe handling of ANPDs; they significantly differed $\chi^2 = 4.204$, p = 0.04, p < 0.05. The Chi-square tests also indicated no statistically significant differences between the level of knowledge and hospitals, age, gender, or profession. (Table 4.3) shows the association between the level of knowledge and demographic characteristics.

4.4 Assessment of Practice

4.4.1 Practice in the Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs

The study participants answered the questions related to their scope of practice in the handling of antineoplastic drugs. Some of them were involved in more than one scope of practice. Most healthcare workers were involved in the administration of antineoplastic drugs (n= 58, 60.4%), followed by 53 (55.2%), 52 (54.2%), 50 (52.1%), and 41 (42.7%) in receiving and storage, cleaning, disposal, and preparing of antineoplastic drugs, respectively.

4.4.2 Safety Measures During Several Practices

Fifty-three respondents were involved in receiving and storage practice. The mean \pm SD score for them was 65.5 ± 27.5 , with a median of 75.0 and a range from 0.0 to 100.0 score. Among them, forty (75.5%) segregated the cytotoxic drugs from other medicines during storage. About half of the participants (n= 30, 56.6%) used PPE when receiving cytotoxic drugs (Table 4.4).

Forty-one respondents were involved in preparation and compounding practice. The mean \pm SD score for them was 81.0 \pm 14.8, with a median of 82.6 and a 37.5 to 100.0 score range. All of them used

PPE while preparing cytotoxic drugs. Only 6 (14.6%) always used a CSTD. Furthermore, 15 (36.6%) used Lure-lock fitting for all needles, syringes, infusions (Table 4.4). Of all participants involved in preparation practice, there were 18 pharmacists (43.9%) and 23 nurses (56.1%) (Table 4.5).

Fifty-eight respondents were involved in administration practice. The mean \pm SD score was 86.6 \pm 13.1, with a median of 90.7 and a 40.0 to 100.0 score range. All were using PPE when they were administrating the cytotoxic drugs. Per contra, 43 (74.1%) used PPE when handling patients' excreta (Table 4.4).

Fifty-two respondents were involved in cleaning practice. The mean \pm SD score for them was 80.2 \pm 20.1, with a median of 86.2 and 16.67 to 100.0 range. Most of them (n= 51, 98.1%) washed their hands after cleaning cytotoxic areas and spills. In contrast, thirty-two (61.5%) had a cytotoxic spill kit available in their workplace. About half of the HCPs 28 (53.8%) used cleaning reagents when cleaning cytotoxic areas (Table 4.4).

Fifty respondents were involved in disposal practice. The mean \pm SD score for them was 79.6 \pm 17.6, with a median of 79.6 and a range from 12.5 to 100.0 score. Most of them (n= 49, 98.0%) washed their hands with soap and water immediately after the disposal of cytotoxic drugs. Furthermore, thirty (60.0%) segregated cytotoxic waste before disposal (Table 4.4).

Concerning the type of PPE used in each practice with the handling of antineoplastic practice, (Table 4.6) shows that there is no obligation in using PPE during the receiving and storage of antineoplastic drugs. While there is a little commitment to other practices.

4.4.3 Practice Score

The mean \pm SD practice score was 74.6 \pm 25.8, with a median of 80.0 and a range from 0.0 to 100.0 score. Two-thirds (63.0%) of healthcare workers scored a good practice –75.0% or above score-, while 21(22.8%) had a fair practice-50% - and 13 (14.1%) had poor practice (Figure 4.3).

4.4.4 Association Between Demographic Characteristics and Practice Score

Chi-square test or Fishers' exact test of association between practice score and different demographic characteristics were performed. Younger HCPs had a better practice than older ones; findings were statistically significant between practice score and age $\chi^2 = 9.69$, p = 0.008, p < 0.05. Also, Nurses showed a better Practice than Pharmacists; (p = 0.000). and years of experience (p = 0.037). However, there was no statistically significant association between practice score and gender and education level. (Table 4.7)

4.4.5 Association Between Practice Score and Knowledge Status

Compering knowledge states with practice scores, 57.5% of healthcare workers who had good knowledge had a good practice, while

16.4% had poor practice. And 84.2% who had fair knowledge had good practice, while only 5.3% had poor practice. There was no statistically significant between knowledge state and practice scores using the Chi-square test (p= 0.144) (Table 4.7).

4.5 Barriers to use Personal Protective Equipment's

4.5.1 Challenges to Safe Handling of Antineoplastic Drugs

The HCPs' barriers towards using PPE were assessed by nine reasons that may prevent them from wearing protective measures. The high workload was the most significant barrier considered by participants (58; 63.0%), while no policy required PPE as a second challenge (51; 55.5%) (Table 4.8).

4.5.2 Association Between Challenges and Practice

Chi-square test or Fishers' exact test of association between practice score and different barriers to using PPE were performed. Findings were statistically significant $\chi^2 = 26.71$, p = 0.000, p < 0.05 between practice score and the barrier of not trained to use PPE. Furthermore, p = 0.000between practice score and availability of policy requiring PPE use. However, there was no statistically significant association between practice score and high workload, thinking that PPE unnecessary and PPE uncomfortable to wear (Table 4.8).

4.6 Open-ended Questions Survey

Fifty-six participants have answered four open-ended questions;

Question one was: "In your opinion, what changes are needed to improve the safe handling practice in your workplace?" The majority of healthcare workers (37out of 56) said that they need more training programs on the safe handling of cytotoxic drugs, and some (19 out of 56) indicated the need to provide a PPE in their hospitals. Others said that they need an adequate staff number to deal with a high workload. While other answers were related to improving the workplace conditions, include; allocate a place to prepare ANPDs and a safety station such as eyewash and emergency shower for any accident, bring BSC, apply policies and protocol for safe handling of cytotoxic drugs, and provide suitable containers for sharp disposal of items contaminated with cytotoxic drugs. (figure 4.3)

Question two was: "*What would be the top two benefits of putting a chemotherapy safety protocol in your workplace?*" Almost all the participants (48 from 56) put protection for themselves at the top of the list. Protection for the patients was the second benefit from their view. Also, many said this would reduce medical errors. Other benefits mentioned by HCPs were: Facilitating the handling of these drugs, preparing more sterile preparations, reducing the incidence of leakage, and improving workers' disciples to PPE (Figure 4.4).

Demographic characteristics	Classification	n (%)
	Beit Jala Hospital (Bethlehem)	13 (13.5)
	Huda Almasri Department (Bethlehem)	9 (9.4)
Clinical Setting	Augusta Victoria Hospital (Jerusalem)	19 (19.8)
	An-Najah National University Hospital (Nablus)	28 (29.2)
	Al Watani Hospital (Nablus)	15 (15.6)
	Martyr Dr. Thabet Thabet Governmental Hospital (Tulkarm)	8 (8.3)
	Jenin Government Hospital (Jenin)	4 (4.2)
	≤ 30	58 (64.4)
Age Category (Years)	> 30	32 (35.6)
Age Distribution	Mean = 31.9 SD = 8.641 Max = 55 Min= 22	
	Male	30 (31.3)
Genaer	Female	66 (68.8)
	Single	40 (41.7)
Marital status	Married	56 (58.3)
	Pharmacist	28 (29.2)
Profession	Nurse	60 (62.5)
	Pharmacist assistant	8 (8.3)
	Master degree	14 (14.6)
Education Level	Bachelor's degree	65 (67.7)
	Diploma Certificate	17 (17.7)
	< 1 year	11 (11.5)
	1 to 3 years	25 (26.0)
Experience (Years)	3 to 5 years	19 (19.8)
	> 5 years	41 (42.7)
Number of	<u>≤ 50</u>	54 (62.1)
Preparations/Administration (Typical Week)	> 50	33 (37.9)
SD: Standard Deviation		

Table 4.2: Items related to knowledge towards the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs among healthcare providers

at Palestinian hospitals, stratified by profession

Item		Pharmacist n (%)	Nurse n (%)	Pharmacist assistant n (%)
	N=96	n=28	n=60	n=8
Knew that the gloves should be worn when handling cytotoxic drugs	96 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	60 (100.0)	8 (100.0)
Knew that not all types of gloves provide the same level of protection when handling cytotoxic drugs	81(84.4)	28 (100.0)	46 (76.7)	6 (75.0)
Knew that a surgical mask can't protect from cytotoxic aerosols	36 (37.5)	13 (46.4)	18 (30.0)	5 (62.5)
Knew that the disposable safety gown for handling cytotoxic drugs could not be re- worn	68 (70.8)	19 (67.8)	42 (70.0)	7 (87.5)
Knew that the cytotoxic drugs could enter the body through skin contact with drug- contaminated surfaces	93 (96.9)	28 (100.0)	56 (93.5)	8 (100.0)
Knew that the cleaning of cytotoxic spills should be done by trained personnel only	81 (84.4)	27 (96.4)	46 (76.7)	8 (100.0)
Knew that there is a separate disposal method for cytotoxic drugs	93 (96.9)	27 (96.4)	58 (96.7)	8 (100.0)
Knew that cancer is one of the possible health effects due to workplace exposure to cytotoxic drugs	89 (92.7)	26 (92.8)	54 (90.0)	8 (100.0)
Knew that the safe handling of cytotoxic drugs is important because it protects healthcare workers from hazardous drug exposure	96 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	60 (100.0)	8 (100.0)
Knew that the safe handling of cytotoxic drugs is important because it protects patients from hazardous drug exposure	80 (83.3)	23 (82.1)	49 (81.7)	8 (100.0)

Table 4.3: Association between demographic characteristics andknowledge towards the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs amonghealthcare providers at Palestinian hospitals

Knowledge ^a					
Demograp	hic characteristic	Good	Fair	<i>P</i> -value	
		n (%)	n (%)		
	Beit Jala Hospital	11 (84.6)	2 (15.4)		
	Huda Almasri Department	9 (100.0)	0 (0.0)		
	Augusta Victoria Hospital	14 (73.7)	5 (26.3)		
Clinical Setting	An-Najah National Hospital	18 (64.3)	10 (35.7)	0.275 ^F	
0	Al Watani Hospital	13 (86.7)	2 (13.3)		
	Martyr Dr. Thabet Thabet Governmental Hospital	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)		
	Jenin Government Hospital	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)		
Age	≤ 3 0	44 (75.9)	14 (24.1)	0.242 ^C	
(Years)	> 30	27 (84.4)	5 (15.6)	0.343	
Condon	Male	21 (70.0)	9 (30.0)	0.125 ^C	
Gender	Female	55 (83.3)	11 (16.7)	0.130	
	Pharmacist	24 (85.7)	4 (14.3)	4.3)	
Profession	Nurse	45 (75.0)	15 (25.0)	0.428 ^C	
	Pharmacist assistant	7 (87.5)	1(12.5)		
	Master degree	14 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	F	
Education Level	Bachelor's degree	51 (78.5)	14 (21.5)	0.044 ^r *	
	Diploma Certificate	11 (64.7)	6 (35.3)		
	< 1 year	8 (72.7)	3 (27.3)		
Experience	1 to 3 years	20 80.0)	5 (20.0)	0.613 ^F	
(Years)	3 to 5 years	17 (89.5)	2 (10.5)	0.015	
	> 5 years	31 (75.6)	10 (24.4)		
previous	Yes	30 (90.9)	3 (9.1)	0.040 ^C *	
training	No	46 (73.0)	17 (27.0)	0.040 - *	
^a Good Knowle * Statistically	edge (Score \geq 7.5) Fair Knowledge (5 - 7) significant (P < 0.05) ^F Fisher's exact t	7.5 Score) Poor Know est ^C Chi-square test	ledge (Score < 5)		

Table	4.4:	Safety	measures	reported	by	study	participants	in	each
practi	ce on	antineo	plastic dru	ugs handli	ng				

Practice	Safety measure	n (%)
	Wearing PPE when receiving	30 (56.6)
Destrictions and Champer	Segregating cytotoxic drugs	40 (75.5)
n=53	Washing hands after handling	32 (60.4)
	Labeling with a product identifier	36 (67.9)
	Reconstitute in a designated room	33 (80.5)
	Wearing PPE when preparing	41(100.0)
	Preparing in a BSC	31 (75.6)
Duon quation and	Hands Washing before wearing PPE	37 (90.2)
Preparation and Compounding	Wiping vials before reconstitution	30 (73.2)
n=41	Using CSTD, e.g., the PhaSeal [®] CSTD	6 (14.6)
	always using Luer-lock fittings for all needles, syringes, infusions	15 (36.6)
	Changing gloves immediately when torn	40 (97.6)
	Wearing PPE when administering	56 (96.6)
	Wearing PPE when removing the infusion	54 (93.1)
A device internetion	Wearing PPE when handling patients' excreta	43 (74.1)
n=58	Do not re-use PPE from the previous day's work	55 (94.8)
	Hands Washing after removing PPE	58 (100.0)
	Do not touch cytotoxic tablets with bare hands	49 (84.5)
	Availability of cytotoxic spill kit	32 (61.5)
	Wearing PPE when cleaning	50 (96.2)
Classica	Demarcate of spill area before cleaning	36 (69.2)
n=52	Cleaning from outside towards the center	43 (82.7)
	Using of cleaning reagents	28 (53.8)
	Washing Hands after cleaning	51 (98.1)
	Wearing PPE when disposing	48 (96.0)
Disposal	Segregating of cytotoxic waste	30 (60.0)
<i>n</i> =50	Washing Hands after disposal	49 (98.0)
PPE: Personal Protectiv	ve Equipment / BSC: Biological Safety Cabinet / C	STD: Closed-System
Drug Transfer Device		

Table 4.5: Personnel involved in the preparation of antineoplasticdrugs among Palestinian hospitals stratified by profession

_

Institution Nome	Profession			
Institution Ivanie	Pharmacist n (%)	Nurse n (%)		
Beit Jala Hospital	0 (0.0) *	6 (100.0)		
Huda Almasri Department	1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)		
Augusta Victoria Hospital	9 (100.0)	0 (0.0)		
An-Najah National Hospital	0 (0.0) *	2 (100.0)		
Al Watani Hospital	3 (33.3)	6 (66.7)		
Dr.Thabet Thabet Hospital	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)		
Jenin Government Hospital	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)		
Total	18 (43.9)	23 (56.1)		

Table 4.6: Use of personal protective equipment by healthcareproviders in each handling practice of antineoplastic drugs

PPE type	Receiving &Storage (n= 53)	Preparing (n=41)	Administrating (n= 58)	Cleaning $(n=52)$	Disposal (n= 50)
Gown (n, %)	13 (24.5)	36 (87.8)	18 (31.0)	35(67.3)	17 (34.0)
Gloves (n, %)	30 (56.6)	41 (100)	54 (93.1)	49(94.2)	46 (92.0)
Goggles/Face shield (n, %)	5 (9.4)	16 (39.0)	11 (18.9)	30(57.6)	8 (16.0)
Surgical Mask (n, %)	12 (22.6)	29 (70.7)	28 (48.3)	20(38.4)	21 (42.0)
N95 Mask (n, %)	2 (3.7)	9 (21.9)	1 (1.7)	20(38.4)	1 (2.0)
Headcover (n, %)	5 (9.4)	10 (24.4)	8 (13.8)	22(42.3)	8 (16.0)
Overshoes (n, %)	5 (9.4)	12 (29.2)	8 (13.8)	28(53.8)	7 (14.0)

Table 4.7: Association between demographic characteristics andpractice on the safe handling of antineoplastic drugs among healthcareproviders in Palestine

Demographic characteristic					
		Good n (%)	Fair n (%)	Poor n (%)	P-value
Age Category	≤ 30	43 (78.2)	8 (14.5)	4 (7.3)	0.000 * ^C
(Years)	> 30	14 (45.2)	11 (35.5)	6 (19.3)	0.008 *
Candan	Male	21 (75.0)	5 (17.9)	2 (7.1)	0.254 ^C
Gender	Female	37 (57.8)	16 (25.0)	11 (17.2)	0.234
Ductosicu	Pharmacist	11 (40.7)	8 (29.6)	8 (29.6)	0.000 ^F *
Profession	Nurse	47 (81.0)	10 (17.2)	1 (1.7)	0.000 *
	Master degree	6 (46.2)	4 (30.8)	3 (23.1)	
Education Level	Bachelor's degree	43 (67.2)	13 (20.3)	8 (12.5)	0.613 ^F
	Diploma	9 (60.0)	4 (26.7)	2 (13.3)	
	< 1 year	6 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (40.0)	
Experience	1 to 3 years	19 (79.2)	5 (20.8)	0 (0.0)	0.027 F *
(Years)	3 to 5 years	11 (57.9)	5 (26.3)	3 (15.8)	0.037 *
	> 5 years	22 (56.4)	11 (28.2)	6 (15.4)	
Knowledge	Good knowledge	42 (57.5)	19 (26.0)	12 (16.5)	0.144 ^F
State	Fair Knowledge	16 (84.2)	2 (10.5)	1 (5.3)	0.144

Table 4.8: Barriers to safe handling of antineoplastic drugs amonghealthcare providers in Palestine

Barrier	Yes	No	Do not Know
Darrici	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
High workload (don't have time to use PPE)	58 (63.0)	34 (37.0)	0 (0.0)
Not trained to use PPE	43 (46.7)	49 (53.3)	0 (0.0)
PPE are uncomfortable to wear	41 (44.5)	49 (53.3)	2 (2.2)
PPE makes it harder to get the job done	34 (36.9)	57 (62.0)	1 (1.1)
There is no policy requiring PPE	51 (55.5)	40 (43.4)	1 (1.1)

 Table 4.9: Association between practice and barrier to using PPE

Barrier				
	Good	Fair	Poor	p-value
High workload (n, %)	37 (63.8%)	12 (20.7%)	9 (15.5%)	0.763 ^C
Not trained how to use PPE (n, %)	16 (37.2%)	14 (32.6%)	13 (30.2%)	0.000 ^C *
PPE are uncomfortable to wear (n, %)	24 (58.5%)	9 (22.0%)	8 (19.5%)	0.127 ^F
PPE make it harder to get the job done (n, %)	20 (58.8%)	8 (23.59%)	6 (17.6%)	0.460 ^F
There is no policy requiring PPE (n, %)	22 (43.1%)	16 (31.4%)	13 (25.5%)	0.000 ^F *



Figure 4.1: Source of formal training for study participants



Figure 4.2: Knowledge score among study participants



Figure 4.3: practice score among study participants



Figure 4.4: Study participants' answers to question one: In your opinion, what changes are needed to improve the safe handling practice in your workplace?



Figure 4.5: Study participants' answers to question two: What would be the top two benefits of putting a chemotherapy safety protocol in your workplace?

Chapter Five

Discussion, Conclusion, and Recommendations

5.1 Discussion

Antineoplastic drugs (ANPDs) results in disruption of growth of both normal and cancerous cells, which in turn lead to toxic side effects for both patients and HCPs who are involved in different steps of handling them such as receiving, preparing, administering, cleaning, and disposal of ANPDs wastes. Pharmacists and nurses are the HCPs most exposed to these drugs, so they need specialized training to ensure their safety as well as patients' safety [82].

This study was aimed to assess both the level of HCPs' knowledge about the safe handling of neoplastic drugs and to assess whether their handling practices conform to international guidelines.

As per our information, this is the first study assessing such an issue in Palestinian hospitals. Moreover, what distinguishes this study from studies done in other countries is that it is based on quantitative and qualitative measures. Using this approach, we were able to gather expanded data indicating the needs and points of view of HCPs.

5.1.1 Training

Our results indicate that only 34 (35.4%) of all participants had formal training on ANPDs safe handling. Of all pharmacists, just 5 (17.8%) mentioned that they had training. Further, none of the pharmacist assistants had ever received training. This finding was similar to previous studies among oncology nurses in Iraq (18.52 %) [74], Cyprus (33.0%) [72], and Pakistan (30.0%) [83] reported having been trained in safe handling cytotoxic drugs. This does not comply with safe handling guidelines, which emphasize the importance of training all healthcare workers who handle these drugs. Several previous studies proved that training programs effectively increase knowledge and improve compliance with safe handling guidelines and PPE usage [70, 84, 85].

5.1.2 Knowledge

Regarding the level of knowledge about safe handling of ANPDs, our results suggest that the 79.2% HCPs had a good knowledge score, with a mean score of 84.6 ± 14.0 . The proportion of HCPs with good knowledge about ANPDs safe handling in this study was higher than previous reports among oncology nurses in Egypt (67.3%) [75], oncology nurses in Nigeria (61%) [79], oncology nurses in Turkey (58%) [70], health professionals in Ethiopia (52.7%) [86], and oncology nurses in Iraq (40.7%) [74]. In constant, it is lower than the knowledge proportion of oncology nurses in Bangladesh (91.66%) [87] and healthcare workers in Kenya (95.4 %) [78]. However, our HCPs' information on some items was not right, such as 60 (62.5%) of them thought that surgical masks could protect from cytotoxic aerosols. A nearly similar answer was shown among oncology nurses in Iraq (55.60%) [74]. All guidelines state that the surgical mask does not provide respiratory protection from drug exposure and must not be used

when respiratory protection from antineoplastic HD exposure is required [9, 53, 56]. Furthermore, 28 (29.2%) thought that the disposable safety gown could be re-worn.

The present study also showed a moderate significant association (p= 0.04) between knowledge score and previous training of HCPs, which is similar to the finding of other studies done in Turkey (p= 0.001) [70], Iran (p= 0.000) [66, 88], Egypt (p= 0.000) [75]. Also, this study showed a significant association (p= 0.015) between knowledge and level of education, which corroborates the findings of a study done in Nigeria that found a statistically significant (p= 0.000) relation between the level of education and knowledge of chemotherapy and their adverse effects [79].

5.1.3 Practice

Concerning HCPs' practice on the safe handling of ANPDs, the current study suggests that two-thirds (63.0%) of healthcare providers had good practice scores; this finding was higher than a study done in Jordan where 46.6% of their workers showed full compliance with guidelines [73].

Four items were established to assess HCPs' practice regarding the safe handling of ANPDs when receiving and storage them. The first item was about wearing PPE when receiving and storage ANPDs; 56.6% of our participants mentioned that they wore at least one PPE during storage ANPDs. This finding was in line with previous studies done in Kenya (48.05%) [78], Iran (65.0%) [66], and Egypt (85.5%) [75].

Concerning ANPDs safe preparation practice points, the present study found that 80.5% of HCPs reconstitute ANPDs in a designated room. This was nearly similar to previous studies done in Iran (79.5%)[66], Kenya (77%) [78], and Egypt (74.5%) [75]. Furthermore, noticeably higher than a study done in Bangladesh (39.6%) [87]. In our study, the majority of the HCPs (75.6%) reported that they prepared antineoplastic drugs in the BSC; this rate was higher compared to previous studies done in Jordan (65.1%) [73], Israel (55%) [69], Bangladesh (33.3%) [87], Turkey (32.5%) [70], and Kenya (32.26%)[78]. However, lower than a study done in Pakistan (93.33%) [77]. All participants in this study mentioned that they wear at least one PPE during ANPDs preparation. This was consistent with findings in Egypt (100%) [75], Jordan (98.0%) [73], Turkey (97.4%), and Kenya (81.0%) [78].

CSTD is a device that prevents escape during transferring drugs from one container to another [9]. In the current study, the majority of participants did not know about CSTD. Only 6 (14.6%) mentioned that they used CSTDs during the preparation of ANPDs. This finding was close to a study in Bangladesh, where most of their nurses were not aware of what CSTDs are [87]. The explanation for this small proportion is possibly due to the lack of such instruments in our hospitals.

Six elements were established to evaluate the administration procedure of ANPDs by nurses. Almost all (96.6 %) of nurses in this sample reported wearing at least one PPE during ANPD administration. This result was comparable to previous research of oncology nurses in Egypt (100.0%) [75], Kenya (85 %) [78], and Turkey (61.3%) [67]. Concerning hand washing, all nurses in this study confirmed that they had washed their hands after removing. This result was much higher than it is in Jordan (75.2%) [73], Tanta; Egypt (65.71%) [75], Mansoura; Egypt (51.4%) [64], and Kenya (35.0%) [78].

It was alarming that three hospitals do not have a spill kit in their oncology department. These contravene all safe handling guidelines, which states that spill kits containing all of the materials needed to clean HD spills must be readily available in all areas where antineoplastics are routinely handled [9, 53, 56].

Our results showed that 40% of HCPs do not segregate cytotoxic waste from other medical wastes. A study done in Bangladesh found that most of their nurses did not follow guidelines for disposing of chemotherapy waste in the daycare unit [87]. Moreover, a study in Turkey showed that just 15.0 % of the cytotoxic contaminated wastes were correctly disposed of [70].

This study suggests that the young HCPs (≤ 30 years) had a better practice compared to those older than 30 years of age. Nonetheless, the practice has no association with age in other studies [78].

5.1.4 Barriers

Finally, regarding barriers faced HCPs toward using PPE, the high workload was the major barrier to them, followed by a lack of policy requiring the use of PPE (Table 4.8). Other studies have reported several barriers to use PPE, such as PPE availability, time limitations, and work pressure [89]. These barriers must be overcome, and educational programs that serve to raise awareness of HCPs about the importance of wearing PPEs should be implemented.

5.2 Study Weakness and Limitations

The quantitative part of this study was based on self-reporting data, which might have led to an over-reporting of compliance compared to what was practiced. Future studies employ additional methodologies, particularly observation and objective recording of health workers' practice, to allow a more precise assessment of their compliance with guidelines and regulations regarding safe handling of ANPDs. Moreover, this study does not assess the behavior and attitude of HCPs in Palestine.

5.3 Conclusion

Overall, there is a gap between the guidelines and actual practice among Palestinian HCPs on antineoplastic drugs' safe handling. Despite that, HCPs have a good knowledge regarding this issue. Further research is needed to increase compliance rates and prevent potential adverse health effects associated with exposure to antineoplastic drugs, to assess workers' behavior and attitude toward handling ANPDs.

5.4 Main Outcomes and Recommendations

- 1. The number of participants who had received training on the safe handling of ANPDs was very low, which significantly affected their level of knowledge. Thus, that requires intervention strategies include education, continuous training, and supplying updated information regarding safe handling of antineoplastic drugs while emphasizing the importance of suggested safety equipment.
- 2. Participants' handling of antineoplastic drugs in several practices was not in compliance with guidelines. This shows us the urgent need for maintaining a uniform and clear standard and policies regarding handling antineoplastic drugs safely in hospitals.
- 3. High workload and unavailability of personal protective equipment were the main reasons for the lack of discipline in PPE use by HCPs. So, managers must carefully consider workload by increasing staff numbers as HCPs should not be too busy to protect themselves from ANPDs exposure. Furthermore, they should provide all protective equipment and a BSC for the staff to ensure their safety and limit exposure. As employees cannot use PPE unless it is provided, and that is an employers' responsibility.

- 4. Maintaining standard procedures and proper equipment types in the chemotherapy unit ensures safe medication and personal protection and prevents environmental contamination.
- 5. Alternative Duties are highly recommended to men and women who are attempting to conceive, pregnant women, or breastfeeding moms.
- 6. A medical surveillance program should be provided to all HCPs who handle ANPDs, which assess and documents symptom complaints, physical findings, and laboratory values

References

- 1. Coleman, W.B. and G.J. Tsongalis, Molecular pathology: the molecular basis of human disease. 2009: academic Press.
- Bray, F., et al., Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. 2018. 68(6): p. 394-424.
- Torre, L., R. Siegel, and A.J.A.A.C.S. Jemal, Global cancer facts & figures. 2015. 2.
- 4. Ministry of Health, P., Health Status, Palestine, 2018. July 2019. p. 97.
- Sorsa, M., K. Hemminki, and H.J.M.R.R.i.G.T. Vainio, Occupational exposure to anticancer drugs—potential and real hazards. 1985. 154(2): p. 135-149.
- Cavallo, D., et al., Evaluation of genotoxic effects induced by exposure to antineoplastic drugs in lymphocytes and exfoliated buccal cells of oncology nurses and pharmacy employees. 2005. 587(1-2): p. 45-51.
- Hon, C.-Y., et al., Health care workers' knowledge, perceptions, and behaviors regarding antineoplastic drugs: survey from British Columbia, Canada. 2015. 12(10): p. 669-677.
- Power, L.J.A.J.H.P., ASHP technical assistance bulletin on handling cytotoxic and hazardous drugs. 1990. 47(5): p. 1033-1049.

- Connor, T.H., et al., NIOSH Alert: preventing occupational exposures to antineoplastic and other hazardous drugs in health care settings. 2004: p. 1-50.
- Organization, W.H., Pan American Health Organization (PAHO).
 Safe Handling of Hazardous Chemotherapy Drugs in Limited-Resource Settings; 2013. 2019.
- 11. Connor, T.H. and M.A. McDiarmid, *Preventing occupational exposures to antineoplastic drugs in health care settings*. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians, 2006. 56(6): p. 354-365.
- Falck, K., et al., Mutagenicity in urine of nurses handling cytostatic drugs. 1979. 1: p. 1250-1251.
- 13. Norppa, H., et al., Increased sister chromatid exchange frequencies in lymphocytes of nurses handling cytostatic drugs. 1980: p.299-301.
- 14. Waksvik, H., O. Klepp, and A.J.C.t.r. Brøgger, **Chromosome analyses** of nurses handling cytostatic agents. 1981. 65(7-8): p. 607-610.
- Anderson, R.W., et al., Risk of handling injectable antineoplastic agents. 1982. 39(11): p. 1881-1887.
- Nikula, E., et al., Chromosome aberrations in lymphocytes of nurses handling cytostatic agents. 1984: p. 71-74.

- 17. Sessink, P., et al., Occupational exposure to antineoplastic agents at several departments in a hospital. International archives of occupational and environmental health, 1992. 64(2): p. 105-112.
- Krstev, S., B. Perunicić, and A.J.L.M.d.l. Vidaković, Work practice and some adverse health effects in nurses handling antineoplastic drugs. 2003. 94(5): p. 432-439.
- McDiarmid, M., T.J.J.o.O. Egan, and E. Medicine, Acute occupational exposure to antineoplastic agents. 1988. 30(12): p. 984-987.
- 20.20. Valanis, B.G., et al., Acute symptoms associated with antineoplastic drug handling among nurses. Cancer Nursing, 1993. 16(4): p. 288-295.
- 21. Valanis, B.G., et al., Association of antineoplastic drug handling with acute adverse effects in pharmacy personnel. 1993. 50(3): p. 455-462.
- 22. Selevan, S.G., et al., A study of occupational exposure to antineoplastic drugs and fetal loss in nurses. 1985. 313(19): p.1173-1178.
- 23. Stücker, I., et al., **Risk of spontaneous abortion among nurses** handling antineoplastic drugs. 1990: p. 102-107.

- 24. Hemminki, K., et al., Spontaneous abortions and malformations in the offspring of nurses exposed to anaesthetic gases, cytostatic drugs, and other potential hazards in hospitals, based on registered information of outcome. 1985. **39**(2): p. 141-147.
- 25. Valanis, B., et al., Occupational exposure to antineoplastic agents: self-reported miscarriages and stillbirths among nurses and pharmacists. 1999. 41(8): p. 632-638.
- 26. Skov, T., et al., Leukaemia and reproductive outcome among nurses handling antineoplastic drugs. 1992. 49(12): p. 855-861.
- 27. Cancer), I.I.A.f.R.o. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans: agents classified by the IARC Monographs. 2020 lat updated 26, June 2020 [cited volumes 1–127; Available from: <u>https://monographs.iarc.fr/agents-classified-by-the-iarc/</u>.
- 28. Connor, T.H., et al., Personal protective equipment for health care workers who work with hazardous drugs. 2008.
- 29. Hama, K., et al., Verification of surface contamination of Japanese cyclophosphamide vials and an example of exposure by handling.
 2012. 18(2): p. 201-206.
- 30. Mason, H., et al., Cytotoxic drug contamination on the outside of vials delivered to a hospital pharmacy. 2003. 47(8): p. 681-685.

- Nygren, O., et al., Cisplatin contamination observed on the outside of drug vials. 2002. 46(6): p. 555-557.
- 32. Schulz, H., et al., Antineoplastic agent workplace contamination study: the Alberta Cancer Board Pharmacy perspective. 2005.
 11(3): p. 101-109.
- 33. Alert, N.J.N., Pub, Preventing occupational exposures to antineoplastic and other hazardous drugs in health care settings. 2004(2004-165).
- 34. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. HIERARCHY OF CONTROLS. 2015, January 13; Available from: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hierarchy/</u>.
- 35. Connor, T.H. and M.A.J.C.a.c.j.f.c. McDiarmid, Preventing occupational exposures to antineoplastic drugs in health care settings. 2006. 56(6): p. 354-365.
- 36. Guidelines for safe handling of cytotoxic drugs in pharmacy departments and hospital wards. Hosp Pharm, 1981. **16**(1): p. 17-20.
- Committee, O.N.S.E., Outcome Standards Cancer Nursing Education: Fundamental Level. 1982: Oncology Nursing Society.
- 38. Service, U.P.H., D.U.D.o.H. National Institutes of Health %J Washington, and H. Services, Recommendations for the safe handling of parenteral antineoplastic drugs. 1983.

- 39. ASHP technical assistance bulletin on single unit and unit dose packages of drugs. Am J Hosp Pharm, 1985. 42(2): p. 378-9.
- 40. Guidelines for handling parenteral antineoplastics. Council on Scientific Affairs. Jama, 1985. 253(11): p. 1590-2.
- 41. Yodaiken, R.E. and D.J.A.j.o.h.p. Bennett, OSHA work-practice guidelines for personnel dealing with cytotoxic (antineoplastic) drugs. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 1986. 43(5): p. 1193.
- 42. Connor, T.H., et al., Surface contamination with antineoplastic agents in six cancer treatment centers in Canada and the United States. 1999. 56(14): p. 1427-1432.
- 43. Wick, C., et al., Using a closed-system protective device to reduce personnel exposure to antineoplastic agents. 2003. 60(22): p.2314-2320.
- 44. *ISOPP standards of practice. Safe handling of cytotoxics*. J Oncol Pharm Pract, 2007. 13 Suppl: p. 1-81.
- 45. Polovich, M. USP General Chapter< 800>: Considerations for Oncology Nursing Practice. in Seminars in Oncology Nursing. 2020. Elsevier.

- 46. Schierl, R., A. Böhlandt, and D.J.A.o.o.h. Nowak, Guidance values for surface monitoring of antineoplastic drugs in German pharmacies. 2009. 53(7): p. 703-711.
- 47. Connor, T.H., et al., Evaluation of antineoplastic drug exposure of health care workers at three university-based US cancer centers. 2010. 52(10): p. 1019-1027.
- 48. Siderov, J., et al., Surface contamination of cytotoxic chemotherapy preparation areas in Australian hospital pharmacy departments. 2009. 39(2): p. 117-121.
- 49. Yoshida, J., et al., Association between occupational exposure levels of antineoplastic drugs and work environment in five hospitals in Japan. 2011. 17(1): p. 29-38.
- 50. Sessink, P.J., et al., Reduction in surface contamination with antineoplastic drugs in 22 hospital pharmacies in the US following implementation of a closed-system drug transfer device. 2011. 17(1): p. 39-48.
- 51. Turci, R., et al., Occupational exposure to antineoplastic drugs in seven Italian hospitals: the effect of quality assurance and adherence to guidelines. 2011. 17(4): p. 320-332.

- 52. Elshaer, N., Adverse health effects among nurses and clinical pharmacists handling antineoplastic drugs: Adherence to exposure control methods %J Journal of Egyptian Public Health Association. 2017. 92(3): p. 144-155.
- 53. Power, L.A. and J.W. Coyne, ASHP Guidelines on Handling Hazardous Drugs. Am J Health Syst Pharm, 2018. 75(24): p. 1996-2031.
- 54. Society, O.N., Toolkit for safe handling of hazardous drugs for nurses in oncology. 2018.
- 55. Connor, T.H., et al., NIOSH list of antineoplastic and other hazardous drugs in healthcare settings 2016. 2016.
- 56. Pharmacopeia, U.J.U.N., Second Supplement, USP general chapter
 800> Hazardous drugs—handling in healthcare settings. 2019.
- 57. McDiarmid, M.A., et al., Chromosomal effects of non-alkylating drug exposure in oncology personnel. 2014. 55(4): p. 369-374.
- 58. Pedersen-Bjergaard, J., et al., Causality of myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia and their genetic abnormalities. 2002. 16(11): p. 2177-2184.

- 59. Villarini, M., et al., Occupational exposure to cytostatic/antineoplastic drugs and cytogenetic damage measured using the lymphocyte cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay: A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. 2016. 770: p. 35-45.
- 60. Touzin, K., et al., Pilot study comparing the efficacy of two cleaning techniques in reducing environmental contamination with cyclophosphamide. 2010. 54(3): p. 351-359.
- 61. Chu, W.C., et al., Pilot assessment of the antineoplastic drug contamination levels in British Columbian hospitals pre-and postcleaning. 2012. 18(1): p. 46-51.
- Power, L.A. and J.W. Coyne, ASHP Guidelines on Handling Hazardous Drugs. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 2018. 75(24): p. 1996-2031.
- 63. Habib, C., et al., Handling of antineoplastic products and nurses' knowledge. Le Journal medical libanais. The Lebanese medical journal, 1992. 40(4): p. 182-186.
- 64. Elshamy, K., et al., *Health hazards among oncology nurses exposed to chemotherapy drugs*. African Journal Of Haematology And Oncology, 2010. 1(3).

- 65. Waheida, S.M., S.I. Abd-ELgaffar, and G.J.I.J.N.R.H.c.N. Atia, Evaluation of handling practices of oncology nurses during chemotherapy preparation and administration in Menoufia oncology hospital. 2015. 2(3): p. 107-19.
- 66. Alehashem, M. and S.J.I.J.o.C.M. Baniasadi, Safe handling of antineoplastic drugs in the university hospitals: A descriptive survey study among oncology nurses. 2018. 11(2).
- 67. Kosgeroglu, N., et al., Turkish nurses' information about, and administration of, chemotherapeutic drugs. Journal of clinical nursing, 2006. 15(9): p. 1179-1187.
- Al-Ghamdi, M.S. and Z.H.J.A.o.S.m. Al-Mustafa, The handling of anticancer drugs in Riyadh and the Eastern Province. 1997. 17(2): p. 257-259.
- 69. Ben-Ami, S., et al., The influence of nurses' knowledge, attitudes, and health beliefs on their safe behavior with cytotoxic drugs in Israel. Cancer Nurs, 2001. 24(3): p. 192-200.
- 70. Turk, M., et al., Knowledge, attitude and safe behaviour of nurses handling cytotoxic anticancer drugs in Ege University Hospital. 2004. 5(2): p. 164-8.
- 71. Kosgeroglu, N., et al., Turkish nurses' information about, and administration of, chemotherapeutic drugs. 2006. 15(9): p. 1179-1187.

- 72. Kyprianou, M., et al., Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs of Cypriot nurses on the handling of antineoplastic agents. 2010. 14(4): p. 278-282.
- 73. Al-Azzam, S.I., et al., Compliance with safe handling guidelines of antineoplastic drugs in Jordanian hospitals. Journal of Oncology Pharmacy Practice, 2015. 21(1): p. 3-9.
- 74. Esmail, D.H., et al., Safe Handling Knowledge and Practices of Chemotherapy among Oncology Nurses in Erbil City. 2016. 6(1): p. 84-90.
- 75. HA, Z., et al., Knowledge, attitudes and practices of safe handling of cytotoxic drugs among oncology nurses in tanta university hospitals. 2019. 43(1): p. 75-92.
- 76. Boiano, J.M., et al., Adherence to safe handling guidelines by health care workers who administer antineoplastic drugs. 2014. 11(11): p. 728-740.
- 77. Ali, F.B., S. Arif, and F. Pesnani, Association of Knowledge on the Attitude and Practice of Registered Nurses Regarding Handling of Cytotoxic Drugs in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi Pakistan. Int. J. Nov. Res. Healthc Nurs, 2015. 2(3): p. 2010-3.
- 78. Sheikh, Y.A., Knowledge and practice on safe handling of cytotoxic drugs among health care workers at Kenyatta National Hospital.
 2016, University of Nairobi.

- 79. Nwagbo, S.E., et al., Knowledge of chemotherapy and occupational safety measures among nurses in oncology units. 2017. 14(3): p. 131.
- 80. Susan Nixon, R. and R. Lisa Schulmeister, *Safe handling of hazardous drugs: are you protected?* Clinical journal of oncology nursing, 2009. 13(4): p. 433.
- 81. Mahon, S.M., et al. Safe handling practices of cytotoxic drugs: the results of a chapter survey. in Oncology nursing forum. 1994.
- 82. Vollono, C., G. Badoni, and G.J.G.i.d.m.d.l.e.e. Petrelli, Risk perception and self-assessment of exposure to antineoplastic agents in a group of nurses and pharmacists. 2002. 24(1): p. 49-55.
- 83. Khan, N., K.Z.A. Khowaja, and T.S.J.O.J.o.N. Ali, Assessment of knowledge, skill and attitude of oncology nurses in chemotherapy administration in tertiary hospital Pakistan. 2012. 2(2): p. 97.
- 84. Al-Azzam, S.I., et al., Compliance with safe handling guidelines of antineoplastic drugs in Jordanian hospitals. 2015. **21**(1): p. 3-9.
- 85. Keat, C.H., et al., Improving safety-related knowledge, attitude and practices of nurses handling cytotoxic anticancer drug: pharmacists' experience in a general hospital, Malaysia. 2013. 14(1): p. 69-73.
- 86. Simegn, W., et al., Knowledge and associated factors towards cytotoxic drug handling among University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital health professionals, institutional-based cross-sectional study. 2020. 25: p. 1-8.
- 87. Hosen, M.S., et al., Evaluation of knowledge and practice of handling chemotherapy agents by nurses: a multi-centre studies in Bangladesh. 2019. 6(10): p. 4175.
- 88. ali Shahrasbi, A., et al., Risks to health professionals from hazardous drugs in Iran: a pilot study of understanding of healthcare team to occupational exposure to cytotoxics. 2014. 13: p. 491.
- 89. Polovich, M. and P.C. Clark. Factors influencing oncology nurses' use of hazardous drug safe-handling precautions. in Oncology Nursing Forum. 2012.

APPENDIX A

Guidelines Summaries

-

Table 1.1: Comparison of 2004 NIOSH and 1990 ASHP definitions of hazardous drugs			
NIOSH ^a	ASHP ^b		
Carcinogenicity	Carcinogenicity in animal models, in the patient population, or both as reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer		
Teratogenicity or developmental toxicity	Teratogenicity in animal studies or treated patients		
Reproductive toxicity	Fertility impairment in animal studies or treated patients		
Organ toxicity at low doses in humans (<10 mg/day) or animals (<1 mg/kg/day)	Evidence of serious organ or other toxicity at low doses in animal models or treated patients		
Genotoxicity	Genotoxicity (i.e., mutagenicity and clastogenicity in short-term test systems)		
Structure and toxicity profile of new drugs that mimic existing drugs determined hazardous by the above criteria			
a: the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health b: American Society of Health-system Pharmacists Adapted from the American Society of Health-system Pharmacists, 2018 [53]			

Table 1.2: Classification of common antineoplastic drugs based on IARC					
Group 1: Human Carcinogens Group 2A: Probable Human Carcinogens		Group 2B: possibly carcinogenic to humans			
Arsenic trioxide	Azacytidine	Bleomycin			
Azathioprine	Carmustine	Mitomycin C			
Busulfan	Chlorozotocin				
Chlorambucil	Cisplatin				
Chlornaphazine	Doxorubicin HCl				
Cyclophosphamide	Lomustine				
Etoposide	Mechlorethamine HCl				
Melphalan	N-Ethyl-N-nitrosourea				
Semustine	N-Methyl-nitrosourea				
Tamoxifen	Procarbazine HCl				
Thiotepa	Teniposide				
Treosulfan					
MOPP ¹ regimen					
ECB ² regimen					
¹ Mechlorethamine-Vincristine (Oncovin)-Procarbazine-Prednisone ² Etoposide-cisplatin-bleomycin Adopted from the International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2020 [27]					

Table 1.3: Examples of potential opportunities for exposure to antineoplastic drugs based on activity			
Activity	Potential Opportunities for Exposure		
Receipt	- Contacting drug residue present on drug containers, individual dosage units, outer containers, work surfaces, or floors		
Compounding	 Constituting or reconstituting powdered or lyophilized HDs Withdrawing or diluting injectable HDs from parenteral containers Expelling air or HDs from syringes Contacting ANPDs residue present on PPE or other garments Maintenance activities for potentially contaminated equipment and devices 		
Administration	 Generating aerosols during the administration of ANPDs by various routes (e.g., injection, irrigation, oral, inhalation, or topical application Performing specific specialized procedures (e.g., intraoperative intraperitoneal injection or bladder instillation) Priming an IV administration set 		
Patient-care activities	- Handling body fluids (e.g., urine, feces, sweat, or vomit) or body-fluid contaminated clothing, dressings, linens, and other materials		
Spills	Spill generation, management, and disposal		
Transport	Moving HDs within a healthcare setting		
Waste	Collection and disposal of hazardous waste and trace contaminated waste		
Adopted from the American Society of Health-system Pharmacists, 2018 [53]			

Table 1.4: PPE needed for different practices in the health care setting				
Practice	PPE needed			
Receiving and storage	- Single chemotherapy gloves			
Receiving and storage	- Protective chemotherapy gown			
	- Double chemotherapy gloves			
	- Protective chemotherapy gown			
Preparation of ANPDs	- Respiratory protection			
	- Head/hair cover			
	- Shoe covers			
	- Double chemotherapy gloves			
	- Protective chemotherapy gown			
A durinistruction of ANDD-	- Eye/face protection			
Administration of ANPDS	• If there is risk of emesis, or if liquid could splash			
	- Respiratory protection			
	• If inhalation potential			
	- Double chemotherapy gloves			
	- Protective chemotherapy gown			
	- Eye/face protection			
Disposal, cleaning, spills, and exposure	 If liquid could splash 			
to the excreta of patients receiving drugs	• Always use for spills			
	- Respiratory protection			
	 If inhalation potential 			
	 Always use for spills 			
Adopted from NIOSH list of antineoplastic and other hazardous drugs in health care settings, 2016 [55]				

Table 1.5: PPE needed for possible practices in the healthcare setting			
PPE type	Selection criteria		
Gloves	 Chemotherapy gloves (as tested to American Society for Testing and Materials International (ASTM) standard) should be used for all ANPDs Powder-free gloves should be made of nitrile, polyurethane, neoprene, or latex material polyvinyl chloride is not recommended 		
Gowns	 Disposable, lint-free, and rated to resist chemotherapy Should have long sleeves and elastic or knit cuffs, fasten in the back (no open front), and be without seams or closures that could allow HD exposure 		
Eye/face shields	- Goggles should be worn with face shields when full eye and face protection is needed		
Respirators	 A tested, NIOSH-certified, N95 or more protective respirator is sufficient for airborne particles; however, N95 respirators offer no protection against gases and vapors Surgical masks do not protect against HD exposure 		
Shoe, sleeve, and hair covers	 Lint-free Made of disposable materials Sleeve covers should be polyethylene-coated or other laminate, with closed cuffs and no seams, openings, or closures that would allow exposure 		
Adopted from Toolkit for S	afe Handling of Hazardous Drugs for Nurses in Oncology, 2018 [54]		

APPENDIX B

Data Collection Form



جامعة النجاح الوطنية An-Najah National University

Data Collection Form

PART 1: FORCED-CHOICE QUESTIONS: (Four Sections)

Section 1: Socio-demographic Data

- Institution Name	:		Department:		
- Age (Years)					
- Gender	Male		Female		
- Marital Status					
□ Single	Married	Divorced	□ Widowed	Separated	
-Highest level of E	ducation:				
D PhD	□ Master d	egree 🗆 🛛 Ba	chelor's degree	Diploma Certificate	
- Profession:					
Pharmacist	Nurse		Pharmacist assistant		
- Years of experier	ice in handling cyt	otoxic drugs:			
<pre>1 year</pre>	1 to 3 ye	ars 🗆 3	to 5 years	□ > 5 years	
- Number of prepa	ration or adminis	tration weekly (ty	pical) week?		
□ < 50	50-99	□≥	100		
- Have you receive	d any formal trair	ning on safe hand	ling of cytotoxic drugs?		
🗆 Yes	🗆 No				
- If you answered	yes, which formal	training on safe h	andling of cytotoxic dr	ugs did you receive?	
University	College		/orkshop	Other (Internet, textbook)	
- If you answered	yes, when did you	receive your last	training?		
□ ≤ 12 month	s ago	□>	12 months ago		

Section 2: Knowledge on Safe Handling of Cytotoxic drugs And Thoughts about Exposure and Risk

A. Your Knowledge on Safe Handling of Cytotoxic drugs:

Please circle one answer to each of the following statements

				Don't
Sta	tement	True	False	Know
1.	Gloves should be worn when handling cytotoxic drugs	1	2	3
2.	All types of gloves provide the same level of protection when handling cytotoxic drugs	1	2	3
3.	A surgical mask provides protection from cytotoxic aerosols	1	2	3
4.	A disposable safety gown for handling cytotoxic drugs can be re-worn	1	2	3
5.	Cytotoxic drugs can enter the body through skin contact with drug- contaminated surfaces	1	2	3
6.	Cleaning of cytotoxic spills should be done by trained personnel only	1	2	3
7.	There is a separated disposal method for cytotoxic drugs	1	2	3
8.	One of the possible health effects due to work place exposure to cytotoxic drugs is cancer	1	2	3
9. hea	Safe handling of cytotoxic drugs is important because it protects Ith care workers from hazardous drug exposure	1	2	3
10.	Safe handling of cytotoxic drugs is important because it protects patients from hazardous drug exposure	1	2	3

Section 3: Practice on Safe Handling of cytotoxic drugs

Section 3.1: Receiving and Storage of Cytotoxic Drugs:

Are you responsible for receiving cytotoxic drugs?

🗆 Yes 🛛 🗆 No

If you answered "No" proceed to section 3.2

Complete this section ONLY if you are responsible for receiving and storage of cytotoxic drugs

1. Do you wear personal protective equipment (PPE) when receiving cytotoxic drugs?

 Yes
 No
 Don't Know

 2. If you answered 'Yes' in question 1 above, which PPE do you wear?

Type of PPE	Yes	No	Don't Know	
Gown				If "Others", please specify:
Gloves /double gloves				
Goggles/face shield				
Surgical mask				
N95 mask				
Headcover				
Overshoes				
Others				

3. Do you segregate other medicines from cytotoxic drugs during storage?

□ Yes	□ No	🗆 Don't Know			
4. Do you label cytotoxic drugs with a product identifier and hazard statement / signal word?					
□ Yes	□ No	Don't Know			
5. Do you wash your hands after handling cytotoxic drugs?					
□ Yes	□ No	Don't Know			

Section 3.2: Preparation/Compounding of Cytotoxic Drugs Are you responsible for preparation / compounding of cytotoxic drugs? □ Yes If you answered "No" proceed to section 3.3 Complete this section ONLY if you are responsible of preparation/compounding of cytotoxic drugs. 1. Do you reconstitute cytotoxic drugs in a designated room? □ Yes 🗆 No Don't Know 2. Do you wear PPE when preparing cytotoxic drugs? □ Yes Don't Know 3. If you answered 'Yes' in question 2 above, which PPE do you wear? Type of PPE Don't Know Yes No Gown If "Others", please specify: Gloves/double gloves Goggles/face shield Surgical mask N95 mask Headcover Overshoes Others 4. Do you prepare cytotoxic drugs in a biological safety cabinet (BSC)? Don't Know □ Yes □ No 5. Do you wash your hands before wearing PPE? □ No □ Yes Don't Know 6. Do you wipe vials or ampoules after removing the outer packaging material before reconstitution? □ Yes □ No Don't Know 7. Do you always use a closed-system drug transfer device (CSTD) e.g. the PhaSeal[®] CSTD? Type 🗆 Yes □ No Don't Know 8. Do you always use luer-lock fittings for all needles, syringes, infusions? □ Yes □ No Don't Know 9. Do you change your gloves every 30 minutes when reconstituting cytotoxic drugs? □ Yes □ No Don't Know 10. When handling cytotoxic drugs, do you change torn gloves immediately? □ Yes □ No Don't Know

Section 3.3: Administration of Cytotoxic drugs to Cancer Patients

Are you responsible for administration of cytotoxic drugs and nursing of cancer patients?

🗆 Yes 🛛 🗆 No

If you answered "No" proceed to section 3.4

Complete this section ONLY if you are responsible of administration of cytotoxic drugs.

1. Do you wear PPE when administering cytotoxic drugs?

C Yes	🗆 No	🗆 Don't Know

2. If you answered 'Yes' in question 1 above, which PPE do you wear?

Type of PPE	Yes	No	Don't Know	
Gown				If "Others", please specify:
Gloves/double gloves				
Goggles/face shield				
Surgical mask				
N95 mask				
Headcover				
Overshoes				
Others				
3. Do you wear PPE when rem	oving the in	fusion syste	em used for cyto	otoxic administration?
□ Yes		🗆 No		🗆 Don't Know
4. Do you wear PPE when han	dling excret	a from a car	ncer patient wh	o is receiving cytotoxic drugs?
□ Yes		🗆 No		Don't Know
5. Do you re-use PPE used dur	ing the prev	vious day's v	vork?	
□ Yes		□ No		🗆 Don't Know
6. Do you wash your hands wi	th soap and	water imm	ediately after re	emoving PPE?
□ Yes		🗆 No		🗆 Don't Know
7. Do you touch cytotoxic table	ets with bea	r hands?		
□ Yes		🗆 No		🗆 Don't Know

67

Section 3.4: Cleaning of Cytotoxic preparation area and/or Cytotoxic Spills

Are you responsible for cleaning of the cytotoxic preparation area and cytotoxic spills?

□ Yes

If you answered "No" proceed to section 3.5

Complete this section ONLY if you are responsible for cleaning of the cytotoxic preparation area and/or cytotoxic spills.

1. Do you have a cytotoxic spill kit available? □ Yes Don't Know 2. Do you wear PPE when cleaning cytotoxic spills / cytotoxic preparation area? Yes □ No Don't Know 3. If you answered 'Yes' in question 3 above, which PPE do you wear? Type of PPE Yes No Don't Know If "Others", please specify: Gown Gloves/double gloves Goggles/face shield Surgical mask N95 mask Headcover Overshoes Others 4. Do you clearly demarcate the area of cytotoxic spill before cleaning? Yes 🗆 No Don't Know 5. Do you begin cleaning from outside the spill area gradually towards the center? Yes 🗆 No Don't Know 6. Do you use cleaning reagents when cleaning the cytotoxic preparation area? □ Yes 🗆 No Don't Know 7. Do you wash your hands with soap and water immediately after cleaning cytotoxic spills? Yes 🗆 No Don't Know

Section 3.5: Disposal of Cytotoxic Drug Waste

Are you responsible for disposal of cytotoxic drug waste?

🗆 Yes 🔷 No

Complete this section ONLY if you are responsible for the disposal of cytotoxic drug waste.

1. Do you wear PPE when disposing cytotoxic drugs?

□ Yes		□ No		Don't Know
2. If you answered 'Yes' in qu	estion 3 abo	ve, which P	PE do you wear	?
Type of PPE	Yes	No	Don't Know	
Gown				If "Others", please specify:
Gloves				
Goggles/face shield				
Surgical mask				
N95 mask				
Headcover				
Overshoes				
Others				
3. Do you segregate cytotoxic	waste befo	re disposal?		
Yes		🗆 No		Don't Know
4. Do you wash your hands w	ith soap and	water imm	ediately after d	isposal of cytotoxic drugs?
□ Yes		🗆 No		Don't Know

Section 4: Challenges to safe handling of cytotoxic drugs

1. Which Barrier of using PPE or the challenges that prevent you from handling cytotoxic drugs safely? Tick the appropriate answer(s)

Barrier/challenge	Yes	No	Don't Know
Thinking that PPE are unnecessary			
High workload (don't have time to use PPE)			
not trained to use PPE			
PPE are uncomfortable to wear			
PPE make it harder to get the job done			
Availability of PPE			
There is no policy requiring PPE			
PPE is too expensive to use it all the time			
PPE makes me feel too hot			
Others			

If "Others", please specify:

.....

PART 2: OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS:

Q1. In your opinion, what changes are needed to improve the safe handling practice in your work place?

Q2. What would be the top two benefits from putting a chemotherapy safety protocol in your work place?

Q3. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about safe handling of ADs in your work place?

Thank you for participating in this study!

APPENDIX C

Institutional Review Board Approval Letter

Facultzy of medicine &Health Sciences	and the second sec	بجمعه اللباع الوطنية عارة الال حال الحرمة
Department of Graduate Studies		كلية الطب و عنوم الصحة دانرة الدراسات العليا
	IRB Approval Letter	
Study Title:		
"Assessment of Knowledge a among Health Care	and Practice on Safe Han Providers in Oncology P	dling of Antineoplastic Drugs alestinian Hospitals"
Submitted by:		
ж *		
Raya Said, Prof. Waleed M. Sweil	leh	
Date Reviewed: 27 th May 2018		
2. May 2010		
Date Approved:		
28 th May 2018.		
-		
Your Study titled "Assessment of	Knowledge and Practice o	n Safe Handling of
Irchived number (13) May 2018	h Care Providers in Oncolo	gy Palestinian Hospitals" with
ommittee and was approved on	28 th May, 2018.	an National University IRB
asan Fitian, MD		
B Committee Chairman		54. 1
n-Najah National University		

Nabius - P.O Box :7 or 707 | Tel (970) (09) 2342902/4/7/8/14 | Faximile (970) (09) 2342910 | E-mail : hgs@najah.edu

APPENDIX D

Ministry of Health Approval Letter I

State of Palestine Ministry of Health - Nablus General Directorate of Education in Health	دولة فلسطين وزارة الصحة نابلس الإدارة العامة للتعليم الصحي
Ref.: Date:	C.W /1884/122
	الأخ مدير عام الادارة العامة للمستشفيات المحترم،،،
	تعية واحترام
ستير. – جامعة النجاح	الموضوع: تسهيل مهمة طالبة ماج
- ماجستير صيدلة سريرية/ جامعة النجاح،	يرجى تسهيل مهمة الطالبة: راية موسى محمد سعيد-
مي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الآمن مع	في عمل بحث بعنوان " تقييم معلومات وجودة اداء مقدم
نيات الفلسطينية"، من خلال السماح للطالبة	الادوية المضادة للأورام في اقسام الأورام في المستشف
د اخذ موافقتهم) لجمع معلومات تتعلق بالبحث	بجمع معلومات من خلال مقابلة الممرضين والصيادلة (بعد
	من خلال تعبئة استبانة، وذلك في:
	 مستشفى بيت جالا الحكومي
	 مستشفى الوطني الحكومي
م الالتزام بمعايير البحث العلمي والحفاظ على	علما ان البحث تحت اشراف د. وليد صويلح. كما انه سية
مربع فلسطين وزارة المسمر مربع فلسطين وزارة المسمر *	سرية المعلومات. مح الاهتواء
مدير عام المديم المسي	نبية: عمد كلية الداميات العليا المحترم/ جامعة النجاح
P.O. Box: 14	مرب 14

تلفرن: 2333901-09

P.O .Box: 14 Tel.:09-2333901

Ministry of Health Approval Letter II

دولة فلسطين

وزارة الصحة انابلس

الإدارة العامة للتعليم الصحي



Ministry of Health - Nablus General Directorate of Education in Health

State of Palestine

Ref.: Date:.... لرقب: C.IN /۱۵۷٤/۱۰۰۲ د. ۱۸. م. ب. ب. ۲. ۲. ۲. ۲.

الأخ مدير عام الادارة العامة للمستشفيات المحترم ، ، ،

تعية واحتراء...

الموضوع: تسهيل مهمة طالبة ماجستير - جامعة النجاح

يرجى تسهيل مهمة الطالبة: راية موسى محمد سعيد – ماجستير صيدلة سريرية/ جامعة النجاح، في عمل بحث بعنوان "تقييم معلومات وجودة اداء مقدمي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الآمن مع الادوية المضادة للأورام في اقسام الأورام في المستشفيات الفلسطينية"، من خلال السماح للطالبة بجمع معلومات من خلال مقابلة الممرضين والصيادلة (بعد اخذ موافقتهم) لجمع معلومات تتعلق بالبحث من خلال تعبئة استبانة، وذلك في:

- مستشفى جنين الحكومي
- مستشفى طولكرم الحكومي

علما أن البحث تحت أشراف د. وليد صويح. كما أنه سيتم الالتزام بمعايير البحث العلمي والحفاظ على سربة المعلومات.

مع المعدام...

لدر امل إيو اعد

مدير عام التعليم الصحي

نسخة: عميد كلية الدراسات العليا المحترم/ جامعة النجاح

مى ب. 14 تلغرى. (09-233390

P.O .Box: 14 Tel.:09-2333901

APPENDIX E

An-Najah National University Hospital Approval Letter

252360 loal الوطنية 2018/8/5:20 الموضوع: تستبيل مهمة الطائمة/ راية موسى عل سعد، رقم تسعيل (11659480) ماجستير الصبيلة الم الطالية/ راية موسى مجد سعيد، رقد تسبحيل 11659480. تخصص عاجدتين الصينالة السريرية، في كلية الدراسات المعلية، يصدد إعداد الاطروحة الخاصة به بعنوان: (تقليم مطومات وجودة أداء مقلمي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الأمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام في أقسام الأورام في المستشفيات الفسطينية) (Assessment of Knowledge and Practice on Safe Handling of Antinenplastic Drugs among Health Care Providers in Oncology Palestinian Hospitals) ف أمن أحصرالكم تتسهيل مهمنها عن "جن جمع معلومات وعمل مقدلات مع الممرصين والصيادية هي الاقسام تلقة : والاستقدار على العص المعلومات، حول كلفية تحضير العلاج الكيماوي في المستشفى النجاح الوطني، ونك ال المقلومة المناهدة تليتالطام الأعدامين البنعة المعاسي و الاستكمال مشروع النحث. S والقبلوا فالنق الاسترام 11.1 Lint . 0 A -08- 2018

APPENDIX F

Consent Form

Consent Form

I hereby voluntarily agree to participate in the study as explained to me. My signature is a confirmation that I have understood the nature of the study and whatever information that I give will remain confidential and my identity will not be revealed in this study.

I also confirm that no monetary or material gains have been promised or given to me for participating in the study.

Signed:	•		•	••	•	•				•	•	•	• •	 	•	•	•	 •	•	•	• •			•	•	•		• •	••
Date:		1.	•	•	•		1	•	•	• •	• •	•		•	• •														

I have explained the nature and purpose of this study to the above study participant and have sought his/her understanding for informed consent.

Signature of principal investigator:

Date:/.../.....

جامعة النجاح الوطنية كلية الدراسات العليا

تقييم معلومات وجودة أداء مقدمي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الآمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام في أقسام الأورام في المستشفيات الفلسطينية

إعداد راية موسى سعيد

إشراف

أ. د. وليد صوبلح

قدمت هذه الاطروحة استكمالاً لمتطلبات الحصول على درجة الماجستير في الصيدلة السريرية، بكلية الدراسات العليا، في جامعة النجاح الوطنية، نابلس – فلسطين. تقييم معلومات وجودة أَداء مقدمي الرعاية الصحية حول التدبير الآمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام في أقسام الأورام في المستشفيات الفلسطينية إعداد راية موسى سعيد إشراف أ. د. وليد صويلح الملخص

الخلفية: بسبب زيادة الإصابة بالسرطان وإدخال نظم علاج أكثر تعقيدًا، من المتوقع أن يزداد الطلب على استخدام الأدوية المضادة للأورام (ANPDs)، مما سيؤدي إلى مزيد من التعرض المهني لهذه الأدوية بين مقدمي الرعاية الصحية (HCPs) وبالتالي فإن هذه الأدوية تتطلب احتياطات شديدة عند التعامل معها واستخدامها.

الأهداف: هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تقييم المعرفة والممارسة بين الممرضين والصيادلة ومساعدي الصيادلة حول كيفية التعامل الآمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام، وأيضا لتحديد ارتباط هذه المعرفة بالممارسة، ولتحديد العوائق التي تمنع مقدمي الرعاية الصحية من استخدام تدابير السلامة.

المنهجية: أجريت دراسة مقطعية من آب إلى تشرين الثاني 2018 في ستة مستشفيات فلسطينية، تم جمع المعلومات عن طريق استبيان احتوى على اسئلة تساعد في جمع المعلومات الديموغرافية لمقدمي الرعاية الطبية وممارستهم حول كيفية تداول الأدوية المضادة للسرطان بشكل آمن والحواجز التي قد تمنعهم من حماية انفسهم من التعرض لمثل هذه الأدوية، تم إجراء التحليل باستخدام الإصدار 25 من برنامج الSPSS، تم اعتبار ان القيمة الاحتمالية أقل من 0.05 على انها ذات دلالة إحصائية. النتائج: اشترك 96 شخص من مقدمي الرعاية الصحية في هذه الدراسة، متوسط اعمارهم كان 31.9±8.6 سنة، تراوحت اعمارهم ما بين 22 الى 55 سنة. اغلب المشتركين كانوا ممرضين (60, 62.5%)، مع أغلبية نسوية (66, 8.88%). لم يتم تدريب حوالي ثلثي المشتركين على التعامل الآمن مع الأدوية المضادة للأورام. كانت درجة معرفتهم وممارستهم تجاه التعامل الآمن مع هذه الأدوية جيدة نسبيًا، حيث كان متوسط درجات المعرفة والممارسة 48.6 ± 13.8 غذه الأدوية جيدة نسبيًا، حيث كان متوسط درجات المعرفة والممارسة 24.6 ± 13.8 ونقص الرقابة، وعدم توفر معدات الحماية الشخصية كانت العوائق الرئيسية لعدم استخدام معدات الوقاية الشخصية كما بلغ المشتركين.

الإستنتاج: بالرغم من أن معرفة مقدمي الرعاية الصحية بالتعامل الآمن مع الادوية المضادة للأورام كانت مقبولة، إلا أن توفير التدريب لهم لم يكن كافيا. يوصى بالتدريب الدوري والمستمر لمقدمي الرعاية الصحية بدعم من السياسات لاتباع المبادئ التوجيهية بهذا الشأن.